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1. Dark Energy
2. Dark Matter
3. Inflation

All three imply new physics (and all three raise fundamental questions!)
1. Dark Energy
   - What drives cosmic acceleration? Vacuum energy? Do its properties evolve with redshift? Is General Relativity correct on large scales?

2. Dark Matter
   - Particle-based explanation for dark matter? What are they: WIMPs, axions, etc.? Remaining questions for neutrinos: How massive? and how many species?

3. Inflation
   - Can we observationally confirm Inflation? What physics was responsible for it? What other paradigm can replace it?
The CMB as a Backlight to the Universe

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Radiation

(image modified from NASA/WMAP)
Structure Formation in the Universe

Cosmic Microwave Background

~400,000 years

Structure Formation

~3 billion years

Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies

~13.7 billion years
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The CMB Measures Structure Formation

CMB, kSZ, CMB Lensing, Clusters

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Radiation

(image modified from NASA/WMAP)
Cosmological Parameters

1. **Dark Energy**
   - \( \Omega_\Lambda \), dark energy density
   - \( w \), dark energy equation of state
   - \( w_a \), evolution of dark energy

2. **Dark Matter**
   - \( \Omega_m \), dark matter density
   - \( \Sigma m_\nu \), sum of neutrino masses
   - \( N_{\text{eff}} \), number of relativistic species

3. **Inflation**
   - \( n_s \), scalar tilt
   - \( r \), tensor-to-scalar ratio
   - \( f_{\text{NL}} \), non-gaussianity
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Cosmological Parameters

1. Dark Energy
   - $\Omega_\Lambda$, dark energy density
   - $w$, dark energy equation of state
   - $\omega_a$, evolution of dark energy

2. Dark Matter
   - $\Omega_m$, dark matter density
   - $\Sigma m_\nu$, sum of neutrino masses
   - $N_{\text{eff}}$, number of relativistic species

3. Inflation
   - $n_s$, scalar tilt
   - $r$, tensor-to-scalar ratio
   - $f_{\text{NL}}$, non-gaussianity
The South Pole Telescope (SPT)

Millimeter-Wavelength Telescope

- 10 meter primary mirror
- 1 deg$^2$ field of view

SPT-SZ Receiver Camera

- ~960 bolometers
- 3-colors: 100, 150, 220 GHz
- Resolution of 1.6, 1.2, 1.0 arcmin (well-matched to high-$z$ clusters, $r_{500}$ ($z=1.0$) $\sim$ 2 arcmin)
South Pole Environment

- Extremely Dry
  - Percipitable Water Vapor in Winter is $\sim 4x$ < than Chile, $\sim 6x$ < than Hawaii
- High Altitude ($\sim 10,000$ ft)
- Stable (no diurnal variations)
- Low peak wind-speed

Why Observe the CMB from the South Pole?
Why Observe the CMB from the South Pole?

South Pole Funding
- NSF Spends $200 million / year on infrastructure
- $10 million / year on science
  - CMB gets a large fraction of this!
The South Pole has led ground-based measurements of the CMB for the past decade.

SPT (2007-2011)
SPTpol (2012-2014)
SPTpol2 (?)
SPT-submm (?)

BICEP (2006-2008)
BICEP2 (2010-2012)
POLAR-I (2014-?)

ACBAR (2001-2005)

QUAD (2004-2007)
KECK (2011-2014)
The 2500 deg$^2$ SPT-SZ Survey

- 2500 deg$^2$ at high galactic latitude in Southern Sky.

- **Status**: 5-year survey finished (!!!) Nov. 2011

Final survey depths of:
- 90 GHz: 42 uK$_{\text{CMB}}$-arcmin
- 150 GHz: 18 uK$_{\text{CMB}}$-arcmin
- 220 GHz: 85 uK$_{\text{CMB}}$-arcmin

(In these units, tSZ is 1.7 times brighter at 90 GHz than at 150 GHz.)
230 deg$^2$
(9% of SPT survey)
13x smaller beam (13’ vs 1’)
17x deeper (300 uK-arcmin vs 18 uK-arcmin)
ACBAR was the first experiment to make a “background limited” detector, since then we’ve just been trying to make more of them.
• Built at UC-Berkeley
  - an effort that I lead from 2004-2008

• Required development of several key technologies:
  1) Pulse Tube Coolers
  2) Superconducting (TES) bolometers
  3) Large format bolometer arrays
  4) Multiplexed low-noise SQUID readout electronics
SPT Detector Wafer

- Fabricated at UC-Berkeley
- 160 bolometers per wafer
- Al-Ti bi-layer (TES) with $T_c = 0.55$ K
- Optical time constant of $\approx 10$ ms
- Electrical time constant of $\approx 1$ ms
- Wafer thickness tuned to observing frequency/wavelength
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Light coupled to the detectors thru a conical horn, waveguide, and integrating cavity.

Bands set by waveguide diameter on the low frequency edge and metal-mesh filters on the high-edge.
Frequency Domain Multiplexing (fMUX)

- Developed current summing fMUX at Berkeley and Lawrence Berkeley Labs (LBL)
- AC Bias a row of detectors with comb of frequencies between 300-950 kHz
- Crosstalk determined by Q of LC resonance (designed to be < 1%)
- Null current thru SQUID to improve its dynamic range and linearity
ACBAR was the first experiment to make a “background limited” detector, since then we’ve just been trying to make more of them.
### Evolution of Detector Focal Planes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Detectors</th>
<th>NET (µK CMB s^{0.5})</th>
<th>SZ Mapping Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>ACBAR</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>BICEP</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPTpol</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>tbd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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WMAP & SPT are consistent with each other, and $\Lambda$CDM provides great fit to joint dataset.
SPT data provides modest improvement on 6 “vanilla” cosmological parameters

$\Delta_s^2 = A_s k^{n_s - 1} : n_s = 0.966 +/- 0.011 \quad (3.1\sigma \text{ preference for } n_s < 1 \text{ inflation-like})$

$n_s = 0.966 +/- 0.011$
- Normally, we fix $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$

- Instead, measure $N_{\text{eff}}$ using CMB.

- No neutrinos rejected at 8σ.

- $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.86 \pm 0.42$
  (SPT+WMAP +$H_0$+BAO)

- 2σ higher than standard prediction. (SPT result with 3X more data will help).
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Clusters of Galaxies

• They are the most massive objects in the Universe (and also the most rare)
• The biggest clusters contain thousands of galaxies
• Take billions of years to form
• One of the few tracers of structure big enough to “feel” dark energy
Baryons Are Mostly in the Form of Hot Gas

A Massive Cluster collects a lot of gas, and as this gas collapses in the cluster it heats up to ~100,000,000 degrees

(Purple - Chandra X-ray image overlaid)
The Sunyaev Zel’dovich (SZ) Effect

• Towards a massive cluster, ~1% of CMB photons scatter off of intra-cluster gas
• SZ Surface Brightness is redshift independent
Dark Energy and Cluster Cosmology

- Abundance of clusters is sensitive to the **dark energy equation of state**, $w = p / \rho$
- If dark energy was due to a cosmological constant then $w = -1$

Cluster Abundance: $dN/dz$

\[
\frac{dN}{d\Omega dz} = n(z) \frac{dV}{d\Omega dz}
\]

Depends on:
- Matter Power Spectrum, $\sigma_8$
- Growth Rate of Structure, $D(z)$

Depends on:
- Rate of Expansion, $H(z)$

\[\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7, \, \sigma_8 = 0.9, \, \delta z = 0.05\]

$w = -1.0$
$w = -0.8$
$w = -0.6$

South Pole Telescope
SZ\E Survey

Volume Effect
Redshift
Growth Effect
Dark Energy: Distance vs Growth

Distance-Redshift Relation:
- $d_L(z) = \text{Luminosity Distance}$ (e.g., Supernovae, ...)
- $d_A(z) = \text{Angular Diameter Distance}$ (e.g., Baryon Acoustic Oscillations, ...)

Growth of Structure:
- $D(z) = \text{Growth factor} - \delta(z)/\delta_0$ (e.g., Clusters of Galaxies, CMB Lensing, Weak Lensing, ...)

---
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Dark Energy: Distance vs Growth

Distance-Redshift Relation:
- \( d_L(z) = \text{Luminosity Distance} \)
  (e.g., Supernovae, ...)

Growth of Structure:
- \( D(z) = \text{Growth factor} \ - \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta_0} \)
  (e.g., Clusters of Galaxies, CMB Lensing, Weak Lensing, ...)

Distance vs Growth: Dark energy affects each in a fundamentally different way -
Tests standard dark energy paradigm vs. modifications of General Relativity
SPT Discovered Clusters from first 750 deg$^2$

Using ~1/3 of SPT data, >124 Clusters.
Some Massive SPT Clusters

0658-5358 (z=0.30)
(Bullet)

2344-4243 (z=0.62)
(Perseus-like cooling core at z > 0.6)

2337-5942 (z=0.78)

2106-5844 (z=1.13)
(the most massive cluster at z > 1)
SPT Cluster Sample Properties

- Over 325 clusters optically confirmed, ~80% new discoveries
- Expect ~500 clusters in full catalog
- High redshift: \(<z> \approx 0.55\) (20% of clusters at \(z > 0.8\))
  - SPT has found more massive clusters at \(z > 0.4\) than previously known!
- Mass threshold falls with redshift:
  - \(M_{500}(z=0.6) > 3 \times 10^{14} M_{\text{sol}}/h_{70}\)
SPT Significance as a Mass Proxy

For any cluster survey, challenge is to link cluster “observable” to cluster mass

SZ measures cluster pressure ($\sim n_e T_e$), which is expected to have low scatter with mass ($\sim 10\%$)

SZ Signal-to-noise (S/N) in spatial filtered map is a relatively good mass proxy (Vanderlinde et al 2010)

Need to calibrate SZ significance to cluster mass!
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Multi-wavelength Observations: Mass Calibration

• Multi-wavelength mass calibration campaign, including:

  1. **X-ray** with Chandra and XMM (PI: Benson)

  2. **Weak lensing** from Magellan (0.3 < z < 0.6) and HST (z > 0.6) (PI: High, Hoekstra)

  3. **Dynamical masses** from NOAO 3-year survey on Gemini (0.3 < z < 0.8) (PI: Stubbs), also VLT at (z > 0.8)
Use X-ray ($Y_x$-$M$) relation to calibrate SZ significance-mass relation:

- X-ray masses are calibrated with 10% accuracy using measurements of low-redshift relaxed clusters assuming hydrostatic equilibrium (and cross-checked by weak lensing observations)

Vikhlinin et al 2009

Benson et al 2011

Cluster Mass

$Y_x$, $M_{\odot}$ keV

SPT Significance, $\xi / (E(z)^{0.34})^{0.83}$

X-ray Pressure, $Y_x (= M_{\text{gas}} T_x)$

X-ray Pressure, $Y_x$
Cosmological Analysis:

Test X-ray Method on 18 clusters (<10% of survey)

Combine Vanderlinde et al 2010 SPT survey results (180 deg², 18 clusters) with Andersson, Benson, et al 2010 X-ray ($Y_x$) measurements (15 clusters)

Cluster SZ Images

Vanderlinde et al 2010

Cluster X-ray Images

Andersson, Benson, et al 2010
Cosmological Analysis:

Test X-ray Method on 18 clusters (<10% of survey)

Developed Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to vary cosmology and cluster observable-mass relation simultaneously, while accounting for SZ selection in a self-consistent way

6 Cosmology Parameters (plus extension parameters)
- $\Lambda$CDM Cosmology
  - $\Omega_m h^2$, $\Omega_b h^2$, $A_s$, $n_s$, $\tau$, $\theta s$
- Extension Cosmology
  - $w$, $\Sigma m_v$, $f_{NL}$, $N_{eff}$

9 Scaling Relation Parameters
- X-ray ($Y_x-M$) and SZ ($\xi-M$) relations (4 and 5 parameters):
  A) normalization,
  B) slope,
  C) redshift evolution,
  D) scatter,
  F) correlated scatter

Benson et al 2011
\( \Lambda CDM \) Constraints

- SPT\(_{\text{CL}}\)+H\(_0\)+BBN \( \Lambda CDM \) fit best constrains:
  \[-\sigma_8 (\Omega_m/0.25)^{0.30} = 0.785 \pm 0.037 \]

- Adding SPT\(_{\text{CL}}\) to CMB improves \( \sigma_8 \) and \( \Omega_m \) constraint by factor of 1.5:
  \[-\sigma_8 = 0.795 \pm 0.016 \]
  \[-\Omega_m = 0.255 \pm 0.016 \]

\( \sigma_8, \Omega_m \) - 68, 95% Confidence Contours

\[ H_0 = 73.8 \pm 2.4 \text{ km / s Mpc} \] (Riess et al 2011)
CMB: WMAP7 + SPT (Komatsu et al 2011, Keisler et al. 2011)
BBN: \( \Omega_b h^2 = 0.022 \pm 0.002 \) (Kirkman et al. 2003)

Benson et al 2011
$w$CDM Constraints

SPT$_{CL}$ data improves dark energy ($w, \Omega_m$) constraints by factor of 1.5

- reduces SNe systematic uncertainty (from +/-0.060 to +/-0.026)

$\omega$, $\sigma_8$, $\Omega_m$ - 68, 95% Confidence Contours

- CMB: WMAP7 + SPT (Komatsu et al. 2011, Keisler et al. 2011)
- BAO: (Percival et al. 2011)
- SNe: (Amanullah et al. 2010)
Neutrino Mass ($\Sigma m_\nu$) Constraints

Constraints on neutrino mass from the CMB are improved most significantly by breaking degeneracies with $H_0$ and $\sigma_8$.
Neutrino Mass ($\Sigma m_\nu$) Constraints

- 95% upper limit on the sum of the neutrino masses ($\Sigma m_\nu$) of:
  - $\text{CMB} < 1.1 \text{ eV}$
  - $\text{CMB} + H_0 + \text{BAO} < 0.45 \text{ eV}$
  - $\text{CMB} + H_0 + \text{SPT}_\text{CL} < 0.28 \text{ eV}$

- With $\text{CMB} + H_0 + \text{SPT}_\text{CL}$ data
  1-sigma standard deviation of +/- 0.09 eV

- Nearing > 0.05 eV mass limit from neutrino oscillations!

$\omega, \sigma_8, \Omega_m$ - 68, 95% Confidence Contours

CMB: WMAP7 + SPT (Komatsu et al 2011, Keisler et al. 2011)
BAO: (Percival et al. 2011)
$H_0 = 73.8 +/- 2.4 \text{ km / s Mpc}$ (Riess et al 2011)
Neutrino Mass and the Number of Species

CMB “damping tail” constrains effective number of relativistic species:

- $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.91 \pm 0.42$
- $\Sigma m_\nu < 0.63$ eV (at 95% confidence)
- $\Sigma m_\nu = 0.34 \pm 0.17$ eV

2-sigma preference for non-zero neutrino mass and an extra neutrino species!

Benson et al 2011
$w$CDM:

Error budget for 18 cluster SPT sub-sample

With 18 clusters (<10% of SPT survey), we are limited by statistical uncertainty - both by the sample size and SZ-$Y_X$ calibration.

To make improvements, we can:

1) **Add more clusters** - SPT becomes X-ray mass calibration limited with ~60 clusters to $\delta w = +/- 0.15$

2) **Improve mass calibration** - improve calibration of mass normalization and its evolution with redshift, each contributes an uncertainty of $\delta w = +/- 0.10$
SPT XVP-80 Sample

Chandra X-ray observations of 80 most significant clusters from first 2000 deg$^2$ from SPT survey

• 2.1 Msec Proposal (**PI: Benson**), ~1% of Chandra’s total lifetime
• More then double high-z sample from Vikhlinin et al 2009 (80 vs 36)

**Primary Cosmology Goals:**

1) **Dark Energy**, $w$ - Calibrate SPT cluster mass with 10% accuracy to obtain systematics limited constraint on $w$ of ~15%

2) **Angular Diameter Distance** relation - Combine $Y_{sz}$, $Y_x$ to use clusters as “standard ruler”, constrain geometry of universe to high-$z$
Weak Lensing: Magellan, HST

Weak lensing observations with Hubble Space Telescope (HST), and Magellan / Megacam

HST Weak Lensing Sample (PI: High)

• **Magellan** - 19 clusters (0.3 < z < 0.6)
• **HST** - 14 clusters (0.6 < z < 1.4)

**Primary Goals**

1. **Mass Calibration** of the SPT survey (~5% mean, ~5% redshift evolution)

2. **Distribution of Stars and Galaxies, Hot Gas, Dark Matter** in the most massive clusters in universe from (0.3 < z < 1.3) using Spitzer, HST, Chandra, SPT
SPT Cosmological Constraints (projected)

SPT 2500 deg$^2$ survey will detect $\sim$450 clusters (with $S/N > 5$). Assuming mass calibration uncertainty of 5% mean and 10% evolution ($0 < z < 1$):

- will constrain $\omega$ to $\pm/5\%$, *independent* of geometric cosmological constraints from SNe, BAO
Dark Energy Survey (DES) and SPT

- Wide field (2.2 deg$^2$) optical camera for 4-meter Blanco telescope (Chile)
- 5-year optical survey (2012-2016) to cover ~5000 deg$^2$ which will detect ~100,000 clusters out to $z \sim 1$
- Multiple probes of dark energy (cluster survey, weak lensing, BAO, SN)
  - Coordinated to overlap with SPT Survey Area
  - X-ray and weak lensing SPT follow-up will improve calibration of DES Richness-Mass relation
  - Combined DES + SPT Cluster Survey will improve DES figure-of-merit by ~3 (Wu, Rozo, Wechsler 2009)
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Lensing of the CMB

17° × 17°

from Alex van Engelen
Lensing of the CMB

17° x 17°

lensing potential

lensed cmb

from Alex van Engelen
Spatial Correlations in the CMB

CMB is a unique source for lensing:
- Gaussian, well-understood power spectrum
- From a redshift which is: (a) unique, (b) known, and (c) highest

Small-scale wiggles are correlated with large-scale gradient.
• high significance detection of non-Gaussianity in the CMB induced by gravitational lensing
• based on ~1/5 of SPT area, single-frequency only, heavily-filtered
• project >30 $\sigma$ detection with 2500 deg$^2$ survey
Neutrinos & CMB Lensing

- Neutrino masses
  - Perturbations are washed out on scales smaller than neutrino free-streaming scale
  - Current upper bounds from CMB are WMAP: $m_{\nu} < 1.3\text{ eV}$; WMAP+BAO+H0: $m_{\nu} < 0.56\text{ eV}$

\[ d \sim T_{\nu}/m_{\nu} \times 1/H \]

- Peaks at $l=40$ ($k_{eq} = 300\text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ at $z = 2$): coherent over $\sim$several degree scales
- Lensing signal comes from structure over a broad redshift range ($\sim 0.5 < z < \sim 6$)

0.1 eV $\leftrightarrow$ 5%
CMB Lensing X Galaxies

CMB convergence map (no noise)

Galaxy number density from DES mocks (i<23)

(sims from Matt Becker & DES)
Lensing X Tracers of Large Scale Structure

- DES (overlap with full SPT-SZ 2500 sq deg)
- SUMSS (equivalent of NVSS for southern sky)
- (23h,-55d) 100 sq deg deep field:
  - Spitzer IRAC
    - 3.6, 4.5 \( \mu m \) - data being taken!
  - Herschel SPIRE
    - 250, 350, 500 \( \mu m \) - survey about to start
Cosmology from the CMB
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Cosmology from Growth of Structure

- From the CMB -to- Lensing of CMB -to- Clusters:
  - Traces matter power spectrum, and growth of structure or $\sigma_8(z)$, from 400,000 to 14 billion years after Big Bang
  - Powerful test of cosmology, both a systematic check and complementary to distance-relation based tests (BAO, SNe)

Credit: Vikhlinin et al 2009
The Next Frontier for CMB Lensing: The Polarization of the CMB

- Quadrupole anisotropy introduces a polarization from Thomson scattering near surface of last scattering.
- Polarization pattern can be decomposed into “E” and “B” modes, that have only grad and curl components.
- Density fluctuations produce only “E” modes, no handedness.
- “B” modes can be created by:
  - primordial gravity waves from Inflation
  - lensing of the CMB from large scale structure.

Smith et al 2008
The Effect of Lensing on the CMB Power Spectrum: B-modes from Lensing

![Graph showing the effect of lensing on the CMB power spectrum with labeled regions for TT, EE, lensing BB, and Inflation BB. The graph compares 'lensed' and 'unlensed' scenarios with a focus on the changes observed at various multipole moments l.]

Small Changes

Big Changes!!!
Inflation and High Energy Physics

- Inflation is the only mechanism expected to create primordial B-modes.
- If inflation related to physics at GUT energy scale: $E_{\text{inf}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV and $r > 0.01$
- $r = \frac{\text{tensor-perturbations}}{\text{scalar-perturbations}}$
- $E_{\text{inf}} = 1.06 \times 10^{16}$ GeV $\left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)^{1/4}$
- Current measurements of $n_s \sim 0.97$ imply $r \sim 0.15$
- CMB currently constrains $r < 0.17$ at 95% confidence (SPT, Keisler et al. 2011)
The Polarization of the CMB: Neutrinos

A $\sim 0.1$ eV neutrino mass will shift the normalization of the lensed B-mode spectrum by $\sim 5\%$.

Smith et al. 2008, 0811.3916
CMB Measurements so far: Closing in on Inflation!

SPTpol: Measuring the Polarization of the CMB

SPTpol:

- New polarization-sensitive camera for the SPT, first light Jan. 26, 2012!
- I just returned from 2 months at the South Pole leading the SPTpol Receiver team:
  - Liz George (UC-Berkeley), Abby Crites (U. Chicago), Jason Henning (U. Colorado)

Science from SPTpol -
“B-mode” Polarization:
  1. Neutrino mass from CMB lensing
  2. Energy scale of inflation

Temperature Survey:
  3. Deeper cluster survey

SPTpol Receiver Deployment Team

188 100 GHz pixels, (Argonne)
588 150 GHz pixels, (NIST)
SPTpol: Measuring the Polarization of the CMB

90 and 150 GHz Focal Plane:
- 90 GHz detectors made at Argonne National Labs
- 150 GHz detectors made at NIST, Boulder

Argonne 90 GHz array
- 192x single pixels
- Individually machined contoured horns
- Crossed absorbers
- 0.50 K Mo/Au TES
SPTpol: Measuring the Polarization of the CMB

90 and 150 GHz Focal Plane:
• 90 GHz detectors made at Argonne National Labs
• 150 GHz detectors made at NIST, Boulder

NIST 150 GHz array
• 588x pixels total in 7x arrays
• Monolithic silicon platelet corrugated horn array
• Crossed OMT antenna
• Micro-strip to 0.50 K Al/Mn TES

Silicon Platelet horn array
TES detector array
NIST pixel
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ACBAR was the first experiment to make a “background limited” detector, since then we’ve just been trying to make more of them.
**Evolution of Detector Focal Planes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>NET (noise equivalent temperature) (uK CMB s$^{0.5}$)</th>
<th>SZ Mapping Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>ACBAR</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>BICEP</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>SPTpol</td>
<td>~12</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ACBAR: 16 detectors
- BICEP: ~100 detectors
- SPT: ~800 detectors
- SPTpol: ~1600 detectors

**Saturday, March 3, 2012**
SPTpol Projected B-mode Power Spectrum

SPTpol expects to make first-ever detection of B-modes ~few months!

From B-mode spectrum measurements, 3-year 600 deg$^2$ SPTpol survey will constrain $r < 0.03$ at 95% confidence and $\delta(\Sigma m_\nu) = 0.10$ eV
Upcoming Results!

Chandra XVP-80 / Cluster Results:

2012 - **Cosmology from XVP-80 sample**: constrain $\delta w = 0.10 - 0.15$, measure angular diameter distance relation

2012 - **Combine XVP-80 with SPT power spectrum measurements**: constrain $\delta N_{\text{eff}} = 0.2$ and $\delta (\Sigma m_{\nu}) \sim 0.08 \text{ eV}$

2013 - **Combine with 500 cluster SPT-SZ survey and weak lensing observations**: constrain $\delta w = 0.05$ from clusters-alone, growth based test of dark energy! Put first significant constraints on time evolution of $w$ when combined with CMB+BAO+SNe

2013 - **Combine X-ray, Weak Lensing, SZ, Spitzer, Optical measurements**: study mass and redshift evolution of baryon, gas mass, and stellar mass fractions - look for “missing” baryons, study feedback and star formation history of massive clusters

2013+ - **Layout framework to combine X-ray, Weak Lensing, SZ cluster observations with DES survey.** Dark energy figure of merit of $> 100$!
Upcoming Results!

**SPTpol:**

2012 - **First detection of B-mode power spectrum!**

2012+ - **Combine SPTpol deep field with 100 deg$^2$ Herschel and Spitzer survey, hopefully DES.** Put constraints on $\sigma_8(z)$ out to $z \sim 4$

2013 - **First SPTpol power spectrum constraints**

2014 - **SPTpol survey finishes:** Hopefully detect inflation and neutrino mass!

2014 - **Need a new camera!**
The Polarization of the CMB: 
Inflation signal could still be very small

In the next ~3 years several experiments (e.g. - SPTpol, BICEP2+KECK, ACTpol, Polarbear, ...) promise 95% limits on $r < \sim 0.02$
Future CMB Experiments: Definitive CMB Lensing Experiment

- Re-design SPT optics for higher-throughput, and make ~2,000+ polarization sensitive multi-chroic pixels at 80-240 GHz
- Plan for “definitive” CMB lensing experiment: cover ~1/2 sky with ~1 uK-arcmin sensitivity

• Survey of high-z structure growth
• CMB’s final word on: inflation ($\delta r \sim 0.003$), neutrino mass ($\delta \Sigma m_\nu \sim 0.05$ eV), curvature ($\delta \Omega_k \sim 0.003$), scalar tilt ($\delta n_s \sim 0.003$), test for early dark energy, ...
END