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## Hierarchy Problem Now



## The Vision Thing


electric hypercharge

consistent theory of massless dyons? chiral symmetry breaking -> EWSB?

## J.J. Thomson


(a)

(b)

$$
\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{q} \mathrm{~g}
$$



Philos. Mag. 8 (1904) 331

## Dirac


charge quantization

## Dirac



## non-local action?

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+{ }^{*} G_{\mu \nu} \\
G_{\mu \nu}(x)=4 \pi(n \cdot \partial)^{-1}\left[n_{\mu} K_{\nu}(x)-n_{\nu} K_{\mu}(x)\right] \\
=\int d^{4} y\left[f_{\mu}(x-y) K_{\nu}(y)-f_{\nu}(x-y) K_{\mu}(y)\right] \\
\partial_{\mu} f^{\mu}(x)=4 \pi \delta(x) \\
f^{\mu}(x)=4 \pi n^{\mu}(n \cdot \partial)^{-1} \delta(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Phys. Rev. 74 (1948) 817

## Schwinger



Science 165 (1969) 757

## Zwanziger


non-Lorentz invariant, local action?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}= & -\frac{1}{2 n^{2} e^{2}}\left\{[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)] \cdot\left[n \cdot^{*}(\partial \wedge B)\right]-[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)] \cdot\left[n \cdot^{*}(\partial \wedge A)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]^{2}+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]^{2}\right\}-J \cdot A-\frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} K \cdot B . \\
F= & \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]\}-^{*}\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Phys. Rev. D3 (1971) 880

## Zwanziger


non-Lorentz invariant, local action?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{2 n^{2} e^{2}}\left\{[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)] \cdot\left[n \cdot \cdot^{*}(\partial \wedge B)\right]-[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)] \cdot\left[n \cdot^{*}(\partial \wedge A)\right]\right. \\
&\left.+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]^{2}+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]^{2}\right\}-J \cdot A-\frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} K \cdot B . \\
& \text { electric magnetic } \\
& F= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]\}-^{*}\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Phys. Rev. D3 (1971) 880

## Witten



## effective charge shifted

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {free }}=-\frac{1}{4 e^{2}} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}-\frac{\theta}{32 \pi^{2}} F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu} \\
q_{\text {eff }, j}=q_{j}+g_{j} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}
\end{gathered}
$$

Phys. Lett. B86 (1979) 283

## 't Hooft-Polyakov


topological monopoles

Nucl. Phys., B79 1974, 276
JETP Lett., 20 1974, 194

# '† Hooft-Mandelstam 



## magnetic condensate confines electric charge



High Energy Physics Ed. Zichichi, (1976) 1225 Phys. Rept. 23 (1976) 245

## Rubakov-Callan



$$
J=e g
$$

new unsuppressed contact interactions!
JETP Lett. 33 (1981) 644
Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 2141

## Seiberg-Witten



$$
\mathcal{N}=2
$$


massless fermionic monopoles
hep-th/9407087

## Argyres-Douglas



CFT with massless electric and magnetic charges hep-th/9505062

## Toy Model


is this anomaly free?

## Anomalies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}= & -\frac{1}{2 n^{2} e^{2}}\left\{[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)] \cdot\left[n \cdot{ }^{*}(\partial \wedge B)\right]-[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)] \cdot\left[n \cdot *^{*}(\partial \wedge A)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]^{2}+[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]^{2}\right\}-J \cdot A-\frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} K \cdot B .
\end{aligned}
$$



## E-M Duality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{E} \rightarrow \vec{B} \\
& \vec{B} \rightarrow-\vec{E} \\
&{ }^{*} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \beta} \\
& F^{\mu \nu} \rightarrow{ }^{*} F^{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Shift Symmetry

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {free }}=-\frac{1}{4 e^{2}} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}-\frac{\theta}{32 \pi^{2}} F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta \rightarrow \theta+2 \pi \\
\tau \equiv \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}+\frac{4 \pi i}{e^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## E-M Duality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {free }}=-\operatorname{Im} \frac{\tau}{32 \pi}\left(F^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{c}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int d^{4} x B_{\mu} \partial_{\nu}{ }^{*} F^{\mu \nu} \\
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{32 \pi \tau}\left(\tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} \\
\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} B_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} B_{\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

## SL(2,Z)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau \equiv \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}+\frac{4 \pi i}{e^{2}} \quad S: \tau \rightarrow-\frac{1}{\tau} \quad T: \tau \rightarrow \tau+1 \\
\tau^{\prime}=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d} \\
K^{\mu} \rightarrow a K^{\prime \mu}+c J^{\prime \mu}, J^{\mu} \rightarrow b K^{\prime \mu}+d J^{\prime \mu} \\
a d-b c=1 \\
\text { not } a \text { symmetry }
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\beta$ from SL(2,z) <br> $\frac{d \tau}{d \log \mu}=\beta$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & -b \\
-c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{g}=\binom{n}{0} \quad n=\operatorname{gcd}(q, g) \\
c=g / n, d=q / n \quad a q-b g=n \\
\frac{d \tau^{\prime}}{d \log \mu}=i \frac{n^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \\
\frac{d \tau}{d \log \mu}=\frac{i}{16 \pi^{2}}(q+g \tau)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 \\
\frac{d \tau}{d \log \mu}=\frac{i}{16 \pi^{2}}(q+g \tau)^{2} \\
\beta_{e}=\mu \frac{d e}{d \mu}=\frac{e^{3}}{12 \pi^{2}} \sum_{j}\left[\left(q_{j}+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g_{j}\right)^{2}-g_{j}^{2} \frac{16 \pi^{2}}{e^{4}}\right] \\
\beta_{\theta}=\mu \frac{d \theta}{d \mu}=-\frac{16 \pi}{3} \sum_{j}\left[q_{j} g_{j}+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g_{j}^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Argyres, Douglas hep-th/9505062

## SL(2,Z)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{4 \pi} \partial_{\mu}\left(F^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\mu \nu}\right)=J^{\nu}+\tau K^{\nu} \\
K^{\mu} \rightarrow a K^{\prime \mu}+c J^{\prime \mu}, J^{\mu} \rightarrow b K^{\prime \mu}+d J^{\prime \mu} \\
\left(F^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\mu \nu}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{c \tau^{*}+d}\left(F^{\prime \mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\prime \mu \nu}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)}{4 \pi} \partial_{\nu}\left(F^{\prime \mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\prime \mu \nu}\right)=J^{\prime \mu}+\tau^{\prime} K^{\prime \mu}
$$

## Zwanziger Generalized

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}= & -\operatorname{Im} \frac{\tau}{8 \pi n^{2}}\{[n \cdot \partial \wedge(A+i B)] \cdot[n \cdot \partial \wedge(A-i B)]\} \\
& -\operatorname{Re} \frac{\tau}{8 \pi n^{2}}\{[n \cdot \partial \wedge(A+i B)] \cdot[n \cdot * \partial \wedge(A-i B)]\} \\
& +\operatorname{Re}[(A-i B) \cdot(J+\tau K)] \\
F= & \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge A)]\}-{ }^{*}\{n \wedge[n \cdot(\partial \wedge B)]\}\right) \\
& (A+i B) \rightarrow \frac{1}{c \tau^{*}+d}\left(A^{\prime}+i B^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Axial Anomaly from SL(2,Z)

$$
(q, g) \rightarrow(n, 0)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\mu} j_{A}^{\mu}(x) & =\frac{n^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} F^{\prime \mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu}^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(F^{\prime \mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\prime \mu \nu}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Axial Anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\mu} j_{A}^{\mu}(x)= & \frac{n^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(c \tau^{*}+d\right)^{2}\left(F^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} F^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(q+\tau^{*} g\right)^{2} F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(q+\tau^{*} g\right)^{2} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu} \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\left[\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right)^{2}-g^{2} \frac{16 \pi^{2}}{e^{4}}\right] F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu}\right. \\
& +\left[q g+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g^{2}\right] F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Axial Anomaly


$\partial_{\mu} j_{A}^{\mu}(x)=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\left[q^{2}-g^{2} \frac{16 \pi^{2}}{e^{4}}\right] F^{\mu \nu}{ }^{*} F_{\mu \nu}+q g F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right\}$

## $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})^{2} \mathrm{U}(1)$ Anomaly

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{anom}}=c \Omega G^{a \mu \nu *} G_{\mu \nu}^{a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=\Omega_{A}+i \Omega_{B} \\
& \Omega \rightarrow \frac{1}{c \tau^{*}+d} \Omega^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $S U(N)^{2} U(1)$ Anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {anom }} & =\frac{n \operatorname{Tr} T^{a}(r) T^{a}(r)}{16 \pi^{2}} \Omega_{A}^{\prime} G^{a \mu \nu *} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \\
& =\frac{n \operatorname{Tr} T^{a}(r) T^{a}(r)}{16 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \Omega^{\prime} G^{a \mu \nu *} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \\
& =\frac{n T(r)}{16 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(c \tau^{*}+d\right) \Omega G^{a \mu \nu *} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \\
& =\frac{T(r)}{16 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right) \Omega_{A}+g \frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} \Omega_{B}\right] G^{a \mu \nu *} G_{\mu \nu}^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $U(1)^{3}$ Anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{anom}}= & \frac{n^{3}}{16 \pi^{2}} \Omega_{A}^{\prime} F^{\prime \mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu}^{\prime}=\frac{n^{3}}{32 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\Omega^{\prime}\right] \operatorname{Im}\left[\left(F^{\prime \mu \nu}+i^{*} F_{\mu \nu}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{n^{3}}{32 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(c \tau^{*}+d\right) \Omega\right] \operatorname{Im}\left[\left(c \tau^{*}+d\right)^{2}\left(F^{\mu \nu}+i^{*} F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right)^{3}-\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right) \frac{16 \pi^{2}}{e^{4}} g^{2}\right] \Omega_{A} F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu} \\
& -\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left[-\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right)^{2} \frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} g+\frac{64 \pi^{3}}{e^{6}} g^{3}\right] \Omega_{B} F^{\mu \nu *} F_{\mu \nu} \\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right)^{2} \frac{4 \pi}{e^{2}} g \Omega_{A}+\left(q+\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} g\right) \frac{16 \pi^{2}}{e^{4}} g^{2} \Omega_{B}\right] F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $U(1)^{3}$ Anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j} q_{j}^{3} & =0 \\
\sum_{j} q_{j} g_{j}^{2} & =0 \\
\sum_{j} q_{j}^{2} g_{j} & =0 \\
\sum_{j} g_{j}^{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Toy Model

|  | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}: q$ | $U(1)_{Y}: g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 3 |
| $L$ | 1 | $\square$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -9 |
| $\bar{U}$ | $\square$ | 1 | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | -3 |
| $\bar{D}$ | $\square$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | -3 |
| $\bar{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| $\bar{E}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

$\sum_{j} q_{j}^{3}=0, \quad \sum_{j} g_{j}^{3}=0, \quad \sum_{j} g_{j}^{2} q_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} q_{j}^{2} g_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} q_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} g_{j}=0$,
$\sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr} T_{r_{j}}^{a} T_{r_{j}}^{b} q_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr} \tau_{r_{j}}^{a} r_{r_{j}}^{b} q_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr} T_{r_{j}}^{a} T_{r_{j}}^{b} g_{j}=0, \quad \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr} \tau_{r_{j}}^{a} r_{r_{j}}^{b} g_{j}=0$

## Dynamics

|  | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}: q$ | $U(1)_{Y}: g$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 3 |  |
| $L$ | 1 | $\square$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -9 |  |
| $\bar{U}$ | $\square$ | 1 | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | -3 |  |
| $\bar{D}$ | $\square$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | -3 |  |
| $\bar{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |  |
| $\bar{E}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |  |
|  | $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2} \alpha_{Y} 3^{2} \alpha_{m}=\frac{1}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\alpha_{m} \sim 98$ |  |  |  |  |

## Quark Masses

technicolor: fail


## Quark Masses

Standard Model


## Rubakov-Callan

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{f}=-q g=1 / 2 \\
S_{f}=-1 / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

M


$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{i}=q g=-1 / 2 \\
S_{i}=1 / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

New dimension 4, four particle operator

## Angular Momentum

Classical:

$$
\vec{L}=\vec{r} \times \vec{p}-q g \hat{r}
$$

$$
L^{2}=|\vec{r} \times \vec{p}|^{2}+q^{2} g^{2}
$$

Quantum:

$$
\left[L_{i}, L_{j}\right]=i \epsilon_{i j k} L_{k}
$$

$$
L^{2}=\ell(\ell+1), \quad \ell \geq q g
$$

Wu, Yang Nucl. Phys. B107, (1976) 365

## Angular Momentum

$$
\left[\left(\partial_{\mu}-i q A_{\mu}\right)^{2}-\frac{q}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}-m^{2}\right] \Psi=0
$$

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\vec{L}^{2}-q^{2} g^{2}\right)-q \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}-\left(E^{2}-m^{2}\right)\right] \Psi=0
$$

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\ell(\ell+1)-q^{2} g^{2}\right)-q g \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^{2}}
$$

for $\ell=q g$ one helicity can reach the origin

## Four Fermion Ops

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
J_{f}=-q g=-1 / 2 & \longleftarrow \\
S_{f}=-1 \\
U_{R} \\
t_{L} \\
t_{R} \nearrow U_{L} \\
J_{i}=q g=2 \\
S_{i}=1
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { time }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Four Fermion Ops

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{f}= & -q g=-1 / 2 \\
& S_{f}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$U_{R} \quad t_{L}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{i}=q g=2 \\
S_{i}=1
\end{gathered}
$$


fail!

## Four Fermion Ops

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{f}=-q g=-2 \\
S_{f}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$U_{R} \quad t_{R}$


## Four Fermion Ops

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{f}=-q g=-2 \\
S_{f}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$U_{R} \quad t_{R}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{i}=q g=1 / 2 \\
S_{i}=0
\end{gathered}
$$


fail!

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { non-Abelian } \\
\text { magnetic charge } \\
Q=T^{3}+Y \\
Q_{m}=T_{m}^{3}+Y_{m} \\
\text { explicit examples known in GUT models }
\end{gathered}
$$

EWSB is forced to align with the monopole charge

## non-Abelian

magnetic charge

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{B}_{Y}^{a} & =\frac{g}{g_{Y}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}} \\
\vec{B}_{L}^{a} & =\delta_{L}^{a 3} \frac{g \beta_{L}}{g_{L}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}} \\
\vec{B}_{c}^{a} & =\delta_{c}^{a 8} \frac{g \beta_{c}}{g_{c}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
4 \pi\left(T_{c}^{8} g \beta_{c}+T_{L}^{3} g \beta_{L}+Y g\right)=2 \pi n
$$

## non-Abelian

## magnetic charge

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \pi\left(T_{c}^{8} g \beta_{c}+T_{L}^{3} g \beta_{L}+Y g\right)=2 \pi n \\
e A^{\mu}=g_{L} A_{L}^{3 \mu}+g_{Y} A_{Y}^{\mu} \\
\beta_{L}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
T_{c}^{8} g \beta_{c}+q g=\frac{n}{2}
$$

## The Model

$$
\left(S U(3)_{c} \times S U(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y}\right) / Z_{6}
$$

|  | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}^{e l}$ | $U(1)_{Y}^{\operatorname{mag}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{L}$ | $\square^{m}$ | $\square^{m}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $L_{L}$ | 1 | $\square^{m}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{3}{2}$ |
| $U_{R}$ | $\square^{m}$ | $1^{m}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $D_{R}$ | $\square^{m}$ | $1^{m}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $N_{R}$ | 1 | $1^{m}$ | 0 | $-\frac{3}{2}$ |
| $E_{R}$ | 1 | $1^{m}$ | -1 | $-\frac{3}{2}$ |

$$
\alpha_{m}=\frac{1}{4 \alpha} \approx 32
$$

## Four Fermion Ops <br> $$
\begin{array}{cl} J_{f}=-\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{-3}{2}\right) & \longleftrightarrow \\ S_{f}=-1 & \longleftrightarrow \end{array}
$$ <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ <br> $\dagger_{L}$ <br>  <br> time <br> $t_{R} \nearrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> $$
\begin{gathered} J_{i}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{-3}{2}\right) \\ S_{i}=1 \end{gathered}
$$

## Four Fermion Ops <br> $$
\begin{array}{cl} J_{f}=-\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{-3}{2}\right) & \longleftrightarrow \\ S_{f}=-1 & \longleftrightarrow \end{array}
$$ <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ <br> $\dagger_{L}$ <br>  <br> time <br> $t_{R} \nearrow N_{L}$ <br> $$
\begin{gathered} J_{i}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{-3}{2}\right) \\ S_{i}=1 \end{gathered}
$$ <br> hooray!

## Variations

New U(1): weaker coupling but less elegant

## embed in a GUT?

## Phenomenology


uncontrolled perturbation theory

Ginzburg, Schiller hep-th/9802310

## LHC

## naively expect pair production, unconfined, highly ionizing



ATLAS has a trigger for monopoles


CMS does not


## LHC

naively expect pair production, unconfined, highly ionizing


ATLAS has a trigger for monopoles


CMS does not


## Bremstrahlung



Grojean, Weiler, JT

## Annihilation



Andersen, Grojean, Weiler, JT

## Fireball



Andersen, Grojean, Weiler, JT

## Fireball



CMS has a trigger for this

Andersen, Grojean, Weiler, JT

## Conclusions

Monopoles are still fascinating after all these years

Anomalies for monopoles can be easily calculated
monopoles can break EWS and give the top quark a large mass
the LHC could be very exciting

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha} & \rightarrow \sigma_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{2} e^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}} \\
(q, g) & \rightarrow(-q, g) \\
(q,-g) & \rightarrow(-q,-g) \\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}=-\chi^{\dagger}\left(q A_{\mu}+\tilde{g} B_{\mu}\right) \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} & \chi-\psi^{\dagger}\left(q A_{\mu}-\tilde{g} B_{\mu}\right) \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

## non-Abelian

magnetic charge
$\left(S U(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y}\right) / Z_{2}$

$$
Q=T^{3}+Y
$$

$Y$ integer

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{2 \pi i Q} & =e^{2 \pi i T^{3}} e^{2 \pi i Y} \\
& =\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{i \frac{1}{2} 2 \pi}, e^{-i \frac{1}{2} 2 \pi}\right) \\
& =Z
\end{aligned}
$$

$Z$ element of center of $S U(2)$

