Quantum Phase Transitions in Holographic Models of Strongly Correlated Systems

> Work with: Gary Horowitz (UCSB), Matt Roberts (NYU)

> > Talk based on: 1006.2387, 1008.1581

Tom Faulkner

KITP, UCSB

May 11, 2011

Quantum Phase Transition is

A (continuous) transition between different phases at T = 0, as a function of an external parameter (*B*, Pressure,*x*,...)



Quantum Phase Transition is

A (continuous) transition between different phases at T = 0, as a function of an external parameter (*B*, Pressure, *x*, ...)

Ordered
$$\langle O \rangle \neq 0$$
 Disordered $\langle O \rangle = 0$ g

Conventional approach: apply usual ($T \neq 0$) Landau Ginzburg Wilsonian (LGW) symmetry breaking paradigm:

- Phases characterized by different symmetry breaking patterns.
- Fluctuations described by order parameter $\mathcal{O}(x)$
- Close to critical point, correlation length diverges

$$\xi \sim (g - g_c)^{-
u} \qquad \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}(0) | 0
angle \sim e^{-x/\xi}$$

- Lattice effects wash away leaving a continuum field theory
- At g = g_c one finds a scale invariant theory (sometimes a CFT) with an operator O, and one relevant perturbation corresponding to (g − g_c)

New phenomena compared to $T \neq 0$ (even within LGW paradigm)

Dynamical critical exponent of CFT

$$ec{x}
ightarrow \lambda ec{x} \qquad t
ightarrow \lambda^z t$$
 $\xi \sim (g - g_c)^{-
u} \qquad E_g \sim (g - g_c)^{
u z}$

New phenomena compared to $T \neq 0$ (even within LGW paradigm)

► Finite temperature crossovers:



- QPT becomes nonzero T phase transition
- Scale invariance implies $T_c \sim (g g_c)^{\nu z}$.

New phenomena compared to $T \neq 0$ (even within LGW paradigm)

Finite temperature crossovers:



- QC region controlled by CFT in thermal ensemble:
- Two competing energy scales T and $(g g_c)^{\nu z}$.
- For $T \gg (g g_c)^{
 u z}$, ignore relevant perturbation, set $g o g_c$.

New phenomena compared to $T \neq 0$ (even within LGW paradigm)

► Finite temperature crossovers:



• CFT description only valid up to some cutoff Λ (lattice scale).

Quantum Phase Transitions - Heavy Fermion Criticality For example:



 Heavy Fermion: mass of electron strongly renormalized. But still conventional Fermi Liquid (ρ ~ T²)

(日)、

ъ

- Ordered phase: Antiferromagnetic (AF) order
- Non fermi liquid occurs in vicinity of QC ($ho \sim T$)
- LGW fails to describe QCP

Quantum Phase Transitions - AdS/CFT?

Natural to try to use AdS/CFT to describe **QC region**. Sachdev, Muller, Kovtun, Hartnoll, Son, \dots

- ► Holography (AdS/CFT): Some field theories in *d*-dimensions dual to a *gravitational* theory in *d* + 1-dimensions
- At the heart of Holographic duality: extra dimension is RG scale (z)



- Challenge: define *local* theory on higher dimensional space
- No general understanding although see: Lee ; Douglas, Mazzucato, Razamat

< = ► = • • • •

Indirect string theory arguments: explicit realizations.

Quantum Phase Transitions - AdS/CFT?

Refined statement:

- Gravity in AdS_{d+1} gives a description of a set of strongly interacting CFT_ds
- Use these strongly interacting CFTs as calculable toy models of Quantum Criticality
- QC region $\leftrightarrow AdS$ black hole
- AdS Black Hole has built into it (at leading order):
 - Thermodynamics, Dissipation, Hydrodynamics, Response functions

- All of this without using quasi-particle description
- Many features universal to this set of CFTs
- Extract general lessons/organizing principle?

Famous example:
$$(\eta/s)_{BH} = 1/(4\pi)$$
 compare to $(\eta/s)_{qp} = 1/g^4$

Quantum Phase Transitions - AdS/CFT?

- Non-Fermi Liquid (NFL) phase found dual to a charged AdS black hole Lee; Cubrovic, Schalm, Zaanen; TF, Iqbal, Liu, McGreevy, Vegh
 - Fermionic greens functions have distinctly NFL scalings close to Fermi Surface



$$\operatorname{Im} G(\omega, k) =$$

 $0 < \nu < 1/2$ $\nu = 1/2$ $\nu > 1/2$

NFL a striking feature of heavy fermion QC region - these gravitational toy models may have some relevance here?

Some goals/Outline

Part 0: Simple field theory example of a QPT: Gross-Neveu model

<u>Part 1:</u> extend AdS/CFT program outside of QC region. Especially to QPT.

- general setup for symmetry breaking in AdS
- identify useful relevant deformations: double trace deformation
- compute critical exponents/ finite-T crossovers

Part 2: extend QPT to non-zero charge density

- relate to previously found NFL behavior?
- bonus: find non-trivial scaling for the order parameter two point function: same form as in heavy fermion criticality!!

- Large-N vector model -N Dirac fermions d dimensions
- Typical example of a QPT (analogous to our AdS/CFT results)

$$\mathcal{L} = N\left(i\bar{\psi}^{i}\partial\psi_{i} + \frac{1}{2}g\left(\bar{\psi}^{i}\psi_{i}\right)^{2}\right)$$

- Discrete Z_2 symmetry: $\bar{\psi}^i\psi_i
 ightarrow \bar{\psi}^i\psi_i$ (parity in d=3)
- Dimensional analysis (free fixed point):

$$[\psi] = (d-1)/2$$
 $[\bar{\psi}\psi] = (d-1)$ $[g] = (2-d)$

- For d > 2 then g is *irrelevant*. Free fermions: **IR fixed point**.
- ► For g > g_c symmetry breaking occurs. New UV fixed point at g = g_c.

 To analyze the critical point use Hubbard Stratonovich decoupling

$$\mathcal{L} = N\left(i\bar{\psi}^{i}\partial\psi_{i} - \alpha\bar{\psi}^{i}\psi_{i} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2g}\right)$$

Integrate out fermions - Effective potential

$$\frac{1}{N}V_{\rm eff}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2g} + \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(p^2 + \alpha^2)$$

► Vacuum: $V'_{\text{eff}} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \langle \alpha \rangle = -g \left\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \right\rangle$

Dimensional regularization:

$$\frac{1}{N}V_{\rm eff}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2g}|\alpha|^2 + s_d|\alpha|^d \qquad s_d = \frac{\Gamma(-d/2)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

$$\frac{1}{N}V_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2g}|\alpha|^2 + s_d|\alpha|^d$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• UV fixed point at
$$g_c = \infty$$

• redefine
$$\kappa = 1/g$$
 so $\kappa_c = 0$

$$\frac{1}{N}V_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = \frac{\kappa}{2}|\alpha|^2 + s_d|\alpha|^d$$

• UV fixed point at
$$g_c = \infty$$

- redefine $\kappa = 1/g$ so $\kappa_c = 0$
- ▶ ∃ symmetry breaking solutions $V'_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = 0$ for $\kappa < 0$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \sim \alpha \sim (-\kappa)^{1/(d-2)}$$

- ▶ Note $[\kappa] = -[g] = (d 2)$ is our *relevant* pertrubation
- $\kappa = 0 \leftrightarrow UV$ fixed point $\leftrightarrow QPT \leftrightarrow QCP$
- Finite temperature: $T_c \sim (-\kappa)^{1/(d-2)}$

Some aspects of AdS/CFT





$$ds^2 = \frac{-dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + dz^2}{z^2}$$

- strong coupling, large $N \leftrightarrow \text{classical gravity on } AdS_{d+1}$

$$\leftrightarrow \quad t \to \lambda t, \, \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x}, \, z \to z \lambda$$

$$\leftrightarrow$$
 radial coordinate z

$$\leftrightarrow \quad z \to \infty \ (z \to 0)$$

$$\leftrightarrow$$
 boundary of AdS (z = 0)

Operators \leftrightarrow Bulk fields

(d-1)+1-dimensional CFT \leftrightarrow string theory on AdS_{d+1}

- - scale invariance
 - RG scale

IR (UV)

- Field theory space time

Some aspects of AdS/CFT

Comments:

- Work in d = 3 (3 + 1 in the bulk / 2 + 1 on the boundary)
- Different bulk gravity theories (matter content, bulk couplings etc.) correspond to different CFTs
- CFT deformations correspond to changing boundary conditions at the AdS boundary
- Many dual pairs known, typically gauge theories with N colors
 - ► Bulk fields ↔ single trace operators

$$\mathcal{O} \sim \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(M...)$$
 M : adjoint of $SU(N)$

- Large-N limit: $N^2 \propto 1/G_N
 ightarrow \infty$
- We will take phenomenological approach: try to describe large number of CFT's by sticking to general ingredients.
- Ultimately need to derive these ingredients from string theory

Symmetry breaking in $\mathsf{AdS}/\mathsf{CFT}$

A wishlist:

Non perturbative description	
of an interacting CFT_{2+1}	
Global symmetry G	
Current: J^a_μ	
Order parameter: \mathcal{O}_i	
Relevant deformation: $(g - g_c)$	

Symmetry breaking in AdS/CFT

A wishlist:

Non perturbative description	Gravitational theory on AdS ₄
of an interacting CFT_{2+1}	
Global symmetry G	Guage symmetry G
Current: J^a_μ	Bulk gauge field: A^{a}_{μ}
Order parameter: \mathcal{O}_i	Charged field: ϕ_i
Relevant deformation: $(g - g_c)$??

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{G_N} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 6 - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - |D\phi|^2 - V(|\phi|^2) \right)$$
$$D\phi = \partial\phi + iqA\phi \qquad V(|\phi|^2) = m^2 |\phi|^2 + \dots$$

 AdS_4 solution is stable for $m^2 > -(3/2)^2$ (BF bound.) So no symmetry breaking yet. Look for a *relevant deformation* which does not explicitly break *G*.

Possible relevant deformations

$$H
ightarrow H + \int d^2 x \left(\mu J^t + \kappa \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}
ight)$$

Note:

- Chemical potential μ , only allowed for G = U(1)
- Double trace coupling κ , only relevant for $\Delta(\mathcal{O}) < 3/2$.
- Generally must consider both unless symmetry protects it (say µ = 0 by charge conjugation symmetry or relativistic invariance.)

Double trace deformations

 $H \rightarrow H + \int d^2 x \kappa \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Boundary conditions \leftrightarrow CFT deformations

• AdS_4 has a boundary at z = 0

- For well defined theory, impose boundary conditions here
- Equation of motion for ϕ

$$\nabla^2 \phi - m^2 \phi = 0$$

Solve for small z

$$\phi(r) = lpha z^{\Delta_{-}}(1 + \ldots) + eta z^{\Delta_{+}}(1 + \ldots)$$

 $\Delta_{\pm} = 3/2 \pm \sqrt{(3/2)^{2} + m^{2}}$

▶ Take $m^2 = -2$ with $\Delta_+ = 2$ and $\Delta_- = 1$ for concreteness

Single trace deformation

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\alpha z^1}{(1+\ldots)} + \beta z^2(1+\ldots)$$

- ▶ Usually fix leading term α as $z \rightarrow 0$. Let β fluctuate.
- Defining identity of AdS/CFT:

$$Z_{
m grav}[\alpha] = Z_{
m CFT}[\alpha]$$

$$Z_{\rm grav}[\alpha] = \int_{\rm bulk} \mathcal{D}\phi(r,\vec{x})_{\alpha} e^{-S_{\rm grav}} \qquad Z_{\rm CFT}[\alpha] = \left\langle e^{-\int d^3 x \alpha \mathcal{O}} \right\rangle$$

• Classical gravity $G_N \rightarrow 0$

$$Z_{
m grav}[\alpha] = \left(e^{-S_{
m grav}}
ight)_{\phi_{
m soln,\alpha}} \equiv e^{W(\alpha)}$$

- Can show that $W'(\alpha) = -\beta$, such that $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\alpha} = \beta$
- Dimensions: $[\beta] = 2 \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}] = 2$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

Double trace deformations

- Previous discussion only works for single trace deformation
- Follow the Gross-Neveu example:

$$S_{\rm CFT} \rightarrow S_{\rm CFT} + \int d^3 x \, g \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} = S_{CFT} - \int d^3 x \left(\alpha \mathcal{O} + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2g} \right)$$

• Now can compute using AdS/CFT: ($g = 1/\kappa$)

$$V_{\rm eff}(\alpha) = rac{\kappa}{2} |lpha|^2 + W(lpha)$$

Again vacuum: $V'_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = 0$. Using: $\beta = -W'(\alpha)$ we find:

$$\beta = \kappa \alpha$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- ► Well known fact in AdS/CFT: linear boundary conditions double trace deformations Witten; Aharony, Berkooz, Silverstein
- Note: $\kappa = 0$ corresponds to fixing β (= 0)

To summarize:

Again like in the GN model there are two fixed points: IR Fixed Point (CFT⁺), g = 0 ($\kappa = \infty$), α fixed

 Characterized by an operator O with scaling dimension 2 and an *irrelevant* double trace interaction (dimension 4.)

UV Fixed Point (CFT^-), $\kappa=0~(g=\infty),~\beta$ fixed

 Characterized by an operator O with scaling dimension 1 and a *relevant* double trace interaction (dimension 2.)

To summarize:

Again like in the GN model there are two fixed points: IR Fixed Point (CFT⁺), g = 0 ($\kappa = \infty$), α fixed

 Characterized by an operator O with scaling dimension 2 and an *irrelevant* double trace interaction (dimension 4.)

UV Fixed Point (CFT^-), $\kappa=0~(g=\infty),~\beta$ fixed

 Characterized by an operator O with scaling dimension 1 and a *relevant* double trace interaction (dimension 2.)

Turning on κ in the **UV** *CFT*⁻, one flows to the **IR** *CFT*⁺



Claim: *CFT*₋ should be associated with a QPT and κ the relevant direction. How do we see the ordered phase when $\kappa < 0$?

Symmetry breaking TF, Horowitz, Roberts

Look for state where $\phi(z) \neq 0$. Consider *bulk* potential:



Solve Einstein's equations with scalar ϕ matter.

•
$$\phi = 0$$
 is stable if $m^2 > m_{BF}^2 = -(3/2)^2$ and $\kappa > 0$.

Symmetry breaking TF, Horowitz, Roberts

Look for state where $\phi(z) \neq 0$. Consider *bulk* potential:



Solve Einstein's equations with scalar ϕ matter.

- $\phi = 0$ is stable if $m^2 > m_{BF}^2 = -(3/2)^2$ and $\kappa > 0$.
- if $\kappa < 0$: domain wall solution: (only non singular solution)

$$ds^{2} = (-dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} + dz^{2})/f(z)$$

(z \rightarrow 0) $f(z) = z^{2}, \ \phi(z) = 0$ AdS_{4}
(z \rightarrow \infty) $f(z) = \#z^{2}, \ \phi(z) = \phi_{0}$ \widetilde{AdS}_{4}

Symmetry breaking TF, Horowitz, Roberts

Look for state where $\phi(z) \neq 0$. Consider *bulk* potential:



Solve Einstein's equations with scalar ϕ matter.

- $\phi = 0$ is stable if $m^2 > m_{BF}^2 = -(3/2)^2$ and $\kappa > 0$.
- if $\kappa < 0$: domain wall solution: (only non singular solution)

$$ds^{2} = (-dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} + dz^{2})/f(z)$$

$$(z \to 0) \quad f(z) = z^{2}, \ \phi(z) = 0 \qquad AdS_{4}$$

$$(z \to \infty) \quad f(z) = \#z^{2}, \ \phi(z) = \phi_{0} \qquad \widetilde{AdS}_{4}$$

$$\widetilde{CFT}_{3} \qquad CFT_{3}^{-} \qquad CFT_{3}^{+}$$

Domain wall flow

• Characterize the flow by $(z \rightarrow 0)$:

$$\phi \to \alpha z^1 + \beta z^2 + \dots$$

where the DW solution determines a relationship between the two: $\beta = \beta_{DW}(\alpha)$.

Previous studies of DW solution looked at *single* trace deformations where one looks for solutions with β = J fixed. Explicitly breaks the symmetry.



Domain wall flow

- Reinterpret in terms of double trace coupling
- For a given double trace κ, to find the vev (O) ~ α look for solution of:

$$\beta_{DW}(\alpha) = \kappa \alpha$$



- only solution for $\kappa < 0$. Consistent with stability analysis.
- Scale invariance: $\beta_{DW} = -s_c \alpha^2 \operatorname{sign}(\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \sim \pm (-\kappa/s_c).$

Effective potential

$$W_{DW} = -\int_{0}^{\alpha} \beta_{DW}(\alpha') d\alpha' \qquad V_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = W_{DW}(\alpha) + (1/2)\kappa\alpha^{2}$$
$$W_{DW} = \frac{2s_{c}}{3}|\alpha|^{3}$$

Effective potential

$$W_{DW} = -\int_{0}^{\alpha} \beta_{DW}(\alpha') d\alpha' \qquad V_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = W_{DW}(\alpha) + (1/2)\kappa\alpha^{2}$$
$$W_{DW} = \frac{2s_{c}\Delta_{-}}{3}|\alpha|^{3/\Delta_{-}}$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

Finite temperature

- Heat up DW solution and restore symmetry for $T > T_c$.
- Ordered state: "hairy black hole"
- Disordered state: AdS-BH with funny boundary conditions
- Phase boundary: look for linearized instability of φ fluctuating on the AdS-BH as a function of T, κ
- Scale invariance: $T_c \propto (-\kappa)$



Finite temperature

- Heat up DW solution and restore symmetry for $T > T_c$.
- Ordered state: "hairy black hole"
- Disordered state: AdS-BH with funny boundary conditions
- Phase boundary: look for linearized instability of φ fluctuating on the AdS-BH as a function of T, κ
- Scale invariance:

$${\mathcal T}_c \propto (-\kappa)^{1/(\Delta_+ - \Delta_-)} ~~ \langle {\cal O}
angle \propto (-\kappa)^{\Delta_-/(\Delta_+ - \Delta_-)}$$



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Part 2. Both deformations

$$H \rightarrow H + \int d^2 x \left(\mu J^t + \kappa \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} \right)$$

New phase diagram

Disordered phase $\phi = 0$ corresponds to the **Charged Black Hole** (Reissner Nordstrom solution)

- Phase boundary: again look for linearized instability of fluctuations to determine T_c
- Depending on bulk parameters (q, m^2, \ldots) , two behaviors:



QPT shifted to κ_c. Actually QCP dramatically different:

Dissecting new QCP

To study QCP close to $\kappa \approx \kappa_c$ examine physics at small energies ω , momenta \vec{p} and temperature T compared to μ :

▶ At T = 0, charged black hole close to the horizon $z \rightarrow z_{\star}$ develops an $AdS_2 \times R^2$ throat:

$$ds^2 = rac{-dt^2 + d\zeta^2}{6\zeta^2} + dec{x}^2 \qquad \zeta = 1/(z - z_\star)$$

- ▶ From AdS/CFT lore, IR is controlled by AdS₂.
- AdS_2 is dual to a (mysterious) 0 + 1 dimensional CFT_1 .
- Emergent conformal symmetry:

$$t \to t/\lambda \quad \vec{x} \to \vec{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \to \zeta/\lambda$$

• μ induces a flow from CFT_{2+1} to CFT_1 .



(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Claim: new QCP controlled by CFT₁.

Dissecting new QCP - Two point function

To see this examine two point function of O at low energies

$$G_{\kappa}(\omega, \vec{p}) pprox rac{Z}{(\kappa - \kappa_c) - \Sigma(\omega, T)}$$

where Σ is a scaling function:

$$\Sigma(\omega, T) = T^{2\nu}g(\omega/T)$$
 $\Sigma(T=0) = \#\omega^{2\nu}$

▶ these are scale invariant in terms of the *CFT*₁ scaling:

$$\omega \to \lambda \omega , \quad T \to \lambda T , \quad \vec{k} \to \vec{k}$$

 $\mathcal{O} \to \lambda^{1/2-\nu} \mathcal{O} \qquad (\kappa - \kappa_c) \to \lambda^{2\nu} (\kappa - \kappa_c)$

Suggests under RG:

$$\mathcal{O}
ightarrow \mathcal{O}_{0+1} \quad \kappa
ightarrow \kappa_{0+1} = (\kappa - \kappa_c)$$

Dissecting new QCP - Two point function

To see this examine two point function of O at low energies

$$G_{\kappa}(\omega,\vec{p}) \approx \frac{Z}{(\kappa - \kappa_c) - \Sigma(\omega,T) + c_{\rho}\vec{p}^2 + c_{\omega}\omega^2 + c_TT}$$

where Σ is a scaling function:

$$\Sigma(\omega, T) = T^{2\nu}g(\omega/T)$$
 $\Sigma(T=0) = \#\omega^{2\nu}$

these are scale invariant in terms of the CFT₁ scaling:

$$\omega \to \lambda \omega , \quad T \to \lambda T , \quad \vec{k} \to \vec{k}$$

 $\mathcal{O} \to \lambda^{1/2-\nu} \mathcal{O} \qquad (\kappa - \kappa_c) \to \lambda^{2\nu} (\kappa - \kappa_c)$

Suggests under RG:

$$\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}_{0+1} \quad \kappa \to \kappa_{0+1} = (\kappa - \kappa_c)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

Phase boundary - analytic results

use G_κ to search for unstable mode (pole in UHP)
find T_c:

$$(\nu < 1/2)$$
 $T_c \sim (-\kappa + \kappa_c)^{1/2\nu}$ (universal)
 $(\nu > 1/2)$ $T_c \sim (-\kappa + \kappa_c)$ (universality spoiled)
Confirmed numerically:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Dynamical critical exponent

$$G(\omega, \vec{p}) pprox rac{Z}{(\kappa - \kappa_c) - \# \omega^{2
u} + c_p \vec{p}^2 + c_\omega \omega^2}$$
 $(T = 0)$

At the critical point κ = κ_c there is a gapless mode
 Disperses as:

$$\omega \sim |\vec{p}|^z \qquad z = \max\left(1, \frac{1}{\nu}\right)$$

• Away from criticality $\kappa > \kappa_c$ there is a "mass gap":

$$E_g \sim (\kappa - \kappa_c)^{1/2\nu}$$

• Correlation length $\xi \sim (\kappa - \kappa_c)^{-1/2} \sim E_g^{-1/z}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Locally quantum critical

For $\nu < 1/2$ find the 2 point function at the critical point:

$$G(\omega, \vec{p}) pprox rac{Z}{c_p \vec{p}^2 - T^{2
u} g(\omega/T)}$$

- Analytic in \vec{p}^2 , self energy independent of \vec{p} . Locally critical.
- Same form as measured for the two point function of the SDW order parameter in Heavy Fermion criticality with ν ≈ 0.37 (z ≈ 2.7) Schroder et al



Similar result found in the Kondo lattice model using $d \to \infty$, Si, Rabello, Ingersent, Smith

Comments and conclusions

- The AdS₂ × R² phase which controls the QC region has been previously shown to be associated with Non-Fermi Liquid (NFL) behavior TF, Liu, McGreevy, Vegh
- 2 point function of Fermionic operators in this phase shows gapless fermi surface type excitations with NFL like dispersion
- NFL behavior is generally associated with Heavy Fermion criticality.
- We found a QPT out of this phase which displays other similarities with a certain Heavy Fermion system
- Promising signs! However: where is the Heavy Fermion phase in our system??
- Also what is the connection between AdS₂ and DMFT?

RG Interpretation (0 < δ_{-} < 1/2)



matching along dashed line:

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \alpha \sim \left(-\kappa + \kappa_{c}\right)^{\frac{\delta_{-}}{1-2\delta_{-}}}$$

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Ordered phase

Metric ansatz for domain wall solution

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + dr^2/f(r) + h^2(r)d\vec{x}^2, \quad A = A_t(r)dt, \quad \varphi = \varphi(r)$$

Adjust δ_{1,2} at the (deep) IR fixed point. Shoot (backwards) close to the critical point.



▶ read off $\beta = \beta_{DW}(\alpha)$. Solve $\beta_{DW}(\alpha) = \kappa\beta$ for $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \alpha(\kappa)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで