

Status and Plans for

Time-Resolved Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup

What have we learned?

What questions remain?

What is the plan to address these questions? -- Instrumentation -- Measurements -- Analysis

Jim Crittenden, with John Sikora and Yulin Li

CESRTA General Meeting

28 March 2014







What have we learned from time-resolved measurements of cloud buildup?

Shielded Button Detectors

•Witness-bunch studies with positrons provide sensitivity to the individual physical parameters governing cloud buildup

- Photoelectron yield and energy distributions can be analyzed by varying bunch current
- Differentiate between secondary emission processes. Sensitivity to cloud kinetic energy.
- Cloud lifetimes for various mitigation techniques. Al, TiN, aC (2), DLC.
- Dependence of photoelectron production and secondary emission on beam conditioning
- •Measurements with solenoidal magnetic field are very sensitive to the azimuthal dependence of photoelectron production rate and energy distribution.

Time-resolved Retarding Field Analyzers

• Ten-bunch positron trains with 8 mA/bunch provide comparisons of secondary emission properties

- •Grounding/noise problems solved
- Effective time resolution of 25 ns
- •Comparison of cloud buildup with uncoated Al, TiN-coated Al, with and without grooves.
- Measurement of the SEY mitigation provided by grooves in storage ring operation

Shielded Stripline Detectors in Q48W

- Comparison of signals from ten- and twenty-bunch trains provide good sensitivity to cloud trapping
 - First measurement of cloud trapping in a positron storage ring
 - Intermediate trains and bunches provide a clearing effect
 - Signals are dominated by secondary emission properties of stainless steel



Shielded Button Detectors

- •Need to understand measurements with an electron beam.
 - Model predicts a large prompt signal which is not observed
 - Essential for present and future light sources
- •Need improved modeling for buildup in solenoid field, PEY, 2.1 GeV, 4 GeV
- •More detailed cross calibration with other measurement techniques, such as TE-wave and RFAs

Time-resolved Retarding Field Analyzers

- •Measure PEY properties of the Al, TiN and grooved surfaces at lower bunch current
- •Use two-bunch studies to differentiate SEY properties such as cloud lifetime
- How does the dipole magnetic field influence the cloud buildup and mitigation?
- •Measure resonant effects by varying bunch spacing and scanning B field in small steps
- Characterize cloud kinetic energy using the retarding field

Shielded Stripline Detectors in Q48W

- Measure dependence of cloud trapping on train length and bunch spacing (April 2014)
- Use bunch current dependence to further constrain SEY properties
- Characterize cloud in fringe field
- Is there trapping with an electron beam?
- Use validated model to estimate trapping effects in the ILC positron damping ring (PRL)



What is the plan to address these questions? -- Instrumentation and Measurements --

Phase III Proposal

•Move the chicane assembly to a region of the CESR ring with higher photon rates

- •The chicane is 2.54 m long. Between BPMs 13W and 14W, 5.1m are occupied by 4 RFAs. Between BPMs 14E and 13E, 5.9m are occupied by 4 RFAs and doglegs.
- In L3, the photon rate $[E_{critical}]$ for e+(e-) is 0.07-0.12 (0.034-0.044) $\gamma/m/e$ [2.3 (2.3) keV]
- At 15E, the photon rate $[E_{critical}]$ for e+(e-) is 0.45 (1.0) γ /m/e [3.7 (5.6) keV]
- At 15W, the photon rate $[E_{critical}]$ for e+(e-)is 0.8 (0.6) γ /m/e [5.6 (3.7) keV]
- •By increasing the photon rate by a factor of 10 to 30, witness bunch studies at lower bunch current would be made possible, giving differential sensitivity to PEY and the SEY processes
- •Other v.c. materials could also be tested in these variable dipole fields
 - Stainless steel for comparison and systematic check of cloud trapping model
 - Amorphous carbon (different!). Cu (common). Any newly proposed mitigation techniques

•Design and install a shielded stripline in an arc quadrupole. Vary field strength. e- beam.

•Second-generation designs for time-resolved RFAs and shielded striplines

• This may take the form of developing filtering techniques (hardware/software)



What is the plan to address these questions? -- Instrumentation and Measurements --

Additional Ideas

- •Intentional design of TE-wave resonators and detectors in chicane region for crosscalibration with the time-resolved RFAs, as opposed to serendipity/opportunism
- •Install shielded button detectors in round flanges at 45 and 180 degrees
- •If dedicated, tunable X-ray beam: shielded pickups and solenoids for PEY, slotted antechamber with floating stripline. Is E-line available?

Two Examples of Many ...

• The measurements of shielded button signals with solenoidal magnetic field have been understood to depend on the detailed 2D distribution of photoelectron production energy and azimuth (Jared G.)

- The present model is crude and inflexible: energy distributions can be defined only for direct and reflected photons separately.
- The necessary flexibility is easy to code.
- The optimal 2D distribution can be obtained from a *single ECLOUD run* by using weights which match the modeled to the measured signal in each time bin.
- The potential payoff is enormous.
 - •Quantitative validation of Synrad3D models
 - •Information more detailed than XPS (1981 Nobel for Kai Siegbahn's work in the 1960's)
- Make use of two recent major advances in the analysis of the TR_RFA measurements
 - Quantitative understanding of the shielding hole transmission for an axial magnetic field
 - Corrected L3 vacuum chamber model with major change in Synrad3D result
 - Only presentation of results at present is IPAC13. Restricted to SEY of uncoated grooves.

Phase II served to demonstrate many novel methodological concepts. They have yet to be exploited.