

**02 INFORMATION ABOUT PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS/PROJECT DIRECTORS(PI/PD) and
co-PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS/co-PROJECT DIRECTORS**

Submit only ONE copy of this form for each PI/PD and co-PI/PD identified on the proposal. The form(s) should be attached to the original proposal as specified in GPG Section II.C.a. Submission of this information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. This information will not be disclosed to external peer reviewers. **DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COPIES OF YOUR PROPOSAL AS THIS MAY COMPROMISE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION.**

PI/PD Name: David Rubin

Gender: Male Female
Ethnicity: (Choose one response) Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino

Race:
(Select one or more)
 American Indian or Alaska Native
 Asian
 Black or African American
 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
 White

Disability Status:
(Select one or more)
 Hearing Impairment
 Visual Impairment
 Mobility/Orthopedic Impairment
 Other
 None

Citizenship: (Choose one) U.S. Citizen Permanent Resident Other non-U.S. Citizen

Check here if you do not wish to provide any or all of the above information (excluding PI/PD name):

REQUIRED: Check here if you are currently serving (or have previously served) as a PI, co-PI or PD on any federally funded project

Ethnicity Definition:

Hispanic or Latino. A person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Race Definitions:

American Indian or Alaska Native. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

Asian. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING REQUESTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify and address any inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of its proposed PIs/PDs. To gather information needed for this important task, the proposer should submit a single copy of this form for each identified PI/PD with each proposal. Submission of the requested information is voluntary and will not affect the organization's eligibility for an award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the statistical validity, and therefore the usefulness, of information received from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. (The exceptions are the PI/PD name and the information about prior Federal support, the last question above.)

Collection of this information is authorized by the NSF Act of 1950, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1861, et seq. Demographic data allows NSF to gauge whether our programs and other opportunities in science and technology are fairly reaching and benefiting everyone regardless of demographic category; to ensure that those in under-represented groups have the same knowledge of and access to programs and other research and educational opportunities; and to assess involvement of international investigators in work supported by NSF. The information may be disclosed to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers to complete assigned work; and to other government agencies in order to coordinate and assess programs. The information may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records", 63 Federal Register 267 (January 5, 1998), and NSF-51, "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records", 63 Federal Register 268 (January 5, 1998).

List of Suggested Reviewers or Reviewers Not To Include (optional)

SUGGESTED REVIEWERS:

Not Listed

REVIEWERS NOT TO INCLUDE:

Not Listed

CERTIFICATION PAGE

Certification for Authorized Organizational Representative (or Equivalent) or Individual Applicant

By electronically signing and submitting this proposal, the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) or Individual Applicant is: (1) certifying that statements made herein are true and complete to the best of his/her knowledge; and (2) agreeing to accept the obligation to comply with NSF award terms and conditions if an award is made as a result of this application. Further, the applicant is hereby providing certifications regarding conflict of interest (when applicable), drug-free workplace, debarment and suspension, lobbying activities (see below), nondiscrimination, flood hazard insurance (when applicable), responsible conduct of research, organizational support, Federal tax obligations, unpaid Federal tax liability, and criminal convictions as set forth in the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide, Part I: the Grant Proposal Guide (GPG). Willful provision of false information in this application and its supporting documents or in reports required under an ensuing award is a criminal offense (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001).

Certification Regarding Conflict of Interest

The AOR is required to complete certifications stating that the organization has implemented and is enforcing a written policy on conflicts of interest (COI), consistent with the provisions of AAG Chapter IV.A.; that, to the best of his/her knowledge, all financial disclosures required by the conflict of interest policy were made; and that conflicts of interest, if any, were, or prior to the organization's expenditure of any funds under the award, will be, satisfactorily managed, reduced or eliminated in accordance with the organization's conflict of interest policy. Conflicts that cannot be satisfactorily managed, reduced or eliminated and research that proceeds without the imposition of conditions or restrictions when a conflict of interest exists, must be disclosed to NSF via use of the Notifications and Requests Module in FastLane.

Drug Free Work Place Certification

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent), is providing the Drug Free Work Place Certification contained in Exhibit II-3 of the Grant Proposal Guide.

Debarment and Suspension Certification

(If answer "yes", please provide explanation.)

Is the organization or its principals presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency?

Yes

No

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) or Individual Applicant is providing the Debarment and Suspension Certification contained in Exhibit II-4 of the Grant Proposal Guide.

Certification Regarding Lobbying

This certification is required for an award of a Federal contract, grant, or cooperative agreement exceeding \$100,000 and for an award of a Federal loan or a commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan exceeding \$150,000.

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Certification Regarding Nondiscrimination

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is providing the Certification Regarding Nondiscrimination contained in Exhibit II-6 of the Grant Proposal Guide.

Certification Regarding Flood Hazard Insurance

Two sections of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 USC §4012a and §4106) bar Federal agencies from giving financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in any area identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as having special flood hazards unless the:

- (1) community in which that area is located participates in the national flood insurance program; and
- (2) building (and any related equipment) is covered by adequate flood insurance.

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) or Individual Applicant located in FEMA-designated special flood hazard areas is certifying that adequate flood insurance has been or will be obtained in the following situations:

- (1) for NSF grants for the construction of a building or facility, regardless of the dollar amount of the grant; and
- (2) for other NSF grants when more than \$25,000 has been budgeted in the proposal for repair, alteration or improvement (construction) of a building or facility.

Certification Regarding Responsible Conduct of Research (RCR)

(This certification is not applicable to proposals for conferences, symposia, and workshops.)

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative is certifying that, in accordance with the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide, Part II, Award & Administration Guide (AAG) Chapter IV.B., the institution has a plan in place to provide appropriate training and oversight in the responsible and ethical conduct of research to undergraduates, graduate students and postdoctoral researchers who will be supported by NSF to conduct research. The AOR shall require that the language of this certification be included in any award documents for all subawards at all tiers.

CERTIFICATION PAGE - CONTINUED

Certification Regarding Organizational Support

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is certifying that there is organizational support for the proposal as required by Section 526 of the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010. This support extends to the portion of the proposal developed to satisfy the Broader Impacts Review Criterion as well as the Intellectual Merit Review Criterion, and any additional review criteria specified in the solicitation. Organizational support will be made available, as described in the proposal, in order to address the broader impacts and intellectual merit activities to be undertaken.

Certification Regarding Federal Tax Obligations

When the proposal exceeds \$5,000,000, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is required to complete the following certification regarding Federal tax obligations. By electronically signing the Certification pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative is certifying that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, the proposing organization:

- (1) has filed all Federal tax returns required during the three years preceding this certification;
- (2) has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and
- (3) has not, more than 90 days prior to this certification, been notified of any unpaid Federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a non-frivolous administrative or judicial proceeding.

Certification Regarding Unpaid Federal Tax Liability

When the proposing organization is a corporation, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is required to complete the following certification regarding Federal Tax Liability:

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is certifying that the corporation has no unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

Certification Regarding Criminal Convictions

When the proposing organization is a corporation, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is required to complete the following certification regarding Criminal Convictions:

By electronically signing the Certification Pages, the Authorized Organizational Representative (or equivalent) is certifying that the corporation has not been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the 24 months preceding the date on which the certification is signed.

AUTHORIZED ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVE		SIGNATURE	DATE
NAME			
TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	FAX NUMBER	

PROJECT SUMMARY

Overview:

Overview

Accelerators are powerful tools for scientific discovery and engines for industry. The ability to accelerate and manipulate particle beams is crucial to modern scientific research, enabling the discovery of the Higgs boson and the mapping of molecular dynamics. The electron cloud effect is a well known phenomenon in high-energy particle accelerators, consisting of the buildup of a high density of low-energy electrons inside the vacuum chamber. These electrons can cause a variety of undesirable effects, including emittance growth, beam instabilities and cryogenic heat load. The goal of this proposal is to further the understanding of the behavior of electron cloud observed to be trapped in the fields of quadrupole magnets. Such trapped cloud may limit the spacing of bunches in proton and positron synchrotrons and storage rings, and ultimately the beam quality and intensity.

The proposal is to instrument a quadrupole magnet with a shielded electrode detector for measuring the flux of cloud electrons into the wall of the chamber, and with resonant microwave detectors to measure the density of electrons in the chamber volume. The quadrupole will be installed in the Cornell electron/positron storage ring test accelerator (CESRTA) and used to measure cloud properties under a variety of beam conditions as a function of quadrupole field strength. The designs of the two types of detectors are based on prior CESRTA experience. Simulation code will be further developed to aid in the interpretation and analysis of the data. The proposed study will be coordinated within the framework of the ongoing CESRTA program.

Intellectual Merit :

Intellectual Merit

The high-energy storage ring CESR is a laboratory for the systematic study of the phenomena that emerge and ultimately limit particle density in intense compact beams. These studies contribute to the performance of fourth generation synchrotron light X-ray sources, electron-positron colliders, and proton accelerators and colliders, as well as to the understanding of particle beam dynamics. The studies inform the manipulation of intense beams. Previous studies at CESRTA are the basis of the design of damping rings for linear and circular colliders now under construction or in advanced stages of design.

Broader Impacts :

Broader Impacts

A better understanding of the physics of particle beams and related technology paves the way for new accelerators that are ever more powerful probes of materials, biological systems, molecules, atoms, nuclei and the most fundamental particles in nature. Research accelerators may be used to develop new drugs, to design lighter and stronger jet engine components, or better battery technology. Accelerators are tools of discovery that may inspire young scientists to explore our mysterious universe. This work will advance the capability of particle accelerators, the world's most powerful microscopes, and train the next generation of accelerator scientists.

The undergraduate, graduate and postdoctoral students trained through this program will have the rare opportunity to operate the state-of-the-art particle accelerators that are at the heart of their investigations of the accelerator technology and the properties of accelerated beams. That operational experience prepares them for leadership roles in the development of the future accelerators that will push back the frontiers of science. The public will gain deeper understanding of accelerator-based research through guided tours.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For font size and page formatting specifications, see GPG section II.B.2.

	Total No. of Pages	Page No.* (Optional)*
Cover Sheet for Proposal to the National Science Foundation		
Project Summary (not to exceed 1 page)	1	_____
Table of Contents	1	_____
Project Description (Including Results from Prior NSF Support) (not to exceed 15 pages) (Exceed only if allowed by a specific program announcement/solicitation or if approved in advance by the appropriate NSF Assistant Director or designee)	15	_____
References Cited	14	_____
Biographical Sketches (Not to exceed 2 pages each)	2	_____
Budget (Plus up to 3 pages of budget justification)	3	_____
Current and Pending Support	2	_____
Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources	1	_____
Special Information/Supplementary Documents (Data Management Plan, Mentoring Plan and Other Supplementary Documents)	2	_____
Appendix (List below.) (Include only if allowed by a specific program announcement/ solicitation or if approved in advance by the appropriate NSF Assistant Director or designee)	_____	_____
Appendix Items:		

*Proposers may select any numbering mechanism for the proposal. The entire proposal however, must be paginated. Complete both columns only if the proposal is numbered consecutively.

Overview: Electron Cloud Trapping in High Energy Accelerators

1.1 Objective and Significance

The electron cloud (EC) effect is a well-known phenomenon in particle accelerators (see, for example, [1]), in which a high density of low energy electrons builds up inside the vacuum chamber. These electrons can cause a wide variety of undesirable effects, including emittance growth and beam instabilities [2]. Electron cloud has been observed in many facilities [3], and is expected to be a major limiting factor in next generation positron and proton storage rings.

Performance of the B-Factory storage rings KEK-B in Japan [4] and PEP-II in the U.S. [5] was limited at least in part by electron cloud effects. Electron cloud induced pressure rise and beam instabilities were observed in the CERN Proton Synchrotron, part of the injection chain for the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Electron cloud phenomena limit bunch spacing in the LHC, increase heat load on cold dipoles, and lead to bunch dependent emittance growth and poor lifetime [3]. An understanding of these effects is essential for the LHC luminosity upgrade, as well as future electron positron colliders.

The decay time of the electron cloud dictates the length of the gap that separates bunch trains. Longer decay times imply a longer gap, with the length of the gap ultimately limiting the beam current. Typical decay times for electron clouds in drifts and dipole fields are about 100 ns. However electrons can be trapped in quadrupole and sextupole fields for times long compared to the revolution period of the ring, essentially indefinitely. Electron trapping was observed in the CESR dipole magnets that are equipped with distributed ion pumps, where the electric fields from the pumps combine with the dipole magnetic field to trap electrons [7]. Trapping of electrons in a proton storage ring was reported in Ref. [6].

The first evidence of electron trapping in the field of a quadrupole of a positron storage ring appeared at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR) in 2013 [13]. Cloud with lifetime well in excess of the order 2 micro-second revolution period of the storage ring was measured in the quadrupole chamber. Simulations indicated that electrons in the chamber would be trapped indefinitely. The trapping phenomenon has important implications for high intensity rings. Trapping of photoelectrons in the quadrupole and sextupole magnets of the Super KEK-B positron ring has been modeled [8] in some detail and has motivated vacuum chamber redesign. Estimates of long-lived electron cloud buildup in quadrupoles at the LHC indicate significant consequences for cryogenic heat load [9]. Simulations suggest that trapping occurs with electron beams as well as for positron and proton beams, and might impact performance of very low emittance and high brightness electron storage rings for X-ray science.

Our measurements with a time-resolving electron detector located in a quadrupole magnetic field have provided comparisons of signals from 10- and 20-bunch trains of positrons which show clear evidence for electron trapping during the entire $2.3 \mu\text{s}$ time interval prior to the return of the bunch train. Modeling indicates that approximately 7% of the cloud generated by a 5.3 GeV train of 20 bunches, each carrying 1.3×10^{11} positrons, remains trapped. The measurements show a non-monotonic dependence on bunch spacing indicative of beam-induced multipacting effects. The clearing effect of an intermediate bunch has been measured and successfully modeled, showing the trapped cloud can be reduced by a factor of four by such a clearing bunch. The characteristic of a quadrupole magnetic field to concentrate electrons near the beam raises concerns for storage rings with positively charge beams, since those electrons can be attracted into the beam.

The goal of this proposal is to further our understanding of the growth and decay of the electron cloud in quadrupole fields with better measurements. Specifically we propose to determine:

- Dependence of cloud properties on quadrupole field strength for gradients from 0 through

3.5 T/m with positron beams.

- Dependence of cloud properties on quadrupole field strength for gradients from 0 through 3.5 T/m with electron beams (A first time measurement).
- Dependence of cloud density on bunch charge to test the specific prediction that at large bunch charge there is a disproportionate increase in the EC density and in the azimuthal distribution of the electron flux onto the beam-pipe wall.
- Measurements of time-resolved electron cloud growth and decay using two independent detection techniques. Resonant microwave measurements will provide information about the density of the cloud within the volume of the chamber. A time resolving shielded electrode will measure the flux of electrons into the wall of the chamber.
- The dependence of the effectiveness of a clearing bunch, on quadrupole gradient and beam species.

In lepton machines and high energy proton accelerators, the cloud is seeded by photoelectrons generated by synchrotron radiation. The collision of these electrons with the beam pipe can then produce one or more secondary electrons, depending on the secondary electron yield (SEY) of the material. If the average SEY is greater than unity, the cloud density will grow exponentially, until a saturation is reached.

The study of EC growth, decay and mitigation has been carried out as part of the CESR storage ring test accelerator (CESRTA) program [10]. Specialized instrumentation includes a variety of detectors that sample the flux of electrons onto the beam-pipe wall. Some measure a DC current, while others give the time-resolved flux of electrons onto the wall. Data from these instruments have been compared with codes that simulate electron cloud growth such as ELOUD [11] and POSINST [12]. The codes have been extended to incorporate response of the electron detectors. These comparisons have led to refinement of the codes, so that they better predict behavior of the next generation high intensity accelerators.

The trapping phenomenon was observed by accelerator scientists Crittenden and Sikora with students, using a shielded electrode detector in a quadrupole at the CESR storage ring that samples the time-resolved flux of cloud electrons onto the beam-pipe surface. Data from this detector have demonstrated for the first time that a fraction of the electron cloud is trapped in the quadrupole [13]. Simulations of the cloud using the ELOUD code are in rough agreement with the measured signals generated by a positron beams.

However the quality of our measurements of the behavior of the electron cloud in a quadrupole was limited by the low signal levels and our inability to vary the quadrupole gradient. Indeed with an electron beam, the intensity of the synchrotron radiation is insufficient to produce a signal in this location. The proposed new instrumentation will overcome the limitations of the existing hardware, allowing a more complete characterization of electron cloud trapping in quadrupoles, as enumerated in the above list of goals.

The research will be carried out within the framework of the CESRTA program, which is funded by NSF 1416318 Cornell Program for Student-Centered Accelerator Science (9/1/2014-8/31/2017). Students (graduate and undergraduate) and post docs participating in the proposed study of trapped cloud will be supported by the existing grant and the REU program. The CESRTA organization will provide overall coordination of the design, fabrication and installation of the equipment. The concept for the electron cloud detection, detailed design of the detectors, and electron cloud and RF microwave analysis all borrow heavily from the CESRTA program experience and expertise.

2 Proposed Instrumentation

We propose that a new quadrupole with a shielded electrode detector be installed in a section of the storage ring that has higher synchrotron radiation flux, especially with electron beams. Improvements will be made in the design of the detector, including an azimuthally wider collector that simulations predict will give a significantly higher sensitivity at high bunch currents. By adding a new quadrupole and detector near an existing quadrupole it will be possible to vary the quadrupole field strength with a stored beam, compensating for this field change primarily with the adjacent quadrupole. The power supplies for the quadrupoles are independent, allowing the possibility of measuring the dependence of the trapped cloud density on the quadrupole gradient from zero up to at least 3.5 T/m. In addition, the new quadrupole chamber will be designed with electrodes to enable resonant microwave measurements of cloud density. The technique of using resonant microwaves to measure the EC density within the beam-pipe volume has been developed at CESR by Sikora (see for example [19]) and demonstrated at various locations around the storage ring. The EC densities obtained with this method have been compared with those obtained using both DC current and time-resolving wall flux detectors [15], mostly in sections without external magnetic fields. Analysis and cross-calibration of the data from the time resolving detector with the microwave measurements will provide a better understanding of the instruments as well as the temporal nature of the cloud. Measurements in the presently instrumented quadrupole chamber using this technique are not possible, primarily because there are no electrodes that might be used to couple microwaves into the chamber. Sikora and Crittenden will lead the effort to design the new instrumentation and carry out the experimental program.

2.1 New Quadrupole Magnet and Detectors

The existing quadrupole with EC detector (Q48W) is located in a straight section approximately four meters from the nearest upstream dipole for the positron beam and 14 m from the nearest upstream dipole for the electron beam. Both dipoles are so-called ‘soft bends’ with a relatively low field of about 1.4 kG for a 5.3 GeV beam. We propose to install a new quadrupole with detector in a region of the storage ring where the synchrotron radiation flux on the vacuum chamber will be significantly higher. The 3.7 m (Q15W) straight is between dipole magnets with 2.24 kG(electrons) and 3.36 kG(positrons) fields respectively. The instrumented quadrupole will be located in this straight adjacent to a standard lattice quadrupole. Figs. 1 and 2 compare the initial estimates of the flux of synchrotron radiation for electron and positron beams at the old (existing) and new (proposed) detector locations.

The direct synchrotron light flux from a positron and electron beam at Q15W is significantly higher than at the present location of Q48W. This increase in flux will give better signal to noise for measurements with positrons and allow the possibility of measurements with electron beams. Simulations with the E-CLOUD code of the expected signal from the detector at the new location show similar signals for 5.3 GeV beams and quadrupole gradients of 7.5 T/m and 3.5 T/m as well as for 2 GeV beams with gradients of 2 T/m.

The new quadrupole will be assembled from existing CESR MK1 style laminations. In order that the aperture be large enough to house the flux detector, the laminations will be machined and spacers added to give a 15 cm clearance for the beam-pipe/detector assembly. This modification is identical to that made to a quadrupole that has been in service for the past 10 years in the context of a luminosity monitor[16].

A new shielded electrode detector will be based on the existing design described in Ref. [13]. Simulations show that the azimuthal distribution of the peak electron flux increases with bunch




Figure 1: At the present location (Q48W) of the quadrupole equipped with an EC detector, the synchrotron light flux at 5.3 GeV is about 0.23 photons/m/electron for a positron beam and nearly zero for an electron beam.




Figure 2: At the proposed location of a new quadrupole with EC detector (Q15W), there is higher synchrotron light flux than at the present Q48W location for both positron and electron beams at 5.3 GeV.

charge and with the highest anticipated charge, it will extend beyond the 6 mm width of the existing detector. A wider azimuthal acceptance will be part of the new detector design. The new detector and quad will replace an existing 120 cm test section of beam-pipe instrumented with flux detectors in a drift [14]. As shown in Fig. 2 the new quadrupole will be adjacent to a standard lattice quadrupole. Variations in the field in the instrumented quad can be compensated with its neighbor allowing first measurements of the dependence on magnet gradient. The readout electronics and cabling for the existing electron flux detector will be reused.

The microwave properties of the new quadrupole chamber, including identification of resonant modes, will be modeled with InventorTM and CST Microwave StudioTM. Button electrodes to couple microwaves in and out of the chamber will be included in the design. The simulation will be benchmarked with bead-pull measurements on approximate physical models and on the final assembly before installation in the storage ring. For data taking, two runs of Helix will be needed for the drive and pickup signals and an existing signal generator and spectrum analyzer will be used.

2.2 Description of Electron Cloud Detectors

The proposed EC density detectors are extensions of devices already in use at CESR/TA. The following sections give a brief description of these detectors.

2.2.1 Shielded Electrode in a Quadrupole Magnet

A sketch of the existing shielded electrode detector is shown in Fig. 3. An array of parallel holes allows cloud electrons to enter the detector that is centered on a pole face near the longitudinal center of the quadrupole. The holes have a depth to diameter ratio of 3:1, which attenuates the electromagnetic pulse from the passing bunch, while providing adequate transparency for electrons. The collector is about 6 mm wide by 100 mm long and is AC coupled to 40 dB of amplification before the signal is recorded with a digital oscilloscope.




Figure 3: A sketch of the quadrupole shielded electrode, where holes in the beam-pipe wall allow cloud electrons to enter the detector, while attenuating the direct beam signal.




Figure 4: Quadrupole shielded electrode data from a 10-bunch train and a 20-bunch train of positrons at 10 mA/bunch (1.6×10^{11} positrons/bunch).

Figure 4 shows the data obtained with this detector that was the first indication of trapped charge. Data from two bunch configurations are shown, a 10-bunch and a 20-bunch train with the same charge per bunch, plotted on the same scale. The signal from the first ten bunches of the 20-bunch train is larger than the signal from the 10-bunch train alone. This suggests that the 20-bunch train leaves some amount of charge behind that is available to seed the buildup of the cloud on the next turn. Additional information on this and similar measurements is given in Ref. [13].

According to simulations with the ECLOUD code, roughly 7% of the EC density remains trapped in the quadrupole field for times longer than the 2562 ns revolution period of the stored beam in CESR [17]. Figure 5 shows the simulated density in a cross-section of the beam-pipe at a time just before the return of a stored 20-bunch train of positrons with bunch populations of 1.16×10^{11} and 1.32×10^{11} . Simulations also suggest that at the highest bunch charge, the peak current of electrons onto the beam-pipe wall is split about the line centered on the pole face. Figure 6 shows the simulated distribution of electrons just after the passage of a 20-bunch train of positrons. At the highest bunch charge, the split distribution is wider than the acceptance of the 6 mm width of the present detector, reducing its sensitivity to the increased flux of electrons at these currents. The original 6 mm width design, was based on the assumption of a narrow distribution of low energy cloud electrons that would spiral along the field lines toward the pole face. The 3:1 depth to diameter ratio of the holes limited the acceptance of the detector for electrons incident at larger angles. Our redesign will include a wider collector and an array of holes oriented to more nearly follow the diverging field lines of the quadrupole.

2.2.2 Microwave Measurements

The use of resonant microwaves to measure electron cloud (EC) density has been developed by Sikora and students at Cornell over the past several years [19]. In this technique, the accelerator beam-pipe is excited with microwaves near the cutoff frequency of the pipe, typically with some resonant response as shown in the example of Fig. 7. The presence of the electron cloud will shift the resonant frequencies by an amount $\Delta\omega$ given by Eq. 1 in the limit of EC densities with low




Figure 5: ECLLOUD simulations show cloud electrons that are trapped in the quadrupole field at a time just before the return of a stored 20-bunch train of positrons. The revolution period is 2562 ns.

collision frequency. In this equation, n_e is the local EC density, E_0^2 the local electric field of the resonant microwaves, ε_0 the vacuum permittivity, and with e and m_e the charge and mass of an electron. The integrals are taken over the resonant volume.

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \approx \frac{e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 m_e \omega_0^2} \frac{\int_V n_e E_0^2 dV}{\int_V E_0^2 dV} \quad (1)$$

In principle, a time-averaged EC density can be obtained by measuring shifts in the resonant peak frequencies, but at densities of $10^{12} e^-/m^3$, $\Delta\omega/\omega$ is only about 10^{-4} and is not easily measured given the typically low Q values. In addition, changes in beam-pipe temperature will effect the resonant frequency. Instead, we use a short train of bunches in the storage ring to generate a periodic EC density. Figure 8 shows that when the beam-pipe is excited at or near a resonant frequency, the periodic EC density will generate phase modulation in the response. The phase modulation sidebands are then observed with a spectrum analyzer [19].

An alternate technique is to detect the change in phase directly and record it with an oscilloscope [20]. The development of this phase detection technique will be important for the study of electron cloud that is trapped on a timescale that is long compared to the revolution period of the storage ring. The trapped cloud does not generate a modulation signal, but does produce a phase offset. With careful analysis, the phase signal will provide a more direct measure of the time evolution of the cloud.

3 Activities

3.1 Design

- Design new shielded electrode flux detector with larger azimuthal acceptance.
- Design the chamber geometry to support microwave measurements.




Figure 6: ECLLOUD simulations at a time just after the passage of a 20-bunch train of positrons show that the electrons hitting the beam-pipe wall (and detector) are split about the center of the quadrupole pole face. This is especially true at the highest bunch population of 8.26 mA/bunch. The existing detector is 6 mm wide at the approximate scale and location shown. The new detector will cover a wider azimuth to improve signal electron acceptance at the higher bunch populations.

- Design the mechanical support structures that will be needed for the installation of the quadrupole magnet and beam-pipe.
- Plan the location and routing of magnet power, signal cables and instruments for data collection.

3.2 Fabrication

- Large aperture quadrupole
 - Machine the pole tips of the assembled laminations and the iron spacers.
 - Wind coils, install them on the poles and make electrical, magnetic field quality and water flow tests.
 - Machine and assemble the mechanical support for the quadrupole
- Shielded electrode flux detector
 - Fabricate the shielded electrode detector, the hole array plates and machine slots in the beam-pipe.
 - Assemble these onto the beam-pipe; perform vacuum and electrical tests.
- Resonant microwave detector
 - Machine and assemble button electrodes for coupling microwaves in and out of the chamber
 - Perform bead pull measurements of quadrupole chamber to characterize resonant modes.




Figure 7: An example of resonant response of CESR beam-pipe. The triangles mark the resonant frequencies calculated for a shorted section of waveguide of length 1.385 m with a cutoff frequency of 1.8956 GHz.




Figure 8: With a fixed drive frequency, a shift in the resonant frequency will produce a phase shift in the response.

3.3 Installation

- Install new chamber with quadrupole in location Q15W
- Install heliax cables for microwave data
- Recover electronics from existing quadrupole detector and reinstall at new location
- Install a standard CESR chopper power converter for the new quadrupole

3.4 Commissioning

- With guidance from simulations, develop a program of measurements and experiments.
- Beam tests with electrons and positrons

3.5 Time Line

Assuming that funding is available summer 2015

- Summer 2015: Finalize the design of the shielded electrode flux detector and the RF design of the chamber.
- Fall 2015: Assemble and modify quadrupole laminations, assemble with spacers, wind coils and install support hardware.
- January 2016: Install new chamber with quadrupole in storage ring.
- April 2016: Detector commissioning and data taking.
- May 2016: Begin analysis

3.6 Data Taking and Analysis

Considerable effort will be required to develop the simulations to properly model the response of the electron flux detector and the resonant microwaves. The time-sliced particle-in-cell cloud buildup and electron macroparticle tracking numerical model, which includes photoelectron and secondary electron models as well as detector response functions, has proved essential in understanding the complicated relationship between the observed signals and the cloud spatial and time structures. Development of response modeling for the detector with improved azimuthal coverage will be necessary. Of particular interest will be the opportunity to validate the highly nonlinear relationship between signals and cloud density as a function of bunch population indicated by the modeling of existing measurements and predicted for the measurements proposed here.

A clearing bunch has been observed to modulate the density of the trapped cloud. We propose to develop experimental techniques to quantify this modulation by measuring the change in the sideband amplitude of resonant microwaves. We will also develop hardware and analysis that use direct phase detection so that the DC magnitude of the trapped cloud can be measured.

We will be taking data over a wide range of magnetic fields, with electrons for the first time as well as with positrons, and at different beam energies. In the past, large changes in magnetic field have always brought out either the inadequacies of the model or new physical insights. The analysis of the data from the flux and microwave detectors will proceed in parallel, with cross-calibration of the results of these two very different measurement techniques [15].

4 Broader Impact

The findings of the CESR-TA electron cloud research program formed the basis for the design of the damping rings for the International Linear Collider[28][29]. Mitigations tested at Cornell [10] are being incorporated into the B-factory that is being built at KEK in Japan[30]. Evaluation of mitigations has informed the design of LHC upgrades where electron cloud effects are observed to limit the number of proton bunches that can be circulated in the collider[31]. Electron cloud trapped in the very high gradient interaction region quadrupoles has been identified as an important contribution to cryogenic head load[32]. The observation of trapped cloud in CESR prompted a collaborative study of the phenomenon in SuperKEK-B[33].

Accelerators deliver X-rays, produce high-energy particles and create the conditions found in the center of stars and the early stages of our Universe. By one estimate, between 1939 and 2009, a Nobel Prize was awarded every 2.9 years for research made possible or carried out at least partially on an accelerator [34]. By improving the performance of accelerators, this proposal will benefit all of these. It will also make them more cost effective to build and operate. Today's accelerators are also a critical tool for industry, medicine, national defense, and research, and may offer a path to safe nuclear energy. Annual sales of industrial accelerators, for example, exceed \$2B, and are growing at an estimated 10% per year [35].

5 Outreach

Over the past several years, a number of undergraduate students have been involved in the acquisition and analysis of electron cloud data, many as part of the Research Experience for Undergraduates (REU) program. Some of the students who contributed to the study of trapped cloud and the development of the resonant microwave technique include Sean Foster, Lillie Pentecost, Ken Hammond, Robert Schwartz, Ben Carlson, Danielle Duggins, Alister Tencate, Chris Shill,

and Erika Cowan. Indeed, REU student Chris Schill alerted us to a peculiarity in our measurements that turned out to be the signature of trapping[36] and REU student Dante Iozzo’s modeling study of trapped electrons led to the discovery and quantification of a highly nonlinear relationship between the observed signals and the cloud density[37]. Their names appear as authors and coauthors of many of the conference proceedings and publications. We look forward to the continued involvement of students at all levels, in this research.

6 Results from Prior Support

- NSF 1002467 Lepton Collider R&D 5/1/2011-4/30/2015, \$15,009,973

With prior support from both NSF and DOE, the CESRTA research program has made important contributions to our understanding of beam dynamics of ultra-low emittance storage rings, and to the development of instrumentation for measuring properties of the beam and its environment. The results appear in more than 150 conference proceedings, PhD theses, internal reports and peer reviewed journal articles. The complete bibliography of CESRTA publications is included, beginning on page 4, of the ‘References Cited’ section of this proposal

6.1 Electron Cloud

Our study of the electron cloud includes characterization of mitigations, measurement of cloud growth and decay, and investigation of the dynamics of the interaction of the circulating electron or positron beam with the cloud. Electron cloud simulation codes have been extended and refined based on comparisons with our extensive data set and have become a more reliable tool for predicting behavior. Specialized instrumentation was developed to enable many of the studies. Nearly 30 retarding field analyzers were deployed in different magnetic field and vacuum environments in the Cornell storage ring to measure the time averaged flux of electrons hitting the walls of the vacuum chamber. Shielded electrodes provided time resolved measurements of electron flux and a direct observation of cloud growth and decay. The density of the electron cloud in the volume of the chamber was measured by observing the cloud induced phase and frequency shift of resonant microwaves.

6.1.1 Retarding Field Analyzers

The retarding field analyzer (RFA) measures the DC flux of electrons into the wall of the vacuum chamber. It is the principle tool for measuring the growth of the cloud and the surface properties of the chambers, and for determining the effectiveness of various suppression techniques. The RFA is mounted on the top of the chamber and is typically segmented to provide position dependence. The voltage on the retarding grid determines the minimum energy electron that can reach the collector, thus providing a means of measuring the electron energy distribution [21]. A voltage scan with an RFA in a field free region is shown in Fig. 9. A comparison of RFA measurements for five different beam pipe coatings is shown in Fig. 10. Fig. 11 is an example of a dipole RFA data taken as a function of the magnetic field. The most prominent feature of the data is regularly occurring spikes or dips in all three plotted chambers. These correspond to “cyclotron resonances,” which occur whenever the cyclotron period of cloud electrons in the dipole field is an integral multiple of the bunch spacing thus enhancing the transfer of energy from the beam to the electron. With 4 ns bunch spacing the data show resonant enhancement at intervals of 89 Gauss, in good agreement with our simple model. The resonances appear as peaks in the RFA signal in the Aluminum chamber,

but as dips in the coated chambers. The resonant enhancement in the uncoated aluminum vacuum chamber is a result of higher energy electrons striking the bottom and top of the vacuum chamber at more grazing angles. For TiN coated chambers, the energy dependence of the secondary yield peaks at lower energy than for aluminum. We find as a result that in the coated chambers the cloud density decreases on resonance, where the electron energy is beyond the peak yield. Simulations with the ECLOUD code that incorporate the appropriate physics parameters of the TiN coating are in good agreement with the measurements.




Figure 9: RFA voltage scan. The flux detector has 9 collectors arrayed across the top of the vacuum chamber, with collector 5 at the center. The electron cloud is evidently concentrated near the center of the chamber. The electron energy is given by $-V_{grid}$. The vacuum chamber is TiN coated, beam conditions $1 \times 45 \times 1.25$ mA, 5.3 GeV with 14 ns spaced bunches.




Figure 10: Comparison of different beam pipe coatings. Plots show average collector signal vs. beam current for 20 bunches of positrons with 14 ns spacing at beam energy 5.3 GeV. Note that the aluminum chamber signals are divided by 3. The TiN signal is plotted for two different beam doses.

6.1.2 Shielded Button Electrode

The shielded button electrode is a standard beam position monitor button electrode that is shielded from the direct beam signal by a screen with holes for transmission of cloud electrons. The time resolution of the detector is a fraction of a nanosecond permitting time-resolving measurements of the growth and decay of the cloud. In a typical measurement, two bunches are circulated in the storage ring. Synchrotron radiation from the lead bunch knocks electrons off the walls that then populate the chamber with some distribution. The trailing (witness) bunch of positrons kicks electrons that have drifted to the bottom half of the chamber into the detector at the top. The delay of the witness bunch with respect to the lead maps the development of the cloud.

The time evolution of the cloud is sensitive to its kinematic phase space distribution[18],[14]. The beam kicks, which can be controlled by varying the bunch population, accelerate cloud electrons to energies at and beyond the peak energy of the secondary emission curve. Subsequent collisions with the vacuum chamber wall reduce the cloud kinetic energy. Eventually the secondary emission process is dominated by elastic reflection of the remaining low-energy electrons. The cloud lifetime is then determined by the material-specific elastic yield value of the surface.




Figure 11: RFA signal as a function of dipole field: 1×451 mA positrons, 5 GeV 4 ns spacing. Cyclotron resonances are observed every 89 G. Note that the Aluminum chamber signal is divided by 20.




Figure 12: Witness bunch study with the TiN-coated aluminum chamber. The smooth curves show the digitized shielded electrode signals, while the points show the results of the model including statistical uncertainties.

Fig. 12 illustrates a method of determining cloud lifetime, and the elastic yield value, for a titanium nitride coated chamber. Overlaying the two-bunch signals obtained by varying the delay in the arrival of the trailing bunch in 14-ns steps clearly shows both the buildup and decay of the cloud. Six scope traces produced by two 5.3 GeV positron bunches of population 8×10^{10} are superposed. The delay of the witness bunch ranges from 14 to 84 ns. The magnitudes of the modeled signals at large witness bunch delay show the dependence on the elastic yield parameter δ_0 . The best fit is given by a value $\delta_0 = 0.05$, which is an order of magnitude lower than is obtained from measurements on an uncoated aluminum vacuum chamber. Detectors that combine the position and energy sensitivity of the RFA with the temporal measurement of the shielded detector, have been installed in dipole and quadrupole chambers.

Measurements of the electron cloud with resonant microwaves are shown in Fig. 13. If the EC density were uniform over the length of this section of beam-pipe, the data obtained from these five resonances would coincide. But at the highest current, the measured EC densities vary by about 30%. The differences in these measurements are too large to be explained by errors in the measurement of the Q of each resonance or of the sideband ratios, suggesting that the EC density is not uniform and that the distribution of the standing waves is not symmetric. Indeed the asymmetry of the standing waves provides a means for measuring the nonuniformity of the cloud[19].

6.1.3 Electron Cloud Beam Dynamics

Experiments were performed at CESR-TA to characterize the interaction of the electron cloud with the high energy positron and electron beams. The first order effect of the electron cloud on the circulating beam is to focus positron beams and defocus electron beams. The focusing (defocusing) is manifested as a tuneshift. A train of bunches produces an electron cloud with density increasing




Figure 13: The EC density is calculated for each of the five peak frequencies of Fig. 7 and plotted as function of total beam current in a 10-bunch train of positrons.




Figure 14: Measured tune shifts (black points) vs. bunch number, for a train of 10, 0.75 mA, 5.3 GeV positron bunches with 14 ns spacing, followed by witness bunches. Blue points are from POSINST simulation and a sophisticated model of synchrotron radiation.

along the train[22]. The tune is shifted for each bunch by an amount proportional to the local cloud density. A measurement of the bunch by bunch tune shift for a train of ten bunches is shown in Fig. 14. The points in the figure at bunch numbers greater than 10 are measurements for the tune shift of a witness bunch placed sequentially in 14 ns increments beyond the end of the train to characterize the decay of the cloud. In Fig. 15 the electron density as determined by the measured tune shift for a train of 30 bunches is plotted along with the results of the POSINST simulation of the density. A second order effect of the cloud on the beam is to increase the beam emittance. Beam centroid motion and size are observed to grow along a train. Often the first bunch in the train has an anomalously large size. Fig. 16 shows the measured vertical size and centroid motion of each bunch in a train of 30 positron bunches. A quantitative understanding of the physics driving these effects is the subject of ongoing research.

6.2 Instrumentation

The beam based measurements essential to the research program required the development of new instrumentation, including a multi-bunch multi-turn beam position monitor system, an X-ray beam size monitor for bunch by bunch measurement of vertical beam size, a visible light monitor of horizontal beam size, and a digital tune tracker for resonantly driving individual bunches at the normal mode frequencies.

6.2.1 X-Ray Beam Size Monitor

The X-ray beam size monitor[25] measures bunch by bunch and turn by turn vertical beam size with resolution of a few microns. Synchrotron X-rays radiated by the bunch in a hard bend magnet are imaged by an optical element onto a detector.

The fast diodes and readout provide turn by turn measurement of the size of bunches separated by as few as 4 ns. That capability is illustrated in Fig. 16 that shows beam size as a function of bunch number for 30 bunches with 4 ns spacing, preceded by a precursor bunch 20 ns ahead of the




Figure 15: Average initial (i.e., before the “pinch”) electron cloud density vs. bunch number, comparison between estimate from measured tune shifts (red), and simulation (black) from POSINST.




Figure 16: Turn-averaged beam size by bunch number for a run with 30 bunches in a train with 4 ns bunch separation and an additional precursor bunch 20 ns ahead of the train.

train. The precursor is meant to temporarily sweep away the electron cloud. Without the precursor we find that the lead bunch (bunch #6) tends to have larger beam size. A coded aperture optic, optimized for operation in the low beam energy regime (1.8GeV) where the X-ray spectrum is soft and the photon statistics limited, was successfully tested, demonstrating a significantly improved resolving power. The new optic was used for measurements of intra-beam scattering[26].

6.2.2 Visible Light Beam Size Monitor

A beam profile monitor utilizing visible synchrotron radiation (SR) from a bending magnet has been designed and installed in the storage ring (CESR)[24]. The monitor employs a double-slit interferometer, as shown in Fig. 17 to measure both the horizontal and vertical beam sizes over a wide range of beam currents. By varying the separation of the slits, beam sizes ranging from 50 to 500 μm can be measured with a resolution of approximately 5 μm . By imaging the π -polarized component of SR, a small vertical beam size ($\sim 70 \mu\text{m}$) was measured during an undulator test run in CESR, which was consistent with the interferometer measurement. To measure the bunch length, a beam splitter is inserted to direct a fraction of light into a streak camera setup. This beam size monitor measures the transverse and longitudinal beam sizes simultaneously, a capability that has proven invaluable for the study of collective effects that dilute the beam emittance. A measurement of the dependence of the horizontal beam size on bunch charge is shown in Fig. 18 along with the prediction of intra-beam scattering (IBS) theory. As anticipated, the increase in horizontal beam size due to IBS depends on the initial (\sim zero current) vertical emittance[26].

6.3 Low Emittance Tuning

The low emittance tuning algorithm developed at CESR-TA [27] routinely achieves geometric vertical emittance of order 10 pm-rad and is limited by survey tolerances. The tuning algorithm depends on beam based measurements of the closed orbit, transverse coupling, and vertical dispersion. The coupling is determined by resonantly exciting a bunch at the transverse normal mode tunes, and measuring out of plane response at each BPM. Dispersion is similarly determined by exciting the beam at the synchrotron tune and measuring horizontal and vertical response at that frequency. A digital tune tracker [39] is used to drive the beam on resonance and to monitor the phase of the




Figure 17: A typical interference pattern of SR using a $D=2.0$ mm double slits. (b) The horizontal intensity profile integrated between two white lines in (a) and the best fit using Eq. (1). (c) The visibility measured using three different sets of double slits ($D=2.0, 2.5,$ and 3.0 mm).




Figure 18: Horizontal beam size vs bunch charge for electrons and positrons at low vertical emittance and then again with higher vertical emittance. The points are the data and the bands the model of intra-beam scattering including theoretical uncertainty.

drive on each turn for comparison with the measured phase of the bunch at each BPM. Because the data is collected in a fraction of a second, the emittance tuning technique is insensitive to drifts in timing and BPM gain. Insofar as no guide field magnets need be changed, the method is relatively non-destructive.

6.4 Collective effects

The instrumentation described above has enabled the study of other collective effects, including intra-beam scattering (see Fig. 18)[26] and the fast ion instability [40].

6.5 Publications

The complete bibliography of reports, conference proceedings and peer reviewed publications documenting the results of prior support for the CESR-TA research program appears beginning on page 4 of the ‘References Cited’ section of this proposal. A number of analysis and simulation codes have been developed as part of the research program. The *BMAD* [41] open source code library now includes modules for computing, fast ion instability, intra-beam scattering, wakefield effects, space charge. The *CMAD* [42] simulation is used to study the interaction of the beam with the electron cloud and has reproduced a number of the features of the data.

Overview: Electron Cloud Trapping in High Energy Accelerators

References

- [1] M.A. Furman, “Electron Cloud Effects in Accelerators,” in Proc. of ELOUD’12, La Biodola, Isola d’Elba, Italy, June 5-8 2012, DOI: 10.5170/CERN-2013-002, pp. 1-8. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [2] F. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. ST-AB 7,124801 (2004). [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [3] F. Zimmerman, “Electron-Cloud Effects in past and future machines - walk through 50 years of Electron-Cloud studies,” in Proc. of ELOUD’12, La Biodola, Isola d’Elba, Italy, June 5-8 2012, DOI: 10.5170/CERN-2013-002, pp. 9-17. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [4] K. Ohmi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1526 (1995). [Article\(APS\)](#)
- [5] M.A. Furman and G.R. Lambertson, proceedings of PAC97, Vancouver, BC, Canada (1997). [Proceedings](#)
- [6] R.J. Macek, A. Browman, J. Ledford, M. Borden, J. O’Hara, R. McCrady, L. Rybarcyk, T. Spickermann, T. Zaugg, M. Pivi, Phys. Rev. ST-AB 1,010101 (2008). [Article\(APS\)](#)
- [7] T. Holmquist and J.T. Rogers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3186 (1997). [Article](#)
- [8] L.F. Wang, H. Fukuma, S. Kurokawa and K. Oide, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 036502 (2002). [Article](#)
- [9] R. Cimino, I. R. Collins, M. A. Furman, M. Pivi, F. Ruggiero, G. Rumolo, and F. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 014801 (2004). [Article](#)
- [10] “The CESR-TA: Phase I Report,” Tech. Rep. CLNS-12-2084, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY (Jan. 2013). [E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [11] F. Zimmermann, G. Rumolo and K. Ohmi, “Electron Cloud Build Up in Machines with Short Bunches,” ICFA Beam Dynamics Newsletter **Nr. 33**, eds. K. Ohmi & M. Furman (2004). [E-Print](#)
- [12] M.A. Furman and M.T.F. Pivi, “Probabilistic model for the simulation of secondary electron emission,” PRST-AB **5**, 124404 (2002). [Article\(APS\)](#)
- [13] M.G. Billing, J. Conway, E.E. Cowan, J.A. Crittenden, W. Hartung, J. Lanzoni, Y. Li, C.S. Shill, J.P. Sikora, K.G. Sonnad, “Observation of Electron Trapping in a Positron Storage Ring,” [E-Print\(arXiv\)](#)
- [14] J.A. Crittenden, M.G. Billing, Y. Li, M.A. Palmer, J.P. Sikora, Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research A (2014),[\(Science Direct\)](#)
- [15] J. P. Sikora, J.R. Calvey, J.A. Crittenden, “Cross-Calibration of Three Electron Cloud Density Detectors at CESR-TA,” in Proc. of IBIC’14, Monterey, California, September 2014, THCB1, (2014). [\(IBIC 2014 Pre-Press Proceedings\)](#)
- [16] M.A. Palmer, J.A. Crittenden, J. Kandaswamy, A. Temnykh, “Design, Fabrication and Characterization of a Large-Aperture Quadrupole Magnet for CESR-c”, proceedings of PAC2005, Knoxville, Tennessee, May 2005, pp. 4063-4065, (2005).

- [17] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M.A. Palmer, J.P. Sikora, “Modeling for Time-Resolved Retarding Field Analyzer Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup at CESRТА,” in Proceedings IPAC2013, Shanghai, China, Z. Dai *et al.*, Eds., JACoW (2013), p.846–848. ([JACoW](#))
- [18] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M.A. Palmer, J.P. Sikora, “Electron Cloud Modeling Results for Time-Resolved Shielded Pickup Measurements at CESRТА,” in Proceedings of PAC2011, New York, NY, IEEE (2011), p. 1752–1754. ([JACoW](#))
- [19] J.P. Sikora, B. T. Carlsonb, D. O. Dugginsc, K. C. Hammondd, S. De Santise, A. J. Tencatef, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **754**, pp. 28-35 (2014), ([Science Direct](#))
- [20] J. P. Sikora, S. De Santis, “Resonant TE Wave Measurements of Electron Cloud Density Using Phase Detection,” in Proc. of IBIC’13, Oxford, United Kingdom, September 2013, TUPF35, (2013). [PDF](#) ([JACoW](#))
- [21] J. R. Calvey, W. Hartung, Y. Li, J.A. Livezey, J. Makita, M.A. Palmer, D. Rubin, “Comparison of Electron Cloud Mitigating Coatings Using Retarding Field Analyzers,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. **A760**, October 2014, p. 86-97. ([Science Direct](#))
- [22] G. Dugan, M. Billing, K. Butler, J. Crittenden, M. Forster, D. Kreinick, R. Meller, M. Palmer, G. Ramirez, M. Rendina, N. Rider, K. Sonnad, H. Williams, J.Chu, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, J. Flanagan, K.Ohmi, M. Furman, M. Venturini, M. Pivi, “Studies at CESRТА of Electron-Cloud-Induced Beam Dynamics for Future Damping Rings,” in IPAC2012: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, IEEE,2012, p. 2081–2085. [PDF](#) ([JACoW](#))
- [23] G. Dugan, D. Sagan, “SYNRAD3D Photon Propagation and Scattering Simulations,” in Proceedings of E-CLOUD 2012, La Biodola, Elba, Italy, edited by R. Cimino, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, CERN-2013-002, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, p. 117-129. [E-Print](#) ([CERN DS](#))
- [24] S.T.Wang, D.L. Rubin, J. Conway, M. Palmer, D. Hartill, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, “Visible-light beam size monitors using synchrotron radiation at CESR,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. **A703**, March 2013, p. 80-90. ([Science Direct](#))
- [25] J.P.Alexander, A. Chatterjee, C. Conolly, E. Edwards, M.P. Ehrlichman, E. Fontes, B.K. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Lyndaker, D.P. Peterson, N.T. Rider, D.L. Rubin, J. Savino, R. Seeley, J. Shanks, J.W. Flanagan, “Vertical beam size measurement in the CESR-TA e^+e^- storage ring using x-rays from synchrotron radiation,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. **A748**, June 2014, p. 96-125. ([Science Direct](#))
- [26] M.P.Ehrlichman, W. Hartung, B. Heltsley, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. Shanks, S. T. Wang, R. Campbell, and R. Holtzapple, “Intrabeam scattering studies at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator,” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **17**, October 2013, 104401. [Article](#) ([APS](#))
- [27] J. Shanks, D. L. Rubin, D. Sagan, “Low-Emittance Tuning at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator,” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **17**, April 2014, 044003. [Article](#) ([APS](#))
- [28] ILC Technical Design Report 12, June 2013, [pdf](#)

- [29] J. A. Crittenden, J. Conway, G. F. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, D. L. Rubin, J. Shanks, K. G. Sonnad, L. Boon, K. Harkay, T. Ishibashi, M. A. Furman, S. Guiducci, M. T. F. Pivi, and L. Wang, “Investigation into Electron Cloud Effects in the International Linear Collider Positron Damping Ring,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **17**, 031002 (Mar. 2014). [Article\(APS\)](#)
- [30] Y. Suetsugu, Ken-ichi Kanazawa, K. Shibata, T. Ishibashi, H. Hisamatsu, M. Shirai and S. Terui, “Design and Construction of the SuperKEKB Vacuum System,” *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **30**, 031602 (May 2012)
- [31] G. Iadarola & G. Rumolo, “Electron Cloud in the CERN Accelerators (PS, SPS, LHC),” in *Proceedings of ECLOUD 2012, La Biodola, Elba, Italy*, R. Cimino, G. Rumolo & F. Zimmermann, Eds., CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2013), CERN-2013-002, p. 19–26.
- [32] G. Iadarola, “Electron Cloud Studies for CERN Particle Accelerators and Simulation Code Development”, Ph.D. thesis, University of Naples, Italy (March 2014).
- [33] J. A. Crittenden, “Modeling of Electron Cloud Buildup in the Final-focus Quadrupole Magnets of the SuperKEKB Collider,” submitted to IPAC 2015 (Contribution ID 3484), Richmond, Virginia, USA
- [34] E.F. Haussecker and A.W. Chao, “Influence of accelerator science on physics research”, *Physics in Perspective* 13 (2011) 146
- [35] R. W. Hamm and M. E. Hamm, edited by, “Industrial Accelerators and Their Applications”, World Scientific Publishing (2012); R. W. Hamm & M. E. Hamm, IPAC 2013, [PSF\(JACoW\)](#)
- [36] C. Schill, “Analysis and Modeling of Quadrupole Shielded Pickup Measurements in CESR-TA” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2013, [E-Print](#)
- [37] Dante Iozzo, “Modeling the Sensitivity of Electron Trapping in a Quadrupole Magnet to Secondary Electron Yield and Bunch Current,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell U., Ithaca, NY, August 2014, [E-Print](#)
- [38] W. Hartung, D. M. Asner, J. V. Conway, C. A. Dennett, S. Greenwald, J.-S. Kim, Y. Li, T. P. Moore, V. Omanovic, M. A. Palmer, C. R. Strohman, “Instrumentation and Methods for In-Situ Measurements of the Secondary Electron Yield in an Accelerator Environment,” Technical Report arXiv:1407.0772, Cornell University Library, Ithaca, New York, December 2014, accepted for publication in *Nucl. Instrum. Methods. Phys. Res. A*, [E-Print \(arXiv\)](#)
- [39] R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, “Digital Tune Tracker for CESR,” in *Proceedings of PAC2011*, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 504-506. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [40] A. Chatterjee, K. Blaser, M. Ehrlichman, D. Rubin, J. Shanks, “Fast Ion Instability at CESR-TA,” in *IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference*, Dresden, Germany, edited by C. Petit-Jean-Genaz, G. Arduini, P. Michel, V. R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 1638-1640. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [41] D. Sagan, “Bmad: A relativistic charged particle simulation library”, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, 558, (2006). [\(Science Direct\)](#)
- [42] M. T. F. Pivi, K. G. Sonnad, G. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, “Simulation of Electron Cloud Induced Instabilities and Emittance Growth for CESR-TA,” in *Proceedings of ECLOUD 2010*, Ithaca, NY, ed. K. Smolenski, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 2013, p. 203-207. [\(JACoW\)](#)

CesrTA Bibliography 2011-2015

- [1] J. R. Calvey, W. Hartung, Y. Li, J. A. Livezey, J. Makita, M. A. Palmer, D. Rubin, “Measurements of Electron Cloud Growth and Mitigation in Dipole, Quadrupole, and Wiggler Magnets,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A770, January 2015, p. 141-154. [Article\(Science Direct\)](#)
- [2] M. G. Billing, J. Conway, E. E. Cowan, J. A. Crittenden, W. Hartung, J. Lanzoni, Y. Li, C. S. Shill, J. P. Sikora, K. G. Sonnad, “Measurement of Electron Trapping in the CESR Storage Ring,” Technical Report arXiv:1309.2625, Cornell University Library, Ithaca, New York, December 2014, submitted to Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, [E-Print\(arXiv\)](#)
- [3] J. P. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, C. Conolly, E. Edwards, M. P. Ehrlichman, J. W. Flanagan, E. Fontes, B. K. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Lyndaker, D. P. Peterson, N. T. Rider, D. L. Rubin, R. Seeley, J. Shanks, “Design and Performance of Coded Aperture Optical Elements for the CESR-TA X-Ray Beam Size Monitor,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A767, December 2014, p. 467-474. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
- [4] J. Shanks, D. L. Rubin, D. Sagan, “Characterization of the International Linear Collider Damping Ring Optics,” J. Instrum. 9, T10009. October 2014, [Report\(IOP\)](#)
- [5] J. R. Calvey, W. Hartung, Y. Li, J. A. Livezey, J. Makita, M. A. Palmer, D. Rubin, “Comparison of Electron Cloud Mitigating Coatings Using Retarding Field Analyzers,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A760, October 2014, p. 86-97. [Article\(Science Direct\)](#)
- [6] A. Chatterjee, K. Blaser, W. Hartung, D. Rubin, S. T. Wang, “Fast Ion Instability at CESR-TA,” submitted to Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams in September 2014
- [7] G. F. Dugan, K. G. Sonnad, R. Cimino, T. Ishibashi, F. Schaefer, “Measurements of X-Ray Scattering from Accelerator Vacuum Chamber Surfaces, and Comparison with an Analytical Model,” submitted to Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams in September 2014,
- [8] J. P. Sikora, S. De Santis, “Electron Cloud Density Measurements Using resonant Microwaves at CESR-TA,” in IBIC 2014: Proceedings of the 3rd International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Monterey, CA, 2014, Paper WEPF21, ([IBIC 2014 Pre-Press Proceedings](#))
- [9] J. P. Sikora, J. R. Calvey, J. A. Crittenden, “Cross-Calibration of Three Electron Cloud Density Detectors at CESR-TA,” in IBIC 2014: Proceedings of the 3rd International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Monterey, CA, 2014, Paper THCXB1, ([IBIC 2014 Pre-Press Proceedings](#))
- [10] Sean Foster, “Characterization of Surface Phenomena which Produce Electron Clouds in Particle Accelerators,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2014, [E-Print](#)
- [11] Dante Iozzo, “Modeling the Sensitivity of Electron Trapping in a Quadrupole Magnet to Secondary Electron Yield and Bunch Current,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2014, [E-Print](#)
- [12] Lillie Pentecost, “Simulating the Effects of Electron Clouds on Positron Beams,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2014, [E-Print](#)

- [13] Kiran G. Sonnad, Kenneth C. Hammond, Robert M. Schwartz, Seth A. Veitzer, “Simulation and Analysis of TE Wave Propagation for Measurement of Electron Cloud Densities in Particle Accelerators,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A754, August 2014, p. 83-93. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
- [14] John P. Sikora, Benjamin T. Carlson, Danielle O. Duggins, Kenneth C. Hammond, Stefano De Santis, Alister J. Tencate, “Electron Cloud Density Measurements in Accelerator Beam-Pipe Using Resonant Microwave Excitation,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A754, August 2014, p. 28-35. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
- [15] W. Hartung, D. Asner, J. Conway, C. Dennett, S. Greenwald, J.-S. Kim, Y. Li, T. Moore, V. Omanovic, M. Palmer, C. Strohman, “Instrumentation and Methods for In-Situ Measurements of the Secondary Electron Yield in an Accelerator Environment,” Technical Report arXiv:1407.0772, Cornell University Library, Ithaca, New York, July 2014, submitted to Nucl. Instrum. Methods. Phys. Res. A, [E-Print \(arXiv\)](#)
- [16] J. A. Crittenden, M. G. Billing, W. H. Hartung, C. S. Shill, J. P. Sikora, K. G. Sonnad, “Numerical Modeling for CESR-TA Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup in a Quadrupole Magnet,” in IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Dresden, Germany, edited by Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Gianluigi Arduini, Peter Michel, Volker R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 1632-1634. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [17] K. J. Blaser, A. Chatterjee, M. P. Ehrlichman, W. Hartung, B. Heltsley, D. P. Peterson, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. P. Shanks, S. T. Wang, “Measurement of Beam Size in Intrabeam Scattering Dominated Beams at Various Energies at CEsR-TA,” in IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Dresden, Germany, edited by Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Gianluigi Arduini, Peter Michel, Volker R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 1635-1637. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [18] A. Chatterjee, K. Blaser, M. Ehrlichman, D. Rubin, J. Shanks, “Fast Ion Instability at CESR-TA,” in IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Dresden, Germany, edited by Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Gianluigi Arduini, Peter Michel, Volker R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 1638-1640. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [19] M. G. Billing, L. Y. Bartnik, K. D. Butler, G. Dugan, M. J. Forster, G. A. Ramirez, N. T. Rider, K. Sonnad, H. A. Williams, R. L. Holtzapple, K. McArdle, M. Miller, M. Totten, J. W. Flanagan, “Recent Results for the Dependence of Beam Instabilities Caused by Electron Clouds at CESR-TA Due to Variations in Bunch Spacing and Chromaticity,” in IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Dresden, Germany, edited by Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Gianluigi Arduini, Peter Michel, Volker R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 1721-1723. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [20] J. P. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, M. P. Ehrlichman, B. K. Heltsley, A. Lyndaker, D. P. Peterson, N. T. Rider, D. Rubin, R. Seeley, J. Shanks, J. Flanagan, “Low Energy Coded Aperture Performance at the CEsR-TA X-Ray Beam Size Monitor,” in IPAC2014: Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Dresden, Germany, edited by Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Gianluigi Arduini, Peter Michel, Volker R. W. Schaa, JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 3741-3743. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)

- [21] J. R. Calvey, G. Dugan, W. Hartung, J. A. Livezey, J. Makita, M. A. Palmer, “Measurement and Modeling of Electron Cloud in a Field Free Environment Using Retarding Field Analyzers,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 17, June 2014, 061001. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [22] J. A. Crittenden, M. G. Billing, Y. Li, M. A. Palmer, J. P. Sikora, “Shielded Button Electrodes for Time-Resolved Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup,” *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* 749, June 2014, p. 42-46. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
 J. P. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, C. Conolly, E. Edwards, M. P. Ehrlichman, E. Fontes, B. K. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Lyndaker, D. P. Peterson, N. T. Rider, D. L. Rubin, J. Savino, R. Seeley, J. Shanks, J. W. Flanagan, “Vertical Beam Size Measurement in the CESR-TA e+e- Storage Ring Using X-Rays from Synchrotron Radiation,” *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* 748, June 2014, p. 96-125. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
- [23] J. Shanks, D. L. Rubin, D. Sagan, “Low-Emittance Tuning at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 17, April 2014, 044003. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [24] M. P. Ehrlichman, A. Chatterjee, W. Hartung, B. Heltsley, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. P. Shanks, S. T. Wang, “Measurement and Compensation of Horizontal Crabbing at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 17, April 2014, 044002. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [25] J. A. Crittenden, J. Conway, G. F. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, D. L. Rubin, J. Shanks, K. G. Sonnad, L. Boon, K. Harkay, T. Ishibashi, M. A. Furman, S. Guiducci, M. T. F. Pivi, L. Wang, “Investigation into Electron Cloud Effects in the International Linear Collider Positron Damping Ring,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 17, March 2014, 031002. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [26] E. E. Cowan, Kiran G. Sonnad, Seth Veitzer, “Trajectories of Low Energy Electrons in Particle Accelerator Magnetic Structures,” in *NA-PAC 2013: Proceedings of the 2013 North American Particle Accelerator Conference*, Pasadena, CA, edited by Todd Satogata, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker Schaa, JACoW, 2013, p. 475-477. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [27] J. P. Sikora, J. A. Crittenden, D. O. Duggins, Y. Li, X. Liu, “Changes in Electron Cloud Density with Beam Conditioning at CEsR-TA,” in *NA-PAC 2013: Proceedings of the 2013 North American Particle Accelerator Conference*, Pasadena, CA, edited by Todd Satogata, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker Schaa, JACoW, 2013, p. 904-906. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [28] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, J. V. Conway, J. A. Crittenden, J. A. Lanzoni, Y. Li, “Electron Cloud Measurements Using a Shielded Pickup in a Quadrupole at CEsR-TA,” in *NA-PAC 2013: Proceedings of the 2013 North American Particle Accelerator Conference*, Pasadena, CA, edited by Todd Satogata, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker Schaa, JACoW, 2013, p. 1437-1439. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [29] J. P. Sikora, J. A. Crittenden, S. De Santis, A. J. Tencate, “Resonant TE Wave Measurement of Electron Cloud Density Using Multiple Sidebands,” in *IBIC 2013: Proceedings of the 2nd International Beam Instrumentation Conference*, Oxford, UK, edited by Ian Martin, Guenther Rehm, 2013, p. 597-600. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [30] J. P. Sikora, S. De Santis, “Resonant TE Wave Measurement of Electron Cloud Density Using Phase Detection,” in *IBIC 2013: Proceedings of the 2nd International Beam Instrumentation Conference*, Oxford, UK, edited by Ian Martin, Guenther Rehm, 2013, p. 601-604. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)

- [31] S. De Santis, J. P. Sikora, “Analysis of Modulation Signals Generated in the TE Wave Detection Method for Electron Cloud Measurements,” in IBIC 2013: Proceedings of the 2nd International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Oxford, UK, edited by Ian Martin, Guenther Rehm, 2013, p. 605-607. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [32] J. W. Flanagan, M. Arinaga, H. Fukuma, H. Ikeda, A. Lyndaker, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, “High-Power Tests at CEsR-TA of X-ray Optics Elements for SuperKEKB,” in IBIC 2013: Proceedings of the 2nd International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Oxford, UK, edited by Ian Martin, Guenther Rehm, 2013, p. 844-847. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [33] Erika Cowan, “Studying the Trajectories of Low Energy Electrons in Magnetic Structures,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2013, [E-Print](#)
- [34] Christopher R. Shill, “Analysis and Modeling of Quadrupole Shielded Pickup Measurements in CESR-TA,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2013, [E-Print](#)
- [35] Matt Solt, “Analysis of Beam Position Monitor and Beam Size Monitor Signals for Head-Tail Motion of Bunches within the Cornell Electron Storage Ring,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2013, [E-Print](#)
- [36] Alister J. Tencate, John Sikora, “Resonant TE Wave Measurement of Electron Cloud Buildup,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2013, [E-Print](#)
- [37] M. P. Ehrlichman, W. Hartung, B. Heltsley, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. Shanks, S. T. Wang, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, “Intrabeam Scattering Studies at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator,” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 16, October 2013, 104401. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [38] David L. Rubin, “CESR Test Accelerator,” in Proceedings of Snowmass on the Mississippi 2013, Minneapolis, MN, in press, SNOW13-00115, [Preprint \(arXiv:1308.2325\)](#)
- [39] Joseph Raymond Calvey, “Studies of Electron Cloud Growth and Mitigation at CEsR-TA”, PhD Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, August 2013, [PDF \(LEPP, 25 MBytes\)](#)
- [40] James Shanks, “Low-Emittance Tuning at CEsR-TA”, PhD Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, August 2013, [PDF \(LEPP, 12 MBytes\)](#)
- [41] J. P. Sikora, J. A. Crittenden, D. O. Duggins, Y. Li, X. Liu, S. De Santis, “A Comparison of Electron Cloud Density Measurements at CEsR-TA,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 843-845. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [42] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M. A. Palmer, J. P. Sikora, “Modeling for Time-Resolved Retarding Field Analyzer Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup at CEsR-TA,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 846-848. [\(JACoW\)](#)
- [43] S. T. Wang, D. L. Rubin, C. R. Strohman, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, “A Turn-by-turn Beam Profile Monitor using Visible Synchrotron Radiation at CESR-TA,” in IPAC2013:

- Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 849-851. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [44] M. Ehrlichman, A. Chatterjee, W. Hartung, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. P. Shanks, S. T. Wang, “Recent Results from CEsrTA Intrabeam Scattering Investigations,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1126-1128. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [45] M. Ehrlichman, A. Chatterjee, W. Hartung, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, J. P. Shanks, S. T. Wang, “Experimental Study of Horizontal-Longitudinal Coupling at CEsrTA, in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1715-1717. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [46] D. L. Rubin, S. T. Wang, A. Mikhailichenko, Y. Li, “CESR Low Emittance Upgrade with Combined Function Bends,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1718-1720. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [47] M. G. Billing, K. R. Butler, G. Dugan, M. J. Forster, R. E. Meller, G. A. Ramirez, N. T. Rider, K. G. Sonnad, H. A. Williams, R. L. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, M. A. Palmer, J. W. Flanagan, “Observation at CEsrTA of the Reduction of the Vertical Beam Size of the Lead Bunch in a Train Due to the Presence of a Precursor Bunch,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1841-1843. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [48] M. G. Billing, K. R. Butler, G. Dugan, M. J. Forster, R. E. Meller, G. A. Ramirez, N. T. Rider, K. G. Sonnad, H. A. Williams, R. L. Holtzapple, R. Campbell, M. Randazzo, M. A. Palmer, J. W. Flanagan, “Dependence of Beam Instabilities Caused by Electron Clouds at CEsrTA on Variations in Bunch Spacing and Chromaticity,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1844-1846. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [49] R. L. Holtzapple, R. Campbell, E. L. Holtzapple, M. G. Billing, K. Butler, G. Dugan, M. Forster, B. Heltsley, G. Ramirez, N. T. Rider, J. Shanks, K. G. Sonnad, J. Flanagan, “Dependence of Vertical Beam Dynamics Influenced by Electron Clouds at CEsrTA on Variations in Bunch Spacing and Vertical Chromaticity,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 1847-1849. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [50] W. Hartung, J. Conway, C. Dennett, S. Greenwald, J.-S. Kim, Y. Li, T. Moore, V. Omanovic, “Measurements of Secondary Electron Yield of Metal Surfaces and Films with Exposure to a Realistic Accelerator Environment,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 3493-3495. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)

- [51] Y. Li, X. Liu, J. Conway, M. A. Palmer, “Electron Cloud Diagnostic Chambers with Various EC-Suppression Coatings,” in IPAC2013: Proceedings of the 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, edited by Zhimin Dai, Christine Petit-Jean-Genaz, Volker R. W. Schaa, Chuang Zhang, JACoW, 2013, p. 3496-3498. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [52] Michael Ehrlichman, “Normal Mode Analysis of Single Bunch, Charge Density Dependent Behavior in Electron/Positron Beams,” PhD Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, May 2013, [PDF \(LEPP, 5 MBytes\)](#)
- [53] S. T. Wang, D. L. Rubin, J. Conway, M. Palmer, D. Hartill, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, “Visible-Light Beam Size Monitors Using Synchrotron Radiation at CESR,” Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A703, March 2013, p. 80-90. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)
- [54] The CESR Test Accelerator Electron Cloud Research Program: Phase I Report, edited by M. A. Palmer, M. G. Billing, G. F. Dugan, M. A. Furman, D. L. Rubin, Technical Report CLNS-12-2084, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, January 2013, [E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [55] J. P. Sikora, J. A. Crittenden, J. S. Ginsberg, D. L. Rubin, “Electron Cloud Measurements using Shielded Pickups at CestrTA,” in IBIC 2012: Proceedings of the 1st International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Tsukuba, Japan, edited by Toshiyuki Mitsunashi, Akihiro Shirakawa, JACoW, 2013, p. 198-200. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [56] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, J. V. Conway, J. A. Crittenden, J. A. Lanzoni, X. Liu, Y. Li, D. L. Rubin, C. R. Strohman, M. A. Palmer, K. Kanazawa, “Electron Cloud Measurements using a Time Resolved Retarding Field Analyzer at CestrTA,” in IBIC 2012: Proceedings of the 1st International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Tsukuba, Japan, edited by Toshiyuki Mitsunashi, Akihiro Shirakawa, JACoW, 2013, p. 201-203. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [57] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, D. O. Duggins, Y. Li, D. L. Rubin, R. M. Schwartz, K. G. Sonnad, S. De Santis, “Electron Cloud Density Measurements using Resonant TE Waves at CestrTA,” in IBIC 2012: Proceedings of the 1st International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Tsukuba, Japan, edited by Toshiyuki Mitsunashi, Akihiro Shirakawa, JACoW, 2013, p. 471-473. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [58] N. T. Rider, M. G. Billing, M. P. Ehrlichman, D. P. Peterson, D. Rubin, J. P. Shanks, K. G. Sonnad, M. A. Palmer, J. W. Flanagan, “Operation of a Single Pass, Bunch-by-bunch x-ray Beam Size Monitor for the CESR Test Accelerator Research Program,” in IBIC 2012: Proceedings of the 1st International Beam Instrumentation Conference, Tsukuba, Japan, edited by Toshiyuki Mitsunashi, Akihiro Shirakawa, JACoW, 2013, p. 585-589. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [59] Johan Bonilla, “Analysis of BPM Signal Non-linearities in CESR Vacuum Chamber,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2012, [PDF E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [60] Danielle Duggins, “Modeling of Resonant TE Waves for Electron Cloud Density Measurements in CestrTA,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2012, [PDF E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [61] Emily A. Hemingway, “Modeling Shielded Pickup Measurements for Aluminum Test Chambers with E-CLOUD,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2012, [PDF E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)

- [62] Zoey Warecki, “Characterization of Equilibrium Emittance with Monte Carlo,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2012, [PDF E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [63] Kiel Williams, “Computational Analysis of CesrTA Electron Cloud-Induced Tune Shift Effects with POSINST,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2012, [PDF E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [64] G. Dugan, M. Billing, K. Butler, J. Chu, J. Crittenden, M. Forster, D. Kreinick, R. Meller, M. Palmer, G. Ramirez, M. Rendina, N. Rider, K. Sonnad, H. Williams, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, J. Flanagan, K. Ohmi, M. Furman, M. Venturini, M. Pivi, “Observations and Predictions at CesrTA, and Outlook for ILC,” in Proceedings of ELOUD 2012: Joint INFN-CERN-EuCARD-AccNet Workshop on Electron-Cloud Effects, La Biodola, Elba, Italy, edited by R. Cimino, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, CERN-2013-002, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, p. 31-41. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [65] G. Dugan, D. Sagan, “SYNRAD3D Photon Propagation and Scattering Simulations,” in Proceedings of ELOUD 2012: Joint INFN-CERN-EuCARD-AccNet Workshop on Electron-Cloud Effects, La Biodola, Elba, Italy, edited by R. Cimino, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, CERN-2013-002, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, p. 117-129. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [66] J. P. Sikora, R. M. Schwartz, K. G. Sonnad, D. Alesini, S. De Santis, “TE Wave Measurement and Modeling,” in Proceedings of ELOUD 2012: Joint INFN-CERN-EuCARD-AccNet Workshop on Electron-Cloud Effects, La Biodola, Elba, Italy, edited by R. Cimino, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, CERN-2013-002, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, p. 181-188. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [67] J. A. Crittenden, J. P. Sikora, “Electron Cloud Buildup Characterization Using Shielded Pickup Measurements and Custom Modeling Code at CesrTA,” in Proceedings of ELOUD 2012: Joint INFN-CERN-EuCARD-AccNet Workshop on Electron-Cloud Effects, La Biodola, Elba, Italy, edited by R. Cimino, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, CERN-2013-002, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, p. 241-250. [E-Print \(CERN DS\)](#)
- [68] S. De Santis, J. Sikora, D. Alesini, “Analysis of Resonant TE Wave Modulation Signals for Electron Cloud Measurements,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 957-959. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [69] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, D. L. Rubin, R. M. Schwartz, D. Alesini, B. T. Carlson, K. C. Hammond, S. De Santis, “Using TE Wave Resonances for the Measurement of Electron Cloud Density,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 960-962. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [70] S. T. Wang, J. Conway, M. Palmer, D. Hartill, D. L. Rubin, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, “Horizontal Beam-Size Measurements at CESR-TA Using Synchrotron-Light Interferometer,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 972-974. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [71] S. A. Veitzer, P. H. Stoltz, James A. Crittenden, Kiran G. Sonnad, “3-Dimensional Modeling of Electron Clouds in Non-Uniform Magnetic Fields,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1059-1061. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)

- [72] J. V. Conway, Y. Li, M. Palmer, “The Conceptual Design of a Vacuum System for the ILC Damping Rings Incorporating Electron Cloud Mitigation Techniques,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1960-1962. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [73] J. A. Crittenden, J. Conway, G. F. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, D. L. Rubin, K. Harkay, L. Boon, M. A. Furman, S. Guiducci, M. T. F. Pivi, L. Wang, “Investigation into Electron Cloud Effects in the ILC Damping Ring Design,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1963-1965. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [74] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M. A. Palmer, S. Santos, J. P. Sikora S. Calatroni, G. Rumolo, S. Kato, “Time-Resolved Shielded-Pickup Measurements and Modeling of Beam Conditioning Effects on Electron Cloud Buildup at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1966-1968. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [75] J. A. Crittenden, M. A. Palmer, D. L. Rubin, “Wiggler Magnet Design Development for the ILC Damping Rings,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1969-1971. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [76] J. Shanks, D. L. Rubin, J. Crittenden, M. Palmer, “Characterization of Single Particle Dynamics for the International Linear Collider Damping Ring Lattice,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 1972-1974. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [77] G. Dugan, M. Billing, K. Butler, J. Crittenden, M. Forster, D. Kreinick, R. Meller, M. Palmer, G. Ramirez, M. Rendina, N. Rider, K. Sonnad, H. Williams, J. Chu, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, J. Flanagan, K. Ohmi, M. Furman, M. Venturini, M. Pivi, “Studies at CEsrTA of Electron-Cloud-Induced Beam Dynamics for Future Damping Rings,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 2081-2085. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [78] M. P. Ehrlichman, W. Hartung, M. A. Palmer, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, J. P. Shanks, C. R. Strohman, S. Wang, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, F. Antoniou, Y. Papaphilippou, “Intrabeam Scattering Studies at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 2970-2972. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [79] M. G. Billing, G. Dugan, M. Forster, D. L. Kreinick, R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, G. Ramirez, M. Rendina, N. Rider, J. Sikora, K. G. Sonnad, H. Williams, J. Y. Chu, R. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, J. W. Flanagan, “Dependence of Beam Instabilities Caused by Electron Clouds at CEsrTA Due to Variations in Chromaticity, Bunch Current and Train Length,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 3135-3137. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [80] J. R. Calvey, J. A. Crittenden, G. F. Dugan, J. Makita, M. A. Palmer, W. Hartung, M. Furman, M. Venturini, “Modeling and Simulation of Retarding Field Analyzers at CESRTA,” in Proceedings of the 2012 International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, LA, IEEE, 2012, p. 3138-3140. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [81] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, J. A. Crittenden, M. A. Palmer, D. L. Rubin, S. De Santis, “A Comparison of Electron Cloud Density Measurements Using Shielded Pickups and TE Waves

- at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of BIW 2012: Beam Instrumentation Workshop, Newport News, VA, edited by Todd Satogata, Joe Chew, JACoW, 2012, p. 170-172. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [82] J. R. Calvey, M. G. Billing, J. V. Conway, G. Dugan, S. Greenwald, Y. Li, X. Liu, J. A. Livezey, J. Makita, R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, S. Santos, R. M. Schwartz, J. Sikora, C. R. Strohman, S. Calatroni, G. Rumolo, K. Kanazawa, Y. Suetsugu, M. Pivi, L. Wang, “Update on Electron Cloud Mitigation Studies at CEsr-TA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 796-798. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [83] M. G. Billing, G. Dugan, M. J. Forster, D. L. Kreinick, R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, G. A. Ramirez, N. T. Rider, M. Rendina, K. Sonnad, J. P. Sikora, H. A. Williams, R. L. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, J. Y. Chu, J. W. Flanagan, “Status of Electron Cloud Dynamics Measurements at CESRTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 799-801. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [84] K. G. Sonnad, K. R. Butler, G. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, G. Ramirez, H. Williams, M. T. F. Pivi, “Simulation of Electron Cloud Induced Beam Dynamics for CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 808-810. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [85] D. L. Rubin, “The Challenges of Ultra-Low Emittance Damping Rings,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 956-960. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [86] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, M. A. Palmer, K. G. Sonnad, B. T. Carlson, K. C. Hammond, S. De Santis, “Resonant TE Wave Measurements of Electron Cloud Densities at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 1434-1436. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [87] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M. A. Palmer, J. P. Sikora, S. Calatroni, G. Rumolo, S. Kato, R. P. Badman, “Recent Developments in Modeling Time-Resolved Shielded-Pickup Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup at CESRTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 2313-2315. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [88] L. Boon, J. Crittenden, K. Harkay, T. Ishibashi, “Application of the SYNRAD3D Photon-Tracking Model to Shielded Pickup Measurements of Electron Cloud Buildup at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastián, Spain, EPS-AG, 2011, p. 2319-2321. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [89] Ryan P. Badman, “Comparing E-CLOUD Simulation Results to 2.1 and 5.3 GeV Positron Shielded Pickup Data,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2011, [E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [90] Jennifer Chu, “Using Tune Shifts to Evaluate Electron Cloud Effects on Beam Dynamics at CEsrTA,” REU Report, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, August 2011, [E-Print \(LEPP\)](#)
- [91] A. Wolski, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. Shanks, “Low-Emittance Tuning of Storage Rings using Normal Mode Beam Position Monitor Calibration,” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 14, July 2011, 072804. [Article \(APS\)](#)

- [92] J. W. Flanagan, M. Arinaga, H. Fukuma, H. Ikeda, T. Mitsuhashi, J. S. Alexander, M. A. Palmer, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, G. Varner, “Single-Shot Resolution of X-Ray Monitor using Coded Aperture Imaging,” in Proceedings of DIPAC 2011: 10th European Workshop on Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation for Particle Accelerators, Hamburg, Germany, edited by Michaela Marx, Jan Chrin, Ian Martin, Raphael Mueller, Volker R. W. Schaa, 2011, p. 561-563. [PDF \(JaCOW\)](#)
- [93] C. M. Celata, “Electron Cloud Dynamics in the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator Wiggler,” *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 14, April 2011, 041003. [Article \(APS\)](#)
- [94] J. R. Calvey, J. A. Crittenden, G. F. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, M. Furman, K. Harkay, “Methods for Quantitative Interpretation of Retarding Field Analyzer Data,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 501-503. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [95] S. De Santis, J. Byrd, J. Sikora, J. Calvey, J. Livezey, K. Sonnad, K. Hammond, “TE Wave Measurements of the Electron Cloud in a Dipole Magnetic Field,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 531-533. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [96] N. T. Rider, J. P. Alexander, J. A. Dobbins, M. G. Billing, R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, D. P. Peterson, C. R. Strohman, J. W. Flanagan, “Development of an X-Ray Beam Size Monitor with Single Pass Measurement Capability for CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 687-689. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [97] Joe Conway, Y. Li, X. Liu, V. Medjidzade, M. Palmer, “Implementation and Operation of Electron Cloud Diagnostics for CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1250-1252. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [98] J. Kim, D. Asner, J. Conway, S. Greenwald, Y. Li, V. Medjidzade, T. Moore, M. Palmer, C. Strohman, “In-Situ Secondary Electron Yield Measurement System at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1253-1255. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [99] J. Shanks, M. Billing, R. Meller, M. Palmer, M. Rendina, D. Rubin, N. Rider, D. Sagan, C. Strohman, Y. Yanay, “Status of Low Emittance Tuning at CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1540-1542. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [100] D. L. Kreinick, J. A. Crittenden, G. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, G. Ramirez, R. L. Holtzapple, M. Randazzo, M. A. Furman, M. Venturini, “Application of Coherent Tune Shift Measurements to the Characterization of Electron Cloud Growth,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1680-1682. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [101] K. G. Sonnad, K. Butler, G. Dugan, M. A. Palmer, M. T. F. Pivi, “Simulation of Electron Cloud Induced Instabilities and Emittance Growth for CEsrTA,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1683-1685. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [102] J. A. Crittenden, D. C. Sagan, K. G. Sonnad, “Electron Cloud Modeling for the ILC Damping Rings,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1686-1688. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [103] J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, X. Liu, M. A. Palmer, J. P. Sikora, S. Calatroni, G. Rumolo, “Electron Cloud Modeling Results for Time-Resolved Shielded Pickup Measurements at CEsrTA,” in

- Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1752-1754. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [104] M. G. Billing, G. Dugan, M. J. Forster, R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, G. A. Ramirez, K. Sonnad, J. P. Sikora, H. A. Williams, R. L. Holtzapple, “Measurement Techniques to Characterize Instabilities Caused by Electron Clouds,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1852-1854. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [105] J. P. Sikora, M. G. Billing, J. A. Crittenden, Y. Li, M. A. Palmer, S. DeSantis, “Time Resolved Measurement of Electron Clouds at CEsrTA Using Shielded Pickups,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 1855-1857. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [106] R. E. Meller, M. A. Palmer, “Digital Tune Tracker for CESR,” in Proceedings of the 2011 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, IEEE, 2011, p. 504-506. [PDF \(JACoW\)](#)
- [107] Hyunchang Jin, Moohyun Yoon, Kazuhito Ohmi, John W. Flanagan, Mark A. Palmer, “Electron Cloud Effects in Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator and International Linear Collider Damping Ring,” *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 50, February 2011, 026401. [Article \(JSAP\)](#)

David L. Rubin

Boyce D. McDaniel Professor of Physics, Cornell University
Ithaca, NY, 14853, (607) 255-3765, David.Rubin@cornell.edu

a. Professional Preparation

University of Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	Physics	B.S., 1976
University of Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	Physics	Ph.D., 1983

b. Appointments

LEPP Director, Cornell University	2011 -present
Boyce D. McDaniel Professor, Cornell University	2000 - present
Professor, Cornell University	1998 - present
Director of Accelerator Physics for LNS, Cornell University	1994
Associate Professor, Cornell University	1992
Assistant Professor, Cornell University	1986
Research Associate, Cornell University	1983

c.i. 5 Products Most Closely Related to the Proposed Project

1. D.L.Rubin, *The Challenges of Ultra-low Emittance Damping Rings*, Proceedings 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastian, Spain, September (2011)
2. S.T.Wang, D.L.Rubin, J.Conway, M. Palmer, D.Hartill, R.Campbell, R. Holtzapple, "Visible-light beam size monitors using synchrotron radiation at CESR", *NIMA-D-12-00812R1*, November 2012 [pdf](#)
3. D. L. Rubin, M. Billing, R. Meller, M. Palmer, M. Rendina, N. Rider, D. Sagan, J. Shanks, and C. Strohmman, *Beam based measurement of beam position monitor electrode gains*, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, September, Volume **13**, 092802(2010) [Article\(APS\)](#)
4. D. Sagan, J.Shanks & D.Rubin, *CesrTA Low Emittance Tuning*, Proceedings of the 2010 International Particle Accelerator Conference, Kyoto, Japan (2010)
5. J. P. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, C. Conolly, E. Edwards, M. P. Ehrlichman, J. W. Flanagan, E. Fontes, B. K. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Lyndaker, D. P. Peterson, N. T. Rider, D. L. Rubin, R. Seeley, J. Shanks, "Design and Performance of Coded Aperture Optical Elements for the CESR-TA X-Ray Beam Size Monitor," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.* **A767**, December 2014, p. 467-474. [Article \(Science Direct\)](#)

c.ii. 5 Other Significant Products

1. D. L. Rubin, Y. Li, A.A. Mikhailichenko, S. Wang, "Cesr Low Emittance Upgrade with Combined Function Bends", Proceedings 2013 International Particle Accelerator Conference, Shanghai, China, pp. 1718-1720. [\(IPAC13\)](#)
2. The CESR Test Accelerator Electron Cloud Research Program Phase I Report, Editors: M.A. Palmer, M.G. Billing, G.F. Dugan, M.A. Furman, and D.L. Rubin, [PDF](#)
3. M. P. Ehrlichman, W. Hartung, B. Heltsley, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. Shanks, S. T. Wang, R. Campbell, R. Holtzapple, "Intrabeam Scattering Studies at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator," *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **16**, October 2013, 104401. [Article \(APS\) Preprint \(arXiv:1308.0035\)](#)
4. M. P. Ehrlichman, A. Chatterjee, W. Hartung, D. P. Peterson, N. Rider, D. Rubin, D. Sagan, J. P. Shanks, S. T. Wang, "Measurement and Compensation of Horizontal Crabbing at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring Test Accelerator," *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **17**, April 2014, 044002. [Article \(APS\)](#)
5. D.Sagan, R.Meller, R.Littauer, D.Rubin, *Betatron Phase and Coupling Measurements at the Cornell Electron/Positron Storage Ring*, Phys. Rev. St Accel. Beams **3**, 092801 (2000) [Article\(APS\)](#)

d. Synergistic Activities

Refinement of research tools: Lead the conversion of the Cornell electron/positron collider to CESR Test Accelerator, an ultra-low emittance, wiggler dominated storage ring for investigation of beam

physics of low emittance damping rings for colliders; including electron cloud, intra-beam scattering, ion instabilities, tuning methodologies, and development of diagnostic instrumentation.

Service to the scientific community: Member, Linear Collider Steering Group of the Americas (2011 -), Chairman, Muon Collaboration Technical Advisory Committee (2009), Member, Board of Governors, US Particle Accelerator School (1998 -), Member, NSLSII Accelerator Systems Advisory Committee (2005-2006), Member, Brookhaven Science Associates, Science & Technology Steering Committee (2004-), Member APS DPB Publications Committee (2012-)

e. Collaborators and Other Affiliations

e. i. Collaborators and Co-Editors: (excluding Cornell)

D. Allspach (FNAL), L.P. Alonzi (Washington), G. Annala (FNAL), F. Antoniou (CERN), S. Baessler (Virginia), M. Bychkov (Virginia), J. Byrd (LBL), R. M. Carey (Boston), B. Casey (FNAL), F. Caspers (LBL), C. Celata (LBL), T. Chupp (Michigan), M.E. Convery (FNAL), M. Cordelli (Frascati), J. Corlett (LBL), T.C. Crawford (Kentucky), P. T. Debevec (Illinois), S. DeSantis (LBL), B. Drendel (FNAL), V.P. Druzhinin (Budker), S. Eidelman (Budker), R. Fatemi (Kentucky), J. Flanagan (Argonne), E. Frllez (Virginia), T. Gadford (FNAL), A. Garcia (Washington), K. Giovanetti (JMU), S. Giovannella (Frascati), T.P. Gorringe (Kentucky), F.E. Gray (Regis), F. Happacher (Frascati), K. Hardin (FNAL), D. Harding (FNAL), K. Harkay (Argonne), D.W. Hertzog (Washington), M. Hildebrandt (PSI), R. Holtzapfle (California Polytechnic Institute), X. Ji (Shanghai), C. Johnstone (FNAL), J. Johnstone (FNAL), C.D. Jones (FNAL), J. Jones (Cockcroft Institute), K. Jungmann (KVI), P. Kammel (Washington), K. Kanazawa (CERN), D. Kawall (Massachusetts), B.I. Khazin (Budker), N. Khomutov (Dubna), B. Kiburg (FNAL), A. Klebaner (FNAL), I.A. Koop (Budker), W. Korsch (Kentucky), I. Kourban-is (FNAL), K. Krempetz (FNAL), A. G. Krivshich (PNPI), K. Kubo (CERN), N. Kuchinskiy (Dubna), Y. Kuno (Osaka), B. Lauss (PSI), A. Leveling (FNAL), L. Li (Shanghai), I. Logashenko (Budker), A. Luca (Frascati), K. Lynch (York), K. R. Lynch (Boston), A. Lyon (FNAL), E. M. Maev (PNPI), O. E. Maev (PNPI), M. Martini (Frascati), J. P. Miller (Boston), S. Miscetti (Frascati), N. Mokhov (FNAL), A. Molvik (CERN), J.P. Morgan (FNAL), W.M. Morse (BNL), D. Munson (CERN), D. Neuffer (FNAL), H. Nguyen (FNAL), K. Ohmi (CERN), C.J.G. Onderwater (KVI), J-F. Os-tiguy (FNAL), M. Palmer (Fermilab), Y. Papaphillipopou (CERN), A. Para (FNAL), G. Penn (KEK), G. E. Petrov (PNPI), M. Pivi (Virginia), B. Plaster (Kentucky), D. Plate (KEK), D. Pocanic (Virginia), C.C. Polly (FNAL), M. Popovic (FNAL), M. Ramsey-Musolf (Wisconsin), M. Rominsky (FNAL), P. Rubinov (FNAL), G. Rumolo (CERN), I. Sarra (Frascati), G. N. Schapkin (PNPI), H. Schmickler (CERN), R. Schultz (FNAL), G. G. Semenchuk (PNPI), Y.K. Semertzidis (BNL), Y.M. Shatunov (Budker), K. Shibata (KEK), A.K. Soha (FNAL), E. Solodov (Budker), M. A. Soroka (PNPI), D. Still (FNAL), D. Stockinger, (Dresden), S. Strigonov (FNAL), Y. Suetsugu (KEK), E. Swanson (Washington), V. Tishchenko (BNL), M. Tobiyama (SLAC), J. Urakawa (SLAC), A. A. Vasilyev (PNPI), G. Velev (FNAL), G. Venanzoni, (Frascati), M. Venturini (SLAC), A. A. Vorobyov (PNPI), M. E. Vznuzdaev (PNPI), L. Wan (SLAC), P. Winter (ANL), A. Wolski (Cockcroft), Y. Yan (SLAC), T. Zhao (Washington)

e. ii. Graduate Advisors and Post Doctoral Sponsors

Thesis Advisor: Rudi Thun, University of Michigan

Post-doctoral Supervisor: Robert Siemann, Cornell University

e. iii. Thesis Adviser (last 5 years)

Thesis Advisees: Jim Shanks, Joe Calvey, Yariv Yanay, Michael Ehrlichman, Carstan Quinlan, Kelvin Blaser, Colin Clement, Jeremy Perrin (all Cornell University)

e. iv. Postgraduate-Scholar Sponsor (last 5 years): Suntao Wang, Jim Shanks, SeungCheon Kim (all Cornell University), Avishek Chatterjee (University of Geneva), Joe Calvey (Argonne National Lab), Michael Ehrlichman (Paul Scherer Institute), Kiran Sonnad (KEK)

Total Graduate Students Advised: 17

Total Postgraduate Scholars Sponsored: 7

SUMMARY PROPOSAL BUDGET

YEAR 1

ORGANIZATION Cornell University				FOR NSF USE ONLY			
				PROPOSAL NO.	DURATION (months)		
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR / PROJECT DIRECTOR David Rubin				AWARD NO.	Proposed	Granted	
				A. SENIOR PERSONNEL: PI/PP, Co-PI's, Faculty and Other Senior Associates (List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets)			
				CAL	ACAD	SUMR	
1.				0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6. (0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
7. (1) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)							
1. (0) POST DOCTORAL SCHOLARS				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
2. (5) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)				15.00	0.00	0.00	150,810
3. (0) GRADUATE STUDENTS							0
4. (0) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS							0
5. (0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)							0
6. (0) OTHER							0
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)							150,810
C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)							52,633
TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)							203,443
D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)							
flux detector						\$ 12,000	
resonant microwave detector						12,225	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT							24,225
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. U.S. POSSESSIONS)							0
2. FOREIGN							0
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS							
1. STIPENDS	\$		0				
2. TRAVEL			0				
3. SUBSISTENCE			0				
4. OTHER			0				
TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS (0)				TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS			0
G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS							
1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES							4,708
2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION							0
3. CONSULTANT SERVICES							0
4. COMPUTER SERVICES							0
5. SUBAWARDS							0
6. OTHER							10,833
TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS							15,541
H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)							243,209
I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)							
MTDC (Rate: 61.0000, Base: 171834)							
TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)							104,819
J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)							348,028
K. SMALL BUSINESS FEE							0
L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)							348,028
M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0				AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFERENT \$			
PI/PP NAME David Rubin				FOR NSF USE ONLY			
ORG. REP. NAME*				INDIRECT COST RATE VERIFICATION			
				Date Checked	Date Of Rate Sheet	Initials - ORG	

SUMMARY PROPOSAL BUDGET Cumulative

ORGANIZATION Cornell University				FOR NSF USE ONLY			
				PROPOSAL NO.	DURATION (months)		
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR / PROJECT DIRECTOR David Rubin				AWARD NO.	Proposed	Granted	
				A. SENIOR PERSONNEL: PI/PD, Co-PI's, Faculty and Other Senior Associates (List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets)			
				CAL	ACAD	SUMR	
1.				0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6. () OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
7. (0) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)							
1. (0) POST DOCTORAL SCHOLARS				0.00	0.00	0.00	0
2. (5) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)				15.00	0.00	0.00	150,810
3. (0) GRADUATE STUDENTS							0
4. (0) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS							0
5. (0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)							0
6. (0) OTHER							0
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)							150,810
C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)							52,633
TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)							203,443
D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)							
				\$		24,225	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT							24,225
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. U.S. POSSESSIONS)							0
2. FOREIGN							0
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS							
1. STIPENDS \$ _____							0
2. TRAVEL _____							0
3. SUBSISTENCE _____							0
4. OTHER _____							0
TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS							0
G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS							
1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES							4,708
2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION							0
3. CONSULTANT SERVICES							0
4. COMPUTER SERVICES							0
5. SUBAWARDS							0
6. OTHER							10,833
TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS							15,541
H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)							243,209
I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)							
TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)							104,819
J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)							348,028
K. SMALL BUSINESS FEE							0
L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)							348,028
M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0				AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFERENT \$			
PI/PD NAME David Rubin				FOR NSF USE ONLY			
ORG. REP. NAME*				INDIRECT COST RATE VERIFICATION			
		Date Checked		Date Of Rate Sheet		Initials - ORG	

C *ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES REQUIRED FOR REVISED BUDGET

Budget Justification – Electron Cloud Trapping in High Energy Accelerators

Personnel:

Other Professionals: Funds are requested to support the effort of accelerator physicists, equipment technicians and CESR accelerator support staff. The accelerator physicists will oversee equipment design, beam measurements and data analysis. Much of this work will be done by students and postdocs who will be supported by the existing CesrTA grant. The equipment technicians will provide labor to construct the beam-pipe, detector and magnet and to install the equipment in the storage ring. The CESR support staff will provide assistance during the machine studies periods.

Fringe benefits: Fringe benefits are charged to all salaries in this budget. The rate is 34.9% starting July 1, 2015. The rate is based on an agreement with DHHS dated September 2, 2014.

Capital Equipment: Funds are requested to construct a shielded electrode detector and a resonant microwave detector on a quadrupole vacuum chamber, and to assemble a large aperture quadrupole.

Material and Supplies: The requested budget includes materials, eg. epoxy and copper, needed for the quadrupole and for miscellaneous lab supplies needed for this project.

Other: Funds are budgeted for the cost of the electric used during the storage ring operation for the machine studies time.

Indirect costs: The rate of 61% is applied to all costs except capital equipment and the electric costs. The rate is based on an agreement with DHHS dated September 2, 2014.

Current and Pending Support

Other agencies (including NSF) to which this proposal has been/will be submitted.

Investigator: **Rubin, David L.**

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **OPERATION OF CORNELL HIGH ENERGY SYNCHROTRON SOURCE (CHESS)**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$77,586,038** Total Award Period Covered: **7/1/11 – 3/31/15**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr:

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **LEPTON COLLIDER R&D**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$15,009,973** Total Award Period Covered: **5/1/11 – 4/30/15**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr:

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **AN IMPROVED MEASUREMENT OF THE MUON ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT WITH FERMILAB**
 Source of Support: **DOE**
 Total Award Amount: **\$1,050,000** Total Award Period Covered: **7/1/12 - 3/31/15**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr:

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **OPERATION OF CORNELL HIGH ENERGY SYNCHROTRON SOURCE (CHESS)**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$100,401,477** Total Award Period Covered: **4/1/14 – 3/31/19**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr: **1.0**

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **MRI CONSORTIUM: DEVELOPMENT OF INSTRUMENTATION TO MEASURE THE SPIN PRECESSION FREQUENCY IN THE FERMILAB MUON G-2 EXPERIMENT**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$867,111** Total Award Period Covered: **9/15/13 – 8/31/16**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr:

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **CORNELL PROGRAM FOR STUDENT-CENTERED ACCELERATOR SCIENCE**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$9,972,786** Total Award Period Covered: **9/1/14 – 8/31/17**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr: **1.0**

Support: Current Pending
 Project/Proposal Title: **EAGER PROPOSAL: PRELIMINARY STUDIES FOR A DARK PHOTON SEARCH**
 Source of Support: **NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**
 Total Award Amount: **\$32,913** Total Award Period Covered: **7/1/14 -6/30/15**

Location of Project: **Cornell University**
 Person-Months Per Year Committed to the Project. Cal: Acad: Sumr:

Appendix 4: Facilities, Equipment, and Other Resources

Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR) accelerator facility

The facilities available for the proposed beam tests include the accelerator infrastructure at Wilson Laboratory: electron and positron linac, full energy synchrotron booster and storage ring with energy range from 1.8 to 5.6 GeV. The storage ring is equipped with state of the art high bandwidth instrumentation for monitoring position and size of the circulating beams, and multi-bunch feedback systems. The integration of beam instrumentation with the accelerator control system provides the capability for a diverse experimental program. The scientific and technical staff has decades of experience developing instrumentation and operating particle accelerators and access to the well equipped CLASSE electronics and mechanical shops. Computing resources available to investigators are likewise state of the art.

Key support services at CLASSE include clerical personnel, purchasing, stockroom, supplies, mailroom, moving and rigging, machine and electronics shops, engineering, vacuum lab, general technicians, technical and publication drafting, computer and network services, and outreach coordination.

The equipment required for the proposed research is available for the proposed experimental program. The Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR) will be available for beam tests. The storage ring is well instrumented to monitor lattice characteristics and the flexible control system allows straightforward implementation of specialized optics. Existing electron cloud detectors, data acquisition systems and related infrastructure will be the basis for developing the new detectors.

Data Management Plan

Expected Data. We employ state-of-the-art control systems for accelerator operation with fully automated computer-controlled data recording techniques, so that all measurements will yield raw data in electronic file format. Data are recorded using a variety of software packages, some commercially produced and some specific to the accelerator facilities. Raw data are sometimes processed utilizing standard software packages (MATLAB, etc.) or custom visualization or analysis code. Raw and processed data are used as inputs to models and computer simulations, whose algorithms, computer codes, and outputs are parts of complete datasets. Details of the simulations and models will be published in peer-reviewed journals, and documented code and algorithms will be included as part of the complete dataset.

In particular, all storage ring parameters are routinely recorded by the CESR control system. Data collected with beam instrumentation, including turn by turn and bunch by bunch beam position and bunch size monitors, and electron cloud detectors are archived, as are all relevant calibration constants. For a subset of measured quantities a “pass 1” processed version of the data are stored. We continue to add storage capability in order to maintain complete records

Data Format. Hardcopy notebooks will be maintained to document installation and use of project equipment and experimental procedures developed over the course of this project.

The data themselves will be stored in ASCII, CSV, or binary formats (sometimes using typical MATLAB I/O standards and functions) for large size sets. Data snapshots will be stored in individual directories with appropriate time stamps. Indexing of the experimental data (metadata) will be available in each directory and the main parent directory, along with documentation in the hardcopy notebooks according to accepted standards in the lab. Metadata standards will be developed by the investigators as necessary (custom) to unambiguously associate data with specimens and preparation procedures, instruments, dates and times, processing and analysis techniques, and any other information deemed necessary to fully understand the data analysis flow and results.

Data Archival. All raw, processed and analyzed data will be copied to a storage area network of redundant disk arrays with error protection and a total capacity of hundreds of terabytes. Each folder or directory on this file system will include data index tables and summaries of experimental data contained therein. The data on these disk arrays and all of its content are archived on a revision controlled redundant-hardware off-site tape library with daily incremental and full monthly backups. The hardcopy notebooks will be stored in file cabinets along with the equipment.

To guarantee redundant access and persistence, the PI will also archive all project data in the eCommons@Cornell repository. This Digital Repository is powered by opensource project DSpace and is open to anyone affiliated with Cornell University as a place to capture, store, index, preserve and redistribute materials in digital formats that may be useful for educational, scholarly, research or historical purposes. eCommons@Cornell is a service of the Cornell University Library that provides long-term, open access to a broad range of Cornell-related digital content of enduring value. All work deposited in eCommons will be assigned a persistent identifier and a persistent Web address (URL), guaranteeing that data will be accessible independent of the hosting location or domain of the repository.

Access to Data and Data Sharing Practices and Policies. We expect that all the data gathered and results derived during this project will be freely available to interested parties. A number of collaborative Wiki-based websites are maintained by the Laboratory for research areas covering CsrTA. These websites contain many details of specific hardware design and instrumentation, weekly meeting minutes, summary of experimental procedures, as well as preliminary experimental results. The access to these resources is password-protected with our collaborators outside of Cornell having full access to these

resources and contributing frequently to its content.

Policies for Re-Use, Re-distribution. All of our websites will include standard disclaimers and conditions regarding the use of the data in other publications and products in accordance with Cornell University standards. In summary, data is allowed to be reused without expressed consent from the PI's but requires statements of attribution and disclaimers that the originators of the data are not responsible in any way for re-use or novel interpretations or results. Disclaimers and policies for deposit, access, withdrawal, ownership, privacy and preservation of data will follow closely those for the eCommons@Cornell depository found at <http://ecommons.library.cornell.edu/policy.html>.

Machine operations and people data (proposal, beamtime requests, etc.) are always kept private and secure (no external access to raw data). Machine and facility use information is summarized periodically for official reporting purposes only (i.e. NSF review committees, GPRA and NSF annual reports).