Physics goals at the Linear Collider drive the detector performance goals:

- charged particle track reconstruction resolution: $\delta(1/p) = 4 \times 10^{-5} /\text{GeV}$
- reconstruction efficiency: 100% within jets for energy flow measurements

Simple simulations, which represent the detector response as smeared space points, show that the reconstruction resolution can be achieved with the “Large Detector”.

For example:

- TPC:
  - 2.0 m O.R., 0.5 m I.R., 150 µm spatial resolution
- Vertex Detector:
  - 5 layer, 10 µm spatial resolution
- Intermediate Tracking Device:
  - 2 layer, r=0.45 m, 10 µm spatial res.
  - $\delta(1/p) = 4.2 \times 10^{-5} /\text{GeV}$

Reconstruction efficiency cannot be estimated so easily in the event environment of the Linear Collider, it is dependent on the non-Gaussian smearing effects: noise and track overlap.
Reconstruction Efficiency

While reconstruction efficiency is difficult to estimate,

one could achieve the maximum efficiency using the maximum segmentation possible with a GEM or MicroMegas amplification TPC.

However, the channel count would be excessive (and expensive);

\[ 0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ pads } \] \ 2.4 \times 10^6 \text{ multi-hit channels}.

To build the optimal detector, measure the reconstruction efficiency with respect to the detector segmentation, determine the minimum segmentation that provides the “full” efficiency.

The goal of this work is to measure the reconstruction efficiency and thereby optimize the design for a TPC in the “Large Detector” design, incorporating as many real detector effects as possible

( pad size, charge spreading, inefficient pads, noise ),

for complicated physics events simulating Linear Collider processes,

and using pattern recognition that starts with pad level information (not space points).

Many thanks to Mike Ronan for wrapping the Cornell reconstruction code in Java and providing access to LCD simulation events in .sio format.
Sample event with 2 mm pads

Sample event from lcd simulation

(All hits are are projected onto one endplate.)

144 layers from 56cm to 200 cm

2 mm wide pads, 1cm in radius
(number of pads in layer is multiple of 8)

- no charge spread
- no z overlap
- no noise

This would be similar to a situation with
1 mm pads and charge spreading to 2 pads,
a very expensive detector.
Sample Event, Tracks within a Jet

(Same event, same pad response)

Tracks in a jet are usually separated.

It *appears* that, when taking advantage of the $z$ separation, the reconstruction task would be simple.
Sample Event, Problem with Overlapping Tracks

(Same event, same pad response)

However, \( z \) separation is often too small to provide track separation.

- **crossing tracks** in \( r-f \), and
- \( z \)-separation = \( 1 \text{ mm} \).

But, track reconstruction can be efficient for very close tracks by using information from regions where the tracks are isolated. This is an advantage of the pat. rec. to be described.
Detector Simulation: Pad Response (and Clustering)

The LCD simulation provides only crossing points; extensions to the simulation are created within the CLEO library.

**Charge spreading** on the pads

**Gaussian width, cut-off** (~ .002 of min. ion.),

maximum total-number-pads

charge is renormalized to provide a total of min. ion.

**Wave Form** to simulate time (=z) response

- longitudinal spread
- amplifier decay time

**Clustering** in $r$-$\phi$

criteria for minimum **central pad**, added **adjacent** pads

**splitting** at a local minimum,

can lead to pulse height merging and incorrect clustering.

Pads with > 0.51 of the maximum are treated as “core pads”.

(a detail of the primary pattern recognition)
Cluster Details
Pad Response:
Examples of Various Pad Width and Charge Spreading Width

The detector pad width and charge spreading create very different conditions for track reconstruction.
(Note: these two tracks are separated by only 1 mm in z.)

- **2 mm pad** with **5 mm** spreading would provide **excellent resolution** but it is **not clear** that both these tracks would be **reconstructed**.
- **10 mm pad** with **5 mm** spreading provides **poor resolution** and creates a **challenge for reconstruction**.

---

Track Reconstruction

With a goal of accurately measuring the TPC pad size and spreading that will provide the “full” reconstruction efficiency in Linear Collider physics events,

it becomes important to know what is being measured,

inherent reconstruction efficiency, limited by the track overlap and hit distortion, and NOT an efficiency that is limited by the algorithm.

Require a means to independently determine the root cause of reconstruction failures.

The CLEO reconstruction program include a diagnostics package that provides

    internal hit information
    and
    a graphics interface to the hit assignment,

at intermediate stages in the programs.

This allows

    rapid determination the root cause of reconstruction failures (on single tracks) and algorithm development.
The current CLEO charge particle track reconstruction

originally written for a drift chamber
(where z information is derived from the track and stereo layers)

can be adapted to any type of device with dense hit information (like a TPC, but not silicon)
(changes, including the treatment of 3-dimensional hit information) are described later

is highly efficient for overlapped tracks
(as shown in the event)
because any region of track separation can be used as a seed

a has 3 stages
1. clean segment finding
2. initial track finding within the segment road
3. extension to more complicated regions (and other devices)
Projected hits for event, after detector response simulation

Same event as slide 3

10 mm pads, 7 mm charge spread

Noise: 0.003 occupancy
   in 3-d volume
   1 cm (r-φ) × 2 cm (z) × layer

Number of channels (1 side) 112 k
Number of layer crossings 14946
Number of track hits = 51232
(each crossing creating ~ 3.4 hits)

Number of noise hits = 89385

Active cone:
   \[ Z = \left( r \times \left( -\frac{6}{80} \right) \right) +\sim 2.3 \text{ cm} \]

Active hits in green

Ignored hits in purple
Segment Finding Stage

- Active hits in green
- Ignored hits in purple
- Current isolated segment is shown in *yellow*
- Other isolated segments are shown in *pink*.

At this point, processing for segments is not complete; not all segments are found.

Merged tracks (at 7:00) are found as one segment, interrupted when the tracks are ambiguous.

The segment in the track at 1:00 stops prematurely. From the diagnostics, there are too many double hits. (segment stage tuning variable)
After 2\textsuperscript{nd} Phase, r-\(\phi\) view

Hits in road in \textcolor{orange}{orange}.

Hits on track in \textcolor{white}{white}.

Although the track was found to small radius in the isolated segment stage,
in the \textsuperscript{2}nd stage, track is not found at low radius.

\(r-\phi\) impact = 5.6 mm

The \(\chi^2\) of the track is actually too good,
\(\chi^2 = 6.\)
( hit resolution is 245 \(\mu\)m for 10 mm pads. )

Smearing of the pulse heights is incomplete; requires low-level electronic noise.
After 2\textsuperscript{nd} Phase, residual (r-\(\phi\)) view

PLOT: residual on horizontal 
\((+/- 0.025 \text{ cm at edge})\) 
vs. radius on vertical

2\textsuperscript{nd} phase pattern recognition uses 
local residual correlations

- radius is broken up into 16 parts -

In each radial part, 
look for correlated hits satisfying 

- used r-\(\phi\) road < 0.005 m
- used z road < 0.10 m.

Select best solution in each radial part.

No solutions were found at low radius.

Note: 
- other track.
After 2nd Phase, z view

Hits in road in **orange**.

Hits on track in **white**.

PLOT: Z on vertical
  (+/- 2.5 meter)
  vs. path length on horizontal

The other track is also very close in Z.

**Below .9 meter in arc length, the hits are merged and not usable, for either track, (at high resolution).**

Note:
- other track (interference)
- short tracks that escape the r-φ road,
- curler, not completely in the r-φ road
After 2\textsuperscript{nd} Phase, r-\(\phi\) view, 5mm pad width

Hits in road in \textcolor{orange}{orange}.

Hits on track in \textcolor{white}{white}.

Now repeat the same track with

5mm pads, 3.5 mm charge spread

The track is found extending to lower radius in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} stage.

The \(\chi^2\) of the track is still too good,
\[\chi^2 = 1.25\]
( hit resolution is 111 \(\mu\)m for 5 mm pads. )
After 2\textsuperscript{nd} Phase, residual (r-\(\phi\)) view, 5mm pad width

PLOT: residual on horizontal
\((+/\ 0.025\ \text{cm \ at \ edge})\)
vs. radius on vertical

5mm pads, 3.5 mm charge spread

2\textsuperscript{nd} phase pattern recognition

The track extends to lower radius.

(r-\(\phi\) impact = 80 \(\mu\)m)

The other track is more distinct and also extends inward to the radius where the two track merge.

Demonstrated sensitivity of reconstruction efficiency to TPC readout characteristics.

For this track only,
10 mm pads is too large,
5 mm pads is sufficient.
Efficiency is calculated relative to the MC crossing points rather than the MC track list.

Define a “plausible track”, set of of hits pointing to same MC track, includes crossings in layer 1 through layer 30, is truncated at top of curler.

Fit the crossing to define the generated track parameters in the chamber.

Match $\chi^2 = (\Delta C/.002)^2 + (\Delta \phi/.003)^2 + (\Delta T/.002)^2$ require $\chi < 25$
Outlook

“Complete” at the Arlington ALCPG meeting:

- interface to the LCD physics simulation through .sio file (Mike Ronan)
- create a TPC geometry, data structure, and detector response simulation within the Cornell/CLEO reconstruction
- create the TPC specific x,y,z hit reconstruction routines
- upgrade the reconstruction to handle multi-hit electronics
- procedure for scanning through the I.P. pointing cones
- initial tune of roads for pattern recognition in TPC data

“Complete” at the Berkeley LC TPC meeting:

- develop a method for identifying tracks that should be found

Needed for efficiency studies:

- optimize the 1st level pattern recognition for TPC readout
- would like to have events with a specific 2 body process, e.g. Z → μμ.
- for resolution: apply low level noise to all pulse heights, fraction of min. ion.

Future results: efficiency and resolution vs. pad size and charge spread, and vs. 2-track separation, P, and θ