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- Plans for x-Ray ERLs
- x-Ray ERL challenges
- x-Ray ERL diagnostics needs
- Ongoing R&D
- High current FEL-ERL challenges
- High current ERL-FEL diagnostics needs
x-Ray ERL upgrade to CESR

Key: 1) injector, 2) north linac, 3) turn-around arc, 4) south linac, 5) south x-ray beamlines, 6) CESR turn-around, 7) north x-ray beamlines, 8) 1st beam dump, 9) 2nd beam dump and 10) distributed cryoplant. Tunnel cross-section of 12’ ID shown on lower right.
Cornell / KEK / JAEA ERLs
How large is the advantage of ERLs?
## The injector: goals for the ERL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modes:</th>
<th>(A) Flux</th>
<th>(B) Coherence</th>
<th>(C) Short-Pulse</th>
<th>(D) Short &amp; High charge</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch charge</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm. emittance</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>mm mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geom. emittance</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms bunch length</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>tbd by CSR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative energy spread</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam power</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam loss</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>micro A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Units: GeV (Energy), mA (Current), pC (Bunch charge), MHz (Repetition rate), mm mrad (Norm. emittance), pm (Geom. emittance), fs (Rms bunch length), 10⁻³ (Relative energy spread), MW (Beam power), micro A (Beam loss).*
(1) Challenges for x-ray ERLs

- **Production of low emittances**
  - Space charge compensation in the injector

- **Diagnostics needs**
  - Phase space measurement for injector setup
  - Laser diagnostics for bunch position and bunch timing feedback
  - Laser diagnostics for transverse and longitudinal profile
Multivariate optimization of a high brightness dc gun photoinjector
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This work has continued steadily, currently being optimized for a detailed injector design to:

- **15MeV** injection energy,
- **3ps** bunch length, **0.3μm** emittances for **77pC**.
Cornell Injector prototype: Diagnostics

- Faraday cup
- View screens, BPMs
- Flaying wire
- Quadrant detector
- Fast current detector
- Faraday cup
- Two slit emittance measurement
- View screens
- BPMs
Emittance Measurement System

- Fixed slits
- Corrector coils for beam scanning
- 10 micron precision slits
- 1 kW beam power handling

Measured phase space

Low charge

data

astra

Highest current: 25mA
Highest voltage: 430kV
Highest bunch charge: 80pC
Highest Q.E.: 14%

Good agreement with Astra calculation for a misaligned solenoid.
(1) Comparison to HIGH POWER ERL-FELs

- **Production of low emittances**
  - Space charge compensation in the injector – less critical by >10

- **Diagnostics needs**
  - Phase space measurement for injector setup – still important
  - Laser diagnostics for bunch position and bunch timing feedback
    - still relevant
  - Laser diagnostics for transverse and longitudinal profile
    - less critical
(2) Challenges for x-ray ERLs

- **Limited emittance growth**
  - Beam stabilization to fraction of the very small beamsize
  - Optics in the linac for very different energies (0.01 - 5GeV)
  - Low emittance growth optics similar to light sources
  - Limit optics errors and adjust fields to radiated energy
  - Limit coupler kicks / cavity misalignments

- **Diagnostics needs**
  - Sub micron BPMs
  - Beam position measurements for two simultaneous beams
  - High energy beam-size measurements
  - High energy emittance measurements
BPMs for the Cornell ERL

Challenges:
1) Two beams of different energy have to be measured simultaneously in much of the ERL.
2) Tolerances of transverse motion are very stringent, 0.3\(\mu\)m in some places: 0.5\(\mu\)m at 10kHz and <0.1\(\mu\)m at 10Hz for 77pC, 1.3GHz.
3) Wake-field heating and energy spread from wake fields has to be tolerable.
4) BPMs have to work in 80K environment, or use HOM bpms (<4micron resolution, PRST-AB 9/112802).

Possible solutions:
1) Read out a difference orbit at 1.3GHz and a sum orbit at 2.6GHz.
2) Buttons: ok
   Strip line: size for 1/6 of the rf wavelength
   No Cavity BPM: would need a resonance at 1.3GHz and 2.6GHz.

Strategy: Use buttons all around the ring and strip lines at a few critical places for low currents, use HOMs.
Button Beam Position Monitors

Design: four 10mm buttons in a 25.4mm beampipe

1. **Initial injection tune-up mode**: 50MHz, 1ms bunch train, 2-10pC per bunch
   Resolution: +/- 20 – 4micron at 10kHz readout

2. **Orbit refinement mode**: 50MHz, 1ms bunch train, 10-77pC per bunch
   Resolution: +/- 4 – 0.5micron at 10kHz readout

3. **Low current CW tune-up mode**: 1300MHz, 1ms bunch train, 2-10pC per bunch
   Resolution: +/- 20 – 4micron at 10kHz readout

4. **High current CW ramp-up mode**: 1300MHz, 1ms bunch train, 10pC per bunch
   Resolution: +/- 4micron at 10kHz readout

5. **High current CW ramp-up mode**: 1300MHz, CW, 10-77pC per bunch
   Resolution: +/- 4 – 0.5micron at 10kHz readout

6. **Stable ERL operational mode**: 1300MHz, CW, 77pC
   Resolution: +/- 0.5micrometer at 10kHz readout at 0.1micron at 10Hz
General comment about DC alignment requirements:

These are similar to that in ring light sources because the vertical beam size in such sources is as small and smaller than the vertical and horizontal size in the ERL.

Achieved orbit stability in 3rd generation light sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Horizontal Orbit [μm]</th>
<th>Vertical Orbit y [μm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELETTRA</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPring-8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BPM and corrector placement

The orbit can be controlled with the placement of BPMs and correctors.

Uncorrected orbit for 0.3mm rms quadrupole misalignments:

Corrected orbit for 0.3mm rms quadrupole misalignments:
Vibration measurements
(2) Comparison to HIGH POWER ERL-FELs

- **Limited emittance growth**
  - Beam stabilization to fraction of the very small beamsize
    - much less critical – but probably much more vibrations
  - Optics in the linac for very different energies (0.01 - 5GeV)
    - much less critical (approx. 7-100MeV)
  - Low emittance growth optics similar to light sources
  - Limit optics errors and adjust fields to radiated energy
  - Limit coupler kicks / cavity misalignments

- **Diagnostics needs**
  - Sub micron BPMs
  - Beam position measurements for two simultaneous beams
  - High energy beam-size measurements
  - High energy emittance measurements
(3) Challenges for x-ray ERLs

• **Limit energy spread after deceleration,**
  e.g. 5GeV to 10MeV
  – Accurate time of flight correction, including sextupoles
  – Limit energy spread from wake fields
  – Limit energy spread from intra beam scattering (IBS) and rest gas scattering
  – Limit energy spread from incoherent / coherent synchrotron radiation (ISR / CSR)
  – Dumping a beam with very large energy spread

• **Diagnostic needs**
  – Bunch arrival time diagnostics
  – Halo diagnostics after deceleration (end of linac and dump)
  – X-ray diagnostics for personal and electronics protection
  – Dump diagnostics, based on beam loss
Phase space with (blue), without (red) CSR

- Radiation from tail accelerates head
- Denser region radiates more

For shorter bunches or for not optimized optics the energy spread becomes too large.
Timing and Bunch Arrival Diagnostics

- Orbit length correction (to about 150mm):
  Master oscillator correction (slow) and dispersive bump correction (fast)

- State of the art: Electro optical sampling with a laser pulse in a birefringent medium co-propagating with electrons – 30fs (e.g. Hacker et al., FEL06).

- State of the art: Timing signal propagation for bunch to RF synchronization – < 10fs (e.g. Loehl et al., PAC07).
Scattering and background: IBS

IBS beam loss for 1.0" ID beam pipe, "A" mode operation, Section 1, with collimators. June 28 2007.

Courtesy Sasha Temnykh
Three contributions to background from continuous uniform e⁻ loss: Bremsstrahlung, giant resonance neutrons, & high-energy neutrons

For average beam loss 1 pA/m over 205m southern arc, shield wall: for 2’ heavy concrete + 2” Pb → 0.058 mrem/hr just outside the wall, at arc center.

Moe’s estimate for APS (300mA, 10 hour lifetime or 1/57 pA/m) → 80cm normal concrete wall limits dose to 8 mrem/yr @ 50m

Public: limit to less than 100 mrem/year, occupational limit to less than 5000 mrem/year
(3) Comparison to HIGH POWER ERL-FELs

- **Limit energy spread after deceleration,** e.g. 5GeV to 10MeV
  - Accurate time of flight correction, including sextupoles – more severe
  - Limit energy spread from wake fields – less severe (less deceleration)
  - Limit energy spread from intra beam scattering (IBS) and rest gas scattering – less severe (less deceleration)
  - Limit energy spread from incoherent / coherent synchrotron radiation (ISR / CSR) – much more severe due to FEL induced spread
  - Dumping a beam with very large energy spread

- **Diagnostic needs**
  - Bunch arrival time diagnostics - similar
  - Halo diagnostics – probably more severe (FEL energy spread)
  - X-ray diagnostics for personal and electronics protection – similar
  - Dump diagnostics based on beamloss – 4 times worse and larger
(4) Challenges for x-ray ERLs

• **Beam loss concerns**
  – Disturbance from ions / ion removal
  – Halo development
  – Component failures and machine protection system

• **Diagnostics**
  – Ion composition monitor
  – Halo detectors
  – Beam Loss monitors (e.g. fiber BLMs, W. Goettmann et al., DIPAC05)
Ion focusing

- Ion are quickly produced due to high beam density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>$\sigma_{col}$, 10MeV</th>
<th>$\sigma_{col}$, 5GeV</th>
<th>$\tau_{col}$, 5GeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$2.0 \cdot 10^{-23} m^2$</td>
<td>$3.1 \cdot 10^{-23} m^2$</td>
<td>5.6s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CO$</td>
<td>$1.0 \cdot 10^{-22} m^2$</td>
<td>$1.9 \cdot 10^{-22} m^2$</td>
<td>92.7s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CH_4$</td>
<td>$1.2 \cdot 10^{-22} m^2$</td>
<td>$2.0 \cdot 10^{-22} m^2$</td>
<td>85.2s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Ion accumulate in the beam potential. Since the beam is very narrow, ions produce an extremely steep potential – they have to be eliminated.

- Conventional ion clearing techniques:
  1) Long clearing gaps have transient RF effects in the ERL [2ms every 7ms].
  2) Short gaps have transient effects in injector and gun and produce more beam harmonics that excite HOMs [0.4 ms every 7ms].
  3) DC fields of about 150kV/m have to be applied to appropriate places of the along the accelerator, without disturbing the electron beam.

But remnant ion density before clearing can still cause emittance growth.
Ions in an ERL beam

\[\rho (\text{a.u.})\]

\[X (\mu\text{rad})\]

100m between clearing fields
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Ions in an ERL beam

- 100m between clearing fields
- 20m between clearing fields
Ions in an ERL beam

20m between clearing fields

realistically placed ion clearing
Ions concentration diagnostics

Ionization of Residual Gas Particles by Electron Beam Creates Ion Column

Along Beam Pipe
Ionized Gas Particles

Electron Bunches

Residual Gas Particles $\sim 6 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr

Problems Introduced by Ions:
1. Distortion of Accelerator Optics
2. Coupled Oscillations Between Beam and Ions
(4) Comparison to HIGH POWER ERL-FELs

- **Beam loss concerns**
  - Disturbance from ions / ion removal
    - less severe (less cross section, more gap between bunches)
  - Halo development
    - similar significance before undulator
    - more significant after undulator
  - Component failures and machine protection system
    - similar for electron beam, more severe for photon beam

- **Diagnostics**
  - Ion composition monitor
  - Halo detectors – more severe after undulator
  - Beam Loss Monitors (e.g. fiber BLMs, W. Goettmann et al., DIPAC05)
    - similar
(5) Challenges for x-ray ERLs

• **Superconducting RF challenges**
  - Phase and amplitude control for very narrow frequency window \(10^{-8}\) in the presence of microphonics
  - Avoid heating / Higher order mode absorption
  - Limit cooling power

• **Diagnostics**
  - Cavity field diagnostics, input coupler diagnostics
  - Thermometry, HOM-power diagnostics
  - Cryogenic diagnostics
  - Microphonics diagnostics, e.g. Piezo electric
Beam breakup instability (BBU) can limit the current in an ERL to below 100mA.

Experiments with JLAB: BBU is well understood for the 3 mA threshold current.
Cavity control for SC linacs (ERL & ILC)

- Run cavity with lowest possible bandwidth for ERL.
- But frequency stabilization becomes very critical.

13 Hz bandwidth

Without feedback:

Courtesy Matthias Liepe
Cavity control for SC linacs (ERL & ILC)

- Run cavity with lowest possible bandwidth for ERL.
- But frequency stabilization becomes very critical.

Without feedback:

For strong fields
Cavity control for SC linacs (ERL & ILC)

- Run cavity with lowest possible bandwidth for ERL.
- But frequency stabilization becomes very critical.

Add Microphonics!
Cavity control for SC linacs (ERL & ILC)

- Run cavity with lowest possible bandwidth for ERL.
- But frequency stabilization becomes very critical.

Add Controls!

With feedback:

- $\sigma_A/\Lambda \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
- $\sigma_\phi \approx 0.02$ deg
(5) Comparison to HIGH POWER ERL-FELs

• Superconducting RF challenges
  – Phase and amplitude control for very narrow frequency window \(10^{-8}\) in the presence of microphonics – more microphonics
  – Avoid heating / Higher order mode absorption
    - more significant (denser bunch spectrum)
  – Limit cooling power – similar

• Diagnostics
  – Cavity field diagnostics, input coupler diagnostics - similar
  – Thermometry, HOM-power diagnostics - similar
  – Cryogenic diagnostics - similar
  – Microphonics diagnostics, e.g. Piezo electric – even more relevant