

USPAS Course on Recirculated and Energy Recovered Linacs

I. V. Bazarov


Cornell University

D.R. Douglas, G. A. Krafft, and L. Merminga

Jefferson Lab

Computer Class: Linear Optics in JLAB IRFEL,
Longitudinal gymnastics, and Beam Break-up modeling

JLAB IRFEL



Spreadsheet model of JLAB IRFEL includes:

- full first-order optics
- longitudinal phase space visualization
- beam break-up simulations

Obtaining the lesson

Download the spreadsheet and bbu code to a *single* write-enabled directory from

<http://www.lns.cornell.edu/~ib38/uspas05/irfel/>

Make sure macros are enabled. Start the spreadsheet (note: it may take a while to initialize all formulas).

Spreadsheet organization

The spreadsheet is organized into three main parts

---> elements
matrices
products
twiss

layout and lattice control

---> bbu
bbu_latfile
bbu_homs
bbu_param


beam breakup simulation

---> long_phase_space
R56
z
pz

longitudinal phase space


Organization: layout and lattice

---> elements sheet contains optics controls




Spreadsheet: beam break-up

---> bbu controls execution of beam break-up code



Spreadsheet: longitudinal phase space

---> long_phase_space tracks a bunch in the long. p.s.




Longitudinal Phase Space Manipulations

A brief overview of longitudinal dynamics

- first- and second-order correlation in longitudinal phase space
- second-order momentum compaction
- requirements for energy recovery

First- and second-order correlations



$$\delta = \delta_0 + \left. \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial l} \right|_{l=0} l + \frac{1}{2!} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial l^2} \right|_{l=0} l^2 + \dots$$

$$\delta \cong \delta_0 + \alpha_\delta l + \frac{1}{2} \beta_\delta l^2$$

$$\sigma_\delta = \sqrt{\sigma_{\delta_0}^2 + \alpha_\delta^2 \sigma_l^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_\delta^2 \sigma_l^4}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\delta-l} = \sigma_l \sqrt{\sigma_{\delta_0}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_\delta^2 \sigma_l^4}$$

$$\alpha_\delta = -\frac{E_{linac}}{E_{final}} k_{RF} \sin \varphi \quad \beta_\delta = -\frac{E_{linac}}{E_{final}} k_{RF}^2 \cos \varphi$$

$$k_{RF} = 2\pi / \lambda_{RF} = 31.5 \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ for } 1.5 \text{ GHz}$$

After acceleration

after the main linac:

$$\alpha_{\delta} \approx -k_{RF} \varphi$$

$$\beta_{\delta} \approx -k_{RF}^2$$

assuming large $E_{final}/E_{injection}$ and small energy spread

energy spread:


$$\sigma_{\delta} \approx \alpha_{\delta} \sigma_l \quad \text{for } |\varphi| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_{RF} \sigma_l$$

$$\sigma_{\delta} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{\delta} \sigma_l^2 \quad \text{for } |\varphi| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_{RF} \sigma_l$$

longitudinal emittance:

$$\varepsilon_{\delta-l} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{\delta} \sigma_l^3$$

Longitudinal transform



$$l^* = l + R_{56}\delta + T_{566}\delta^2$$

$$\delta^* = \delta$$

\Rightarrow

$$\alpha_\delta^* = \frac{\alpha_\delta}{1 + R_{56}\alpha_\delta}$$

$$\beta_\delta^* = \frac{\beta_\delta - 2T_{566}\alpha_\delta^3}{(1 + R_{56}\alpha_\delta)^3}$$

$$L = \int \sqrt{(1 + x/\rho)^2 + x'^2 + y'^2} ds$$

momentum compaction (times the path length):

$$R_{56} = \int \frac{\eta}{\rho} ds$$


second-order momentum compaction:

$$T_{566} = \int \left[\frac{\eta_{(2)}}{\rho} + \frac{\eta^2}{2\rho} + \frac{\eta'^2}{2} \right] ds$$

Compression

for maximum compression need

$$R_{56} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_\delta} \approx \frac{1}{k_{RF} \varphi}$$



for maximum compression need

$$T_{566} = \frac{\beta_\delta}{2\alpha_\delta^3} \approx \frac{1}{2k_{RF} \varphi^3}$$


actual (absolute) value of T_{566} can be smaller

$$\Delta T_{566, \sigma_l^{comp}} = \frac{\sigma_l^{comp}}{\sqrt{2}\alpha_\delta^2 \sigma_l^2} \approx \frac{\sigma_l^{comp}}{\sqrt{2}k_{RF}^2 \sigma_l^2 \varphi^2}$$


no T_{566} is needed beyond a certain off-crest phase angle

$$\varphi > \varphi_{T_{566}=0} = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{\sigma_l^{comp}} \frac{k_{RF}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Achieving the right values of R_{56}



Adjustable R_{56}






$$R_{56} = \int_1^2 \frac{\eta_x}{\rho} ds$$

Bunch length in the Bates'

Bunch length in the Arcs:


$$\sigma_{l,t}^2 = \sigma_{l,0}^2 \left(1 + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial l} R_{56} \right)^2 + \text{second_term}^2$$

For off-crest of several deg: $\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial l} R_{56} \geq 1$





$R_{56} = 14.4 \text{ cm}$
(trim quads off)

Achieving the right values of T_{566}



sextupoles




changing sextupoles strength in the Arc...

$$T_{566} = \int \left[\frac{\eta_{(2)}}{\rho} + \frac{\eta^2}{2\rho} + \frac{\eta'^2}{2} \right] ds$$

$$\eta''_{(2)} + K(s)\eta_{(2)} = -h + k_1\eta - \frac{1}{2}k_2\eta^2 + (h^3 + 2k_1h)\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2}h\eta'^2 + h'\eta'\eta + 2h^2\eta$$

Energy recovery



$$\Delta\delta = \beta_{\delta} l \Delta l$$

$$\Delta l \sim R_{56} \sigma_{\delta}$$

$$\Delta l \sim T_{566} \sigma_{\delta}^2$$

$$\Delta\delta \sim \sigma_{\delta_{dump}}$$

$$R_{56} \sim \frac{\sigma_{\delta_{dump}}}{\beta_{\delta}^2 \sigma_l^3}$$


$$T_{566} \sim \frac{\sigma_{\delta_{dump}}}{\beta_{\delta}^3 \sigma_l^5}$$

General rule of thumb for successful energy recovery is having the full recirculating arc isochronous to first and second order ($R_{56} = T_{566} = 0$).

In IRFEL, the main difficulty is an additional energy spread generated at the wiggler due to FEL interaction.


Controlling Bates' quads

---> elements sheet, yellow region



Off-crest phase, FEL energy spread and T_{566}

---> long_phase_space sheet



Exercises

- 1) Set the off-crest phase angle in the main linac to 0 and ‘turn-off’ laser interaction. Observe how the longitudinal phase space looks throughout the accelerator and at the beam dump.
- 2) Set the off-crest phase angle in the main linac to -10° . Achieve the shortest bunch possible at the wiggler location using linear optics only (T_{566} should be 0). Compare calculated R_{56} with the value in the model.
- 3) Use T_{566} to maximally compress the bunch at the wiggler. Compare calculated T_{566} with the value in the spreadsheet. How much shorter is the bunch length when both second- and first-order compaction is used, as opposed to only the first-order compression? Achieve less than 150 fs rms bunch duration.
- 4) ‘Turn-on’ the laser interaction (actual max. energy spread of 5 %). Observe the longitudinal phase space at the dump. Is the beam being successfully recovered?

Exercises (contd.)

- 5) Adjust R_{56} in the second Bates' section to minimize energy spread at the dump. Note the smallest energy spread you were able to achieve.
- 6) Use T_{566} to minimize energy spread at the dump. Note the values of R_{56} and T_{566} of the whole recirculating arc that allowed the result. What is the smallest energy spread you were able to achieve? Achieve less than 15 % max energy spread at the dump.


Beam break-up analysis

Higher order modes

Two basic concerns:


- Multipass beam breakup (dipoles)
- Resonant excitation of a higher order mode (monopoles)

monopole ($m = 0$)




high energy losses, no kick

dipole ($m = 1$)




kick and losses when
beam is not centered

quadrupole ($m = 2$)



kick, coupling and losses
when beam is not centered

BBU threshold for a single dipole mode



$$\epsilon = \frac{\omega_\lambda}{2Q_\lambda} t_b, \epsilon \ll 1$$

$$t_r = (n_r - \delta)t_b$$


integer n_r and $\delta \in [0, 1)$

$$\mathcal{K} = t_b (e/c^2) (R/Q)_\lambda (\omega_\lambda^2 / 2)$$

$I_0 \approx 2\epsilon / \mathcal{K} |T_{12}|$, is independent of t_r

Controlling BBU code

---> bbu controls execution of beam break-up code



Controlling BBU code: HOMs

bbu_homs spreadsheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
33	!		ac211	5.729	2102.6068	2610000	90			
34	!		ac211	5.601	2113.3553	3100000	0			
35	!		ac211	5.601	2113.3553	3100000	90			
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
42			ac281	29.41867	2.57E-06	2105565300	0			
43			ac281	29.41867	2.57E-06	2105565300	90			
44			ac281	28.47693	3.06E-06	2116055300	0			
45			ac281	28.47693	3.06E-06	2116055300	90			
46			ac261	29.45683	2.49E-06	2104201100	0			
47			ac261	29.45683	2.49E-06	2104201100	90			
48			ac261	28.49501	2.88E-06	2115383900	0			
49			ac261	28.49501	2.88E-06	2115383900	90			
50			ac251	28.52813	5.21E-06	2114155850	0			
51			ac251	28.52813	5.21E-06	2114155850	90			
52			ac241	29.44333	1.94E-06	2104683400	0			
53			ac241	29.44333	1.94E-06	2104683400	90			
54			ac241	28.51324	1.97E-06	2114707500	0			
55			ac241	28.51324	1.97E-06	2114707500	90			
56			ac231	28.49994	2.17E-06	2115201200	0			
57			ac231	28.49994	2.17E-06	2115201200	90			
58			ac221	29.40634	6.11E-06	2106006800	0			
59			ac221	29.40634	6.11E-06	2106006800	90			
60			ac221	28.46269	6.66E-06	2116584500	0			
61			ac221	28.46269	6.66E-06	2116584500	90			
62			ac211	29.50152	2.61E-06	2102606800	0			
63			ac211	29.50152	2.61E-06	2102606800	90			
64			ac211	28.54974	3.10E-06	2113355300	0			
65			ac211	28.54974	3.10E-06	2113355300	90			
66										
67										
68										
69										
70										
71										
72										
73										
74										
75										

comments are denoted by '!' and are ignored; use it to 'disable' HOMs

HOM data that goes into BBU code

Exercises

- 1) By commenting out modes in `bbu_homs` sheet, determine the worst mode (the one with highest threshold). How does the threshold due to the single worst mode compares to the situation when all modes are present?
- 2) Work with the worst offending mode (for faster computing speed). Slightly change the frequency of the mode and obtain dependence of threshold vs. the mode frequency. Plot the dependency. What is the ratio of max over min threshold that you found in this manner? What is the frequency difference between the two adjacent maxima?
- 3) Add 'fake' 1000 m to the recirculation length (`----> bbu` sheet) and repeat the steps from 2). What is the ratio of max over min threshold in this case? What is the frequency difference between the two adjacent maxima? Try to explain the result.
- 4) Enable all modes. By changing quads in the arc (in a FODO-like section, sheet `----> elements`) try to increase the threshold as much as possible. Compare your highest threshold with others in the group. The winner gets a prize!