
Introduction to the SM (6)

Yuval Grossman

Cornell

Last lecture

- Define the SM
 - Discuss the SSB sector
 - Leptons and gauge bosons spectrum and interactions
-

Today: The quark sector of the SM

HW: Some explicit calculations

- Using $\phi(1, 2)_{1/2}$ write $D^\mu \phi$

$$D^\mu \phi = \left(\partial^\mu + \frac{i}{2} g W_a^\mu \sigma_a + \frac{i}{2} g' B^\mu \right) \phi$$

- Calculate the mass matrix of the gauge bosons

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\mu \phi|^2 &\ni \frac{1}{8} \left| \begin{pmatrix} gW_3 + g'B & g(W_1 - iW_2) \\ g(W_1 + iW_2) & -gW_3 + g'B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{g^2 v^2}{8} \left[|W_1 - iW_2|^2 + |W_3 - (g'/g)B|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W^+ W^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{8} (\cos \theta_W W_3 - \sin \theta_W B)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Experimental tests of $\rho = 1$

- From the $\rho = 1$ relation

$$\frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2} = \cos^2 \theta_W \approx \left(\frac{80.4}{91.2}\right)^2 \approx 0.77 \Rightarrow \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.23$$

- Z decays to leptons

$$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell\ell) \sim \sum_{L,R} (T_3 - Q \sin^2 \theta_W)^2$$

$$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) \sim (1/2 - \sin^2 \theta_W)^2 + (\sin^2 \theta_W)^2 \sim 1/8$$

$$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) \sim (1/2)^2 \sim 1/4 \Rightarrow r \equiv \Gamma_\ell / \Gamma_{\text{inv}} \sim 1/6$$

- PDG:** $\Gamma_\ell = 3.37\%$ and $\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 20.00\%$ $\Rightarrow r \sim 1/6$

Quarks

Basic of basis rotation

- We want to move to another basis
- Usually, we like to rotate fields with the same QN (same representation). Why?

$$\psi' = U\psi \quad UU^\dagger = 1$$

- What it does to the kinetic term, $\bar{\psi}^i \delta_{ij} D_\mu \gamma_\mu \psi^j$?
- What it does to the mass term, $\bar{\psi}_L^i m_{ij} \psi_R^j$?

$$\bar{\psi}_L^i m_{ij} \psi_R^j = \bar{\psi}_L^i U U^\dagger m_{ij} V V^\dagger \psi_R^j = \bar{\psi}_L'^i m'_{ij} \psi_R'^j$$

- How we used it for the leptons?

The CKM matrix

$\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} \sim \phi QU + \phi QD$. After SSB we define $Q = (U \ D)$

$$\mathcal{L} \sim g \bar{u}_L^i \delta_{ij} d_L^j W + m_{ij}^D \bar{d}_R^i d_L^j + m_{ij}^U \bar{u}_R^i u_L^j$$

- The mass matrices, m_{ij}^F , are general complex matrices
- We can diagonalize them and move to the mass basis

$$m_{diag} = V_L m V_R^\dagger \quad V V^\dagger = 1 \quad V_L \neq V_R$$

$$(d_L)_i \rightarrow (V_L^D)_{ij} (d_L)_j \quad (d_R)_i \rightarrow (V_R^D)_{ij} (d_R)_j$$

- The kinetic term, photon and Z couplings are invariant

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma \sim \bar{d}_i \delta_{ij} d_j \rightarrow \bar{d}_i V \delta_{ij} V^\dagger d_j \sim \bar{d}_i \delta_{ij} d_i$$

CKM

- For the W the rotation to the mass basis is important

$$\mathcal{L}_W \sim \bar{u}_L^i \delta_{ij} d_L^j \rightarrow \bar{u}_i V_L^U \delta_{ij} V_L^{D\dagger} d_j \sim \bar{u}_i V d_i$$

- V is the CKM matrix

$$V = V_L^U V_L^{D\dagger}$$

- The point is that we cannot have m_U, m_D and the couplings to the W diagonal at the same basis
- In the mass basis the W interaction change flavor, that is, flavor and generation number is not conserved
- We can work in another basis. The point is that at most 2 out of the 3 matrices can be diagonal

Implication of the CKM matrix

$$\mathcal{L} \ni V_{ij} \bar{u}_i \gamma_\mu d_j W^\mu$$

- Unlike for the leptons the flavor $U(1)$ s are broken
- The CKM is parametrized by 3 angles and one phase
- Numerically, $V \sim 1$ ($V_{us}/V_{ud} \approx 0.22$)
- We can probe the values of the entries in many ways

$$\frac{\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_c \ell \bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(B \rightarrow X_u \ell \bar{\nu})}$$

- HW: Using the PDG, estimate $|V_{cb}/V_{ub}|$. Any ideas how to make it more precise?

FCNCs

FCNC

FCNC=Flavor Changing Neutral Current

- Diagonal couplings vs universal couplings
- $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ vs $\mu \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}$. Also $b \rightarrow cl\nu$ vs $b \rightarrow sl^+\ell^-$
- In Nature, FCNC are highly suppressed (HW:check!)
 - Historically, $K \rightarrow \mu\nu$ vs $K_L \rightarrow \mu\mu$
 - FCNSs in charm, B and top are also small
- In the SM there are no FCNCs at tree level. Very nice!
- The coupling of the four neutral bosons of the SM:
 g, γ, Z, h , are diagonal
- We can have FCNC at one loop (two charged current interactions give a neutral one)

Photon and gluon tree level FCNC

- For exact gauge interactions the couplings are always diagonal. It is part of the kinetic term

$$\partial_\mu \delta_{ij} \rightarrow (\partial_\mu + iqA_\mu) \delta_{ij}$$

- Symmetries are nice...
- In any extension of the SM the photon and gluon couplings are flavor diagonal

Higgs tree level FCNC

- The Higgs is a possible source of FCNC
- With one Higgs doublet, the mass matrix is aligned with the Yukawa. $\phi \sim v + H$

$$\mathcal{L}_m \sim Y v \bar{d}_L d_R \quad \mathcal{L}_{int} \sim Y H \bar{d}_L d_R$$

- With two doublets we have tree level FCNC

$$\mathcal{L}_m \sim \bar{d}_L (Y_1 v_1 + Y_2 v_2) d_R \quad \mathcal{L}_{int} \sim H_1 \bar{d}_L Y_1 d_R$$

- There are “ways” to avoid it, by imposing extra symmetries

Z exchange FCNC

- For broken gauge symmetry there is no FCNC when:
“All the fields with the same QN in the unbroken symmetry also have the same QN in the broken part”
- In the SM the Z coupling is diagonal since all $q = -1/3$
RH quarks are $(3, 1)_{-1/3}$ under $SU(2) \times U(1)$
- What we have in the couplings is

$$\bar{d}_i (T_3)_{ij} d_j \rightarrow \bar{d} V (T_3)_{ij} V^\dagger d_j \quad VT_3V^\dagger \propto I \text{ if } T_3 \propto I$$

- Adding quarks with different representations can generate tree level FCNC Z couplings, like $\psi_L(3, 1)_{-1/3}$
- Same condition for new neutral gauge bosons (usually denoted by Z')

CPV

C, P and CP

Discrete symmetries of space-time

- CPT is a good symmetry of any theory we discuss
- How to look for P violation?
- In the SM C and P are broken by construction
- CP, however, may or may not be broken. It is broken if δ_{KM} is not zero

CP violation

A simple “hand wave” argument of why CP violation is given by a phase

- It is all in the $+h.c.$ term

$$Y_{ij} (\bar{Q}_L)_i \phi (D_R)_j + Y_{ji}^* (\bar{D}_R)_j \phi^\dagger (Q_L)_i$$

- Under CP

$$Y_{ij} (\bar{D}_R)_j \phi^\dagger (Q_L)_i + Y_{ji}^* (\bar{Q}_L)_j \phi (D_R)_i$$

- CP is conserved if $Y_{ij} = Y_{ij}^*$
- Not a full proof, since there is still a basis choice...

What is CP?

- A symmetry between a particle and its anti-particle
- CP is violated if we have

$$\Gamma(A \rightarrow B) \neq \Gamma(\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B})$$

- In the SM it is closely related to flavor
- It is a very small effect in Nature
- It is not easy to detect CPV
 - Need interference of two (or more) amplitudes
 - Need 2 amplitudes with different weak and strong phases
 - CPT $\Rightarrow \Gamma_X = \Gamma_{\bar{X}}$. Thus, we need at least two modes with CPV

All these phases

- Weak phase (CP-odd phase)
 - Phase in \mathcal{L}
 - In the SM they are only in the weak part so they are called weak phases

$$CP(Ae^{i\phi}) = Ae^{-i\phi}$$

- We like to use CPV observables to measure and test the phase of the CKM

Strong phase

- Strong phase (CP-even phase). Do not change under CP

$$CP(Ae^{i\delta}) = Ae^{i\delta}$$

- Due to time evolution

$$\psi(t) = e^{-iHt}\psi(0)$$

- They are also due to intermediate real states, and have to do with “rescattering” of hadrons
- Such strong phases are very hard to calculate

Why we need the two phases?

Intuitive argument

- If we have only one amplitude $|A|^2 = |\bar{A}|^2$
- Two amplitudes but with a difference of only of weak phase

$$|A + be^{i\phi}|^2 = |A + be^{-i\phi}|^2$$

- When both phases are not zero, the rates are not the same (do it for HW!)

What to do with CPV

- The basic idea is to find processes where we can measure CPV
- In some cases they are very clean so we get sensitivity to the CKM matrix elements and phase
- Examples: $K_L \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $B(t) \rightarrow \psi K_S$
- We can use flavor physics observables to test the CKM picture of the SM